The Honorable Douglas L. Federspiel

#### JOSIE DELVIN

OCT 10 2017

FILED /U

Q

#### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON BENTON COUNTY

JULIE M. ATWOOD,

Plaintiff.

vs.

MISSION SUPPORT ALLIANCE, LLC, STEVE YOUNG, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No.: 15-2-01914-4

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

Clerk's Action Required

JUDGMENT DOCKET NO 17-9-026-70-3

#### JUDGMENT SUMMARY

Judgment Creditor: Julie

Julie M. Atwood

Judgment Creditor's

The Sheridan Law Firm, P.S.

Attorney:

Judgment Debtor:

Mission Support Alliance, LLC

Judgment Amount:

\$ 8,100,000,00

Prejudgment Interest:

To be determined at a later date, if

applicable and appropriate.

Attorney Fees and Costs:

To be determined.

THIS MATTER came on regularly before this Court for a trial with a jury held on September 11 through October <u>JD</u>, 2017. Plaintiff Julie M. Atwood was represented by John P. Sheridan and Defendants Mission Support Alliance and Steve Young were

JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT - 1

THE SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S.
Attorneys at Law
Hoge Building, Suite 1200
705 Second Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

Tel: 206-381-5949 Fax: 206-447-9206

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT - 2

THE SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S. Attorneys at Law Hoge Building, Suite 1200 705 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Tel: 206-381-5949 Fax: 206-447-9206

OCT 10 2017,

FILED

### SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR BENTON COUNTY

JULIE M. ATWOOD,	
Plaintiff, vs.	Case No.: 15-2-01914-4
MISSION SUPPORT ALLIANCE, LLC, and STEVE YOUNG, an individual,	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM
Defendants.	JUDGMENT DOCKET NO17-9-02670-3
We, the jury in the above-captioned ca	ase, find as follows:
	d proven her Washington Law Against
Discrimination claim based on gender by a pr  ANSWER: YES	eponderance of the evidence?  NO

If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, please answer Question 2. If you answered "No" to Question 1, skip Question 2 and answer Question 3.



Δτινος	QUESTION od has proven the				_			*
1.	intiff's gender?	•	of touring ar	ucu oi a	betted IVIS	A S discil	iiiiiation	on the ba
								· ·
, ,	ANSWER: _		YES _		NO	t e		
		·		•				
	QUESTION	NO. 3: ]	Has Ms. A	twood p	roven her	Washingto	on Law A	gainst
Discri	mination claim			· · · .				
Discin		oasou u <sub>l</sub>	on rouna	uon oy t	i propondi	. ·	io evidori	
	ANSWER: _	/	YES		NO	a a	.4.	
٠.					•			
• • ;		, `						
	If you answere	ed "Yes'	', to Questi	on 3, ple	ease answ	er Questio	n 4. If yoı	ı answere
"No"	to Question 3, s	skip Que	stion 4 and	d answei	<sup>r</sup> Question	<i>5</i> .		• •
٠		. •	. •	9	-			1
,			e.					:
2	QUESTION 1	NO. 4· ]	Do von fin	d by a p	renondera	nce of the	evidence	that Ms
					-			,
	d has proven th				betted in r	VISA STELE	manon ur	ider the
Washi	ngton Law Aga	inst Dis	crimination	n?				
	*							
	ANSWER:	$\checkmark$	YES _		NO	,	5	

**QUESTION NO. 5**: Has Ms. Atwood proven her claim for wrongful discharge in violation of public policy based on the False Claims Act, or the policies therein, by a preponderance of the evidence?

preponderance of the	e evidence	?	·.	•			
			•	\$			
ANSWER:		_YES_		NO			
	. *		:		• •		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
If you answer	red "No" i	to every d	one of the	previous	questions,	do not an	swer any
of the remaining que	stions, ple	ase sign	and date	the verdi	ct form, an	d notify the	e bailiff. I
you answered "Yes"	5.4			•	1*		
			- -		 	, <del>,</del>	
QUESTION	<b>NO.</b> 6: D	oid Ms. A	twood pr	ove by a	prepondera	ance of the	evidence
that she suffered dan	•						
		·	·		/.	· .	· · ·
ANSWER: _	. /	YES		- NO			
,					4.		
If you answer	red "Yes"	to Questi	on 6 ans	wer Oue	stion 7 If w	ou answer	ed "No"
to Question No. 6, do		• .					. *
		er uny oj	ine remai	ming que	estions, pie	use sign in	e veruici
form, and notify the l	oaiiijj.						
	•						• •
QUESTION	<b>NO.</b> 7: D	id Defen	dant(s) pr	ove by a	preponder	ance of the	evidence
that Plaintiff failed to	use reaso	nable eff	orts to mi	tigate he	r economic	damages?	
		•	· · · ·				• •
ANSWER:	•	YES		NO		**.	•
<del>-</del>					•	•	

(Note: Regardless of how you answer Question 7, answer Question 8: however, if you answered "Yes" to Question 7, the amount of <u>economic damages</u> should represent the net amount you award to Ms. Atwood after accounting for her failure to mitigate her damages.):

QUESTION NO. 8: Please state the amount of damages Ms. Atwood has suffered that were proximately caused by MSA's actions:

<u>Economic Damages</u> (reasonable value of lost past earnings and fringe benefits, and the present value of future earnings, and fringe benefits.)

\$ 2.1 million

<u>Emotional Harm</u> (emotional distress, pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, humiliation, personal indignity, embarrassment, fear, anxiety and/or anguish):

s Co million

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of October, 2017.

Mank, Suth Presiding Juror