DECLARATION OF JULIE ATWOOD IN

SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION

FOR SANCTIONS - 1

THE SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S.
Attorneys at Law
Hoge Building, Suite 1200
705 Second Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104
Tel: 206-381-5949 Fax: 206-447-9206

1	unsuccessful in obtaining other employment. I believe that until this case is resolved, I will	
2	not be able to find work.	
3	3. MSA is a \$3.4 billion dollar company. Attached as Exhibit 1 is a true and	
4	correct copy of MSA's Contract Management Plan, approved September 8, 2014.	
5	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the	
6	foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.	
7	DATED this 2 nd day of May, 2017, at Seattle, Washington.	
8	Julie Atwood	
9	Julie Atwood	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

DECLARATION OF JULIE ATWOOD IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS - 2

THE SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S.
Attorneys at Law
Hoge Building, Suite 1200
705 Second Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104
Tel: 206-381-5949 Fax: 206-447-9206

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

of

to

2	I, Melanie Kent, certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State			
3	Washington that on May 2, 2017, I served the document to which this Certificate is attache			
4	the party listed below in the manner shown.			
5	Denise L. Ashbaugh By United States Mail			
6	Cristin Kent Aragon YARMUTH WILSDON PLLC By Legal Messenger By Facsimile			
7	818 Stewart Street, Suite 1400 Seattle WA 98101 By Overnight Fed Ex Delivery By Electronic Mail			
8	dashbaugh@yarmuth.com			
9	caragon@yarmuth.com			
10	Stanley J. Bensussen Mission Support Alliance, LLC By United States Mail By Legal Messenger			
11	22490 Garlick Boulevard By Facsimile Richland, WA 99352 By Overnight Fed Ex Delivery			
12	Stanley_J_Bensussen@rl.gov By Overlight Fed Ex Denvery By Electronic Mail			
13	Attorneys for Defendants			
14				
15	$\Lambda_{\Lambda} = \Lambda_{\Lambda} = 1100$			
16	Man IN			
17	Melanie Kent Legal Assistant			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				

DECLARATION OF JULIE ATWOOD IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS - 3

24

25

THE SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S.
Attorneys at Law
Hoge Building, Suite 1200
705 Second Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104
Tel: 206-381-5949 Fax: 206-447-9206

EXHIBIT 1

MISSION SUPPORT CONTRACT

Contract No. DE-AC06-09RL14728

CONTRACT MANAGEMENT PLAN

Mission Support Alliance, LLC



U.S. Department of Energy RICHLAND OPERATIONS OFFICE

Approved September 8, 2014

RICHLAND OPERATIONS OFFICE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT PLAN

MISSION SUPPORT CONTRACT

Contract No. DE-AC06-09RL14728

July 2014

~			
(`n	nei	Irret	1CPR

Karen L. Flyn	
Karen L. Flynn	
Assistant Manager for Mission Support	
Richland Operations Office, (509) 376-7323	
Date 7/21/2014	
audient the like la	
Andrew H. Wirkkala	J 1005700 B
Procurement Director	
Richland Operations Office, (509) 376-7271	
Date 7/21/2014_	
Sho H. Brance	
Gigi H. Branch	
Site Office Contracting Officer	
Richland Operations Office, (509) 376-7942	
Date Dallassy	
as but a do	1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	O Contract Summary and Background of the Scope of Work		
2.0	Identification of Key Contract Management Team Members, Including Roles and Responsibilities	3	
	2.1 Contracting Officer	5	
	2.2 Contracting Officer Representative		
	2.3 Manager, Richland Operations Office		
	2.4 Assistant Managers		
	2.5 Federal Project Director		
	Subject Matter Experts Legal Counsel/Litigation COR		
	2.8 Finance/Budget		
	2.9 Industrial Relations/Human Resources		
	2.10 Certified Realty Specialist	8	
	2.11 Organizational Property Management Officer and Property Administrator	8	
3.0	Contract Management Processes	9	
	3.1 Contract Communication Protocol	9	
	3.2 Government-Furnished Services/Information Review Process		
	3.3 Inspection/Surveillance and Acceptance Processes		
	3.4 Stop Work Authorities		
	Contract Payment Method		
	Performance Evaluation Measurement Plan (PEMP) and Fee Administration Conditional Payment of Fee Contract Clause		
	3.8 Program Management Activities and Contract Change Control		
	3.9 Contract Change Control Process		
	3.10 Review of Contractor's Requests for Equitable Adjustment	16	
	3.11 Contractor Litigation Management	16	
	3.12 Contractor Human Resource Management		
	3.13 Contract Records		
4.0	Contract Deliverables		
5.0	Key Contract Vulnerabilities or Performance Risk Areas		
6.0	Contractor Past Performance Reporting Requirements		
7.0	Contractor Assurance System	18	
8.0	Agreements with State, Community, or Other Entities		
9.0	Unique Contract Terms and Conditions	19	
10.0	Mission Support Contract Points-of-Contact	21	
	TABLES/FIGURES		
Tab	le 5-1. Risk and Mitigation Strategies	18	
Figu	re 1. MSC Summary Work Breakdown Structure for the Key Functional Areas	2	
	re 2. Contract Management Team for the MSC		
	ıre 3. MSC Service Provider Model		
	re 4. Contractor Integrated Performance Evaluation Diagram		

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AE Acquisition Executive

AMB Assistant Manager for Business and Financial Operations

AMMS Assistant Manager for Mission Support
AMRP Assistant Manager for River and Plateau

AMSE Assistant Manager for Safety and the Environment

CA Contracting Activity

CAO Contract Administration Office
CMP Contract Management Plan
CMT Contract Management Team

CO Contracting Officer

COR Contracting Officer's Representative

CS Contract Specialist

DEAR Department of Energy Acquisition Regulation
DNFSB Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

DOE Department of Energy

DOE-EM DOE Environmental Management

DOE-HQ DOE Headquarters

DOE-ORP DOE Office of River Protection DOE-RL DOE Richland Operations Office EM Environmental Management

ESH&Q Environment, Safety, Health, and Quality
ESPC Energy Savings Performance Contract
EVMS Earned Value Management System
FAR Federal Acquisition Regulation

FDO Fee Determining Official FPD Federal Project Director

FMD Financial Management Division

FRAM Functions, Responsibilities, and Authorities Manual

GFS/I Government Furnished Service/Information

HASC Hanford Analytical Services Contract

HCA Head of Contracting Activity

HPMP Hanford Integrated Programmatic Risk Management Program

ICMT Integrated Contract Management Team

IEP Integrated Evaluation Plan

IGE Independent Government Estimate

IPT Integrated Project Team

LIGO Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory

MSA Mission Support Alliance (Contractor)

MSC Mission Support Contract

NTE Not to Exceed

PBI Performance Based Incentive

PD Program Director

PEMP Performance Evaluation Management Plan

Mission Support Contract DE-AC06-09RL14728

PFP Plutonium Finishing Plant

PNNL Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

PNSO Pacific Northwest Site Office
PRC Plateau Remediation Contract
RCCC River Corridor Closure Contract
REA Request for Equitable Adjustment
RIMS RL Integrated Management System

RL Richland Operations Office

SOW Statement of Work
TFC Tank Farm Contract
TOC Tank Operations Contract
TPA Tri-Party Agreement
WTP Waste Treatment Plant

CONTRACT MANAGEMENT PLAN MISSION SUPPORT CONTRACT

Purpose of the Contract Management Plan

The purpose of this Contract Management Plan (CMP) is to provide guidance to Richland Operations Office (RL) employees involved with the management and administration of the contract number DE-AC06-09RL14728, Mission Support Contract (MSC) . Such guidance should be a useful tool to help the Department of Energy (DOE) ensure that Mission Support Alliance, LLC, herein referred to as "Contractor," and RL comply with all terms and conditions that govern the contract. This CMP was developed with the guiding principles that:

- It shall be a useful tool for administering the contract.
- It shall be an executive summary of the roles and responsibilities of the contracting parties.
- It shall identify who is responsible for various contract administration activities.
- It shall be flexible and adapt to changing circumstances.

This CMP does not include every action that RL must take to make the contract successful. Instead, it summarizes the higher-level requirements, deliverables, and tasks necessary, and describes the overall process with which the tasks are performed. It describes the various contract management processes and how they fit together, but does not contain all of the step-by-step details of those processes. For the most part, these details are contained in the RL Integrated Management System (RIMS) processes and procedures, and specific desk instructions and documents. Appropriate references to these details are included in the CMP. Familiarization with this CMP and its related references is vital to all RL employees involved in contract management, and each staff member involved in overseeing the MSC is required to read the MSC Conformed Contract.

This CMP is intended solely to provide guidance to Government employees and should not be construed to create any rights or obligations on the part of any person or entity, including the Contractor and its employees. It is not intended to be either prescriptive or inclusive of all actions necessary to support and/or administer the contract.

1.0 Contract Summary and Background of the Scope of Work

Contractor name:	Mission Support Alliance, LLC (MSA)
Contract number:	DE-AC06-09RL14728
Contract title:	Mission Support Contract (MSC)
Performance period:	August 24, 2009 through May 25, 2019 (Notice to Proceed issued May 12, 2009, with transition period from May 26, 2009, through August 23, 2009)
Total contract price:	\$3.4B
Contract type:	Cost-plus-award-fee with performance incentives
Contractor key personnel:	Contractor key personnel are listed in contract clauses H.15, Key Personnel, and H.16, Safety and Security Key Personnel

The Hanford Site is located along the Columbia River in southeastern Washington State. The site covers 586 square miles and consists of a plutonium production complex with nine nuclear reactors and

associated processing facilities. Hanford played a pivotal role in the nation's defense for more than 40 years, beginning in the 1940s with the Manhattan Project. Today, under the direction of the U.S. Department of Energy officials, Hanford is engaged in the world's largest environmental cleanup project, with a number of overlapping technical, political, regulatory, financial, and cultural issues.

There are two DOE Federal organizations at Hanford. The mission of both organizations is environmental cleanup. The first organization, RL, employs officials responsible for ensuring nuclear waste and facility cleanup, and overall management of the Hanford Site; RL's mission is to restore the Columbia River corridor and transition the Hanford Central Plateau to a remediated state. The DOE Office of River Protection (DOE-ORP) employs officials responsible for cleanup of Hanford Site tank waste; ORP's mission is to retrieve and treat Hanford's tank waste and close the tank farms to protect the environmental integrity of the Columbia River. Each Office oversees separate contracts held by private companies. For purposes of this Contract, the land, facilities, property, projects and work performed and overseen by RL and ORP constitute the "Hanford Site."

The purpose of this contract is to provide direct support to DOE and its contractors with cost-effective infrastructure and site services integral and necessary to accomplish the Hanford Site environmental cleanup mission. The scope includes five primary functions as listed in Figure 1. MSC Summary Work Breakdown Structure below. The General Performance Requirements function represents those activities internal to the operation of the MSC and is not considered one of the five primary functions. In addition to these functions, the MSC will play a key role in ensuring that interfaces with and between Hanford Site customers (DOE Offices, Hanford Site contractors, etc.) that affect their scope of work are managed in a manner that encourages open and proactive communication, collaboration, and cooperation. The Contractor has the responsibility for determining the specific methods and approaches for accomplishing the identified work. This contract applies performance-based contracting approaches and expects the Contractor to implement techniques that emphasize safe, efficient, and measurable results.

Figure 1. MSC Summary Work Breakdown Structure for the Key Functional Areas

