From: Walker, David Sent: Wed Jul 28 17:05:09 2010 To: Ogilvie, J; Russo, Frank M (WTP) Subject: RE: WTP Tomasitis Event/Update on WMAB Technical Committee Importance: Normal I talked with Ines. She had talked with Leo earlier this AM. We had pretty much the same message. Her fundamental question (unanswered) is why/how did we handle WT's move/departure so poorly. What was communicated by whom to whom and what were we thinking. She believes from DK feedback that we will manage through the technical issues and DNSB investigation part satisfactorily although at cost of significant disruption/time etc. Need to be sure "Hill" get covered and protect the \$50 million. Told her I met with Bernie. He is not allowed to be fully forthcoming under his Agreement and Ines knows that. What BM told me and I relayed to Ines: Expect that team will conclude plant will function but may they have a few improvement ideas-they are chemistry and process plant people. He thinks group will advocate more that project needs more effective transition plan from EPC to SU/operations; maybe operators working now or soon with more details-not new thought but group may have some ideas on how and what. The group will meet with WTP team and Ines for update next week. Tentative public meeting set for September 15th. DW ----Original Message----From: Ogilvie, J Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 12:15 PM To: Russo, Frank M (WTP); Walker, David Subject: Re: WTP Tomasitis Event Thanks ---- Original Message ----From: Russo, Frank M (WTP) To: Ogilvie, J; Walker, David Sent: Wed Jul 28 11:35:59 2010 Subject: Re: WTP Tomasitis Event Yes, She, Poneman and Dale stated that they understand reason for Walt's departure and support BNI management. They are not happy with URS handling. But this could all change. DOE can't be seen as involved. ---- Original Message -----From: Ogilvie, J To: Walker, David; Russo, Frank M (WTP) Sent: Tue Jul 27 14:58:32 2010

Subject: Re: WTP Tomasitis Event

Frank, have u briefed Ines?

---- Original Message -----