FLED

JUN 1 3 2016

KATHY MARTIN WALLA WALLA COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR WALLA WALLA COUNTY

Case No.:

TRIAL

16 2 00406 R

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,

INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY

RELIEF AND DEMAND FOR A JURY

LINDA ROBB,

Plaintiff,

VS.

BENTON COUNTY, a State public body,

' || and

24

25

FRANKLIN COUNTY, a State public body,

I.

Defendants.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

1.1 The Plaintiff, Linda Robb, is a woman over 40 years of age. At all times relevant to this complaint she was a citizen of the United States residing in Benton County, Washington. Ms. Robb was employed by Defendants Benton and Franklin Counties as Director of the Department of Human Services from July 2014 to August 6, 2015.

1.2 The Defendant, Benton County, is a state public body under Washington

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- 1



SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S. Hoge Building, Suite 1200 705 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

law and a body corporate within the meaning of RCW 36.01.010.

- 1.3 The Defendant, Franklin County, is a state public body under Washington law and a body corporate within the meaning of RCW 36.01.010.
- 1.4 This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to RCW 4.28.020, RCW 4.96.010 and 4.96.020.
- 1.5 Walla Walla County is a proper venue for this matter pursuant to RCW 36.01.050.

II. FACTS

- 2.1 Defendant Benton and Franklin Counties Department of Human Services (hereinafter "Human Services") is a department administered by both Benton and Franklin counties. Offices are physically located in Prosser, Benton County. Most administrative services, including payroll, are handled by Benton County, but the Board of Commissioners for both counties have an equal vote in all matters, including personnel matters. There is no interlocal agreement on file for the operation and administration of this bicounty Department, although there are interlocal agreements for some specific functions of the Department of Human Services.
- 2.2 Ms. Robb was offered the position as Director of the Department of Human Services on May 14, 2014 and was officially hired on or about July 7, 2014.
- 2.3 Prior to working for Benton and Franklin Counties Department of Human Services, Ms. Robb worked for 15 years as Clinical Director at Catholic Family & Child Services in Richland.
- 2.4 In her position as Department of Human Services Director, Ms. Robb reported to the Board of Commissioners of both Counties, and interacted directly with the respective County Administrators on a regular basis. Franklin County Commissioner Robert Koch and Defendant Benton County Commissioner Shon Small were the

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- 2

SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S. Hoge Building, Suite 1200 705 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 Tel: 206-381-5949 Fax: 206-447-9206

Commissioners for their respective counties with regular responsibilities for matters concerning the Department of Human Services.

- 2.5 During her employment, the practice was that Benton County handled all administrative matters for the Department, including personnel and payroll matters, and provided 70% of the administrative funding, while Franklin County provided 30%. At the same time, each county had an equal say in all Department's decisions.
- 2.6 Human Services had over 30 employees. Ms. Robb was responsible for overseeing all programs and personnel associated with providing human services to Benton and Franklin Counties. Ms. Robb had more than eight direct reports, and provided oversight to all activities in the county involving Medicaid, chemical dependency treatment, developmental disabilities treatment, mental health, housing services (including grant application and administration), and the Crisis Response Center.
- 2.7 Ms. Robb reported to two separate boards: The Benton County Board of Commissioners, and the Franklin County Board of Commissioners.
- 2.8 Each commissioner was assigned to a particular department. Mr. Small (from Benton County) and Mr. Robert Koch (from Franklin County) were assigned to oversee Human Services. During Ms. Robb's employment, Ms. Robb interacted with Mr. Small and the other commissioners on a weekly basis.
- 2.9 One of Ms. Robb's job responsibilities was to attend Board meetings. It was Ms. Robb's job to keep both Boards apprised of human services issues and developments at that Counties and at the state level to the extent that changes in state laws or court decisions affected the administration of human services in the Counties.
- 2.10 Benton and Franklin County Boards met separately, so Ms. Robb attended meetings for each to provide the Boards with information related to Human Services. For example, Human Services had 20-25 contracts with service providers or venders, so one of

Ms. Robb's roles at the Board meetings was to present issues that needed to be addressed regarding those contracts. Ms. Robb had to make the same presentation separately and get majority approval from both Boards to approve Ms. Robb's actions. Other examples included, Ms. Robb needed approval from both Boards to hire new positions for personnel, to lease equipment (such as copy machines), office space leasing, decisions regarding the awarding of contracts to third parties, and other administrative and oversight functions.

- 2.11 At the time of Ms. Robb's hire, Benton County Administrator David Sparks and Franklin County Administrator Fred Bowen jointly told Ms. Robb that, "whenever you communicate with one commissioner, you must communicate with all commissioners and us, so you don't get into trouble," or words to that effect.
- 2.12 On August 12, 2014, Ms. Robb sent an email to Benton and Franklin County Commissioners, and to their respective county administrators, raising concerns about an ongoing deficit in the Crisis Response Unit (CRU) administered under the Department. Ms. Robb expressed fears that it may need to be closed due to serious funding issues. Ms. Robb also informed them of recent court rulings and the status of Departmental communications with health care providers in an effort to obtain more mental health beds for the community.
- 2.13 On August 14, 2014, Commissioner Small responded with an email criticizing Ms. Robb for sending this email to all of the Commissioners, stating: "if you have concerns please contact Commissioner Koch or myself prior to a blanket email goes out that projects we have a "BROKEN ARROW!" that is now view for public."
- 2.14 It was Ms. Robb's duty to keep the Commissioners apprised of programs under Ms. Robb's supervision.
- 2.15 The Crisis Response Unit financial issue had been raised by Commissioner Small at the July 15, 2014 Board meeting.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- 4

- 2.16 Ms. Robb called Commissioner Small and asked if everything was okay between himself and Ms. Robb. Small responded: "we are good" or words to that effect.
- 2.17 Ms. Robb raised concerns about Commissioner Small's email response to both Benton County Administrator David Sparks and Deputy County Administrator Loretta Smith Kelty. Mr. Sparks informed Ms. Robb that Ms. Robb did not make any mistakes in sending the email. Mr. Sparks told Ms. Robb that, "Small can be mean to strong women like you." Mr. Sparks further told Ms. Robb that a former commissioner we both knew was crazy but that Shon Small was mean. Mr. Sparks described Commissioner Small's behavior on several occasions saying that Commissioner Small, "was sexist and did not respect women." Ms. Smith Kelty told Ms. Robb that Small does not like her, that there had been 2 executive sessions initiated by Small about her, but that Mr. Sparks had defended her. On information and belief, Ms. Smith Kelty was fearful of her job because she feared Commissioner Small.
- 2.18 On several other occasions, when Ms. Robb complained to Ms. Smith Kelty about Commissioner Small, Ms. Smith Kelty stated that he was "very sexist." Ms. Robb told her that she felt like you had to have a penis in order to survive working at Benton County, and that it was a hard core "Old Boys club". Ms. Smith Kelty expressed her agreement with Ms. Robb's comments.
- 2.19 Throughout 2014, Ms. Robb's Department and the Commissioners continued to deal with problems with various providers of mental health, crisis response and substance abuse services based in part on recent court decisions regarding how these services are provided. Further, the contractor running the Detoxification Center indicated that they were losing money and could not make changes required by changes in state law. Ms. Robb continued to bring those issues to the Commissioners' attention and proceed as they instructed. For example, Ms. Robb attempted to set up meetings with Small in

23

24

25

February 2015 about DSHS issues with their providers and audits of their programs. During this time, all of their facilities passed their audits.

2.20 On or about March 25, 2015, Gordon Cable, CRU Director, reported that six weeks earlier Small had confirmed a rumor that the Crisis Response Unit would be closed down, and those functions would be privatized and handled by Lourdes Counseling Center, Small told Cable not to tell Ms. Robb about the CRU privatization. At this time, Ms. Robb confronted Linda Ivey, Fiscal person for the department of human services, as to whether she knew about this issue. Ms. Ivey reported that she knew about it and that it had been a discussion for a long time. Ms. Ivey had been working in the county for some time in the commissioner's office and had first heard it there. Ms. Ivey further stated that she had been trying to give Ms. Robb hints about it for some time. When asked why she simply did not just tell Ms. Robb, Ms. Ivey reported that commissioner Small had told her not to tell Ms. Robb and she was unwilling to go up against a commissioner, saying that she needed her job. Ms. Robb then called Commissioner Small, who stated he had been working on this issue since August 2013. Ms. Robb expressed concern at not being informed of this change to a major program in Ms. Robb's department. Commissioner Small stated that he did not want it blabbed all over the counties before he was ready. Commissioner Small instructed Ms. Robb to discuss privatization at the April 7, 2015 Board Meeting. The April 7, 2015 agenda item, "Crisis Response Unit Discussion ~ L Robb" was submitted as scheduled business, and approved for the agenda by Commissioner Small.

2.21 Ms. Robb then asked David Sparks and Loretta Smith Kelty about the rumor, who told Ms. Robb that everyone else knew and that they had repeatedly advised Commissioner Small to tell Ms. Robb about it. This rumor had also been confirmed by Sparks who had recommended that Small tell Ms. Robb, but Small declined. Sparks said

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- 6

SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S. Hoge Building, Suite 1200 705 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

3

8

10 11

1213

1415

1617

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

that he believed the Department staff knew and recommended that Ms. Robb speak to the other Benton County Commissioners about it. Ms. Robb was also informed by Department Finance Manager, Linda Ivey that Small had asked her for financial information regarding CRU privatization, and that he told her not to tell Ms. Robb. Ms. Robb was Cable and Ivey's direct supervisor.

- 2.22 On March 27, 2015, Ms. Robb met with Benton County Commissioner Beaver about CRU privatization, where Ms. Robb told him what she knew about the finances and potential liabilities. He told Ms. Robb to gather more information and let him know what Ms. Robb learned. He believed that Ms. Robb should have been informed from the beginning.
- 2.23 At the April 7, 2015 Benton County Board Meeting, Cable and Ms. Robb presented information about the costs and liabilities if CRU was privatized. Ms. Robb presented information to the entire board that privatization would cost the Counties a considerable amount of money in administrative and other costs, potential loss of state and federal funding, and that money recently spent on renting and upgrading the CRU facility and upgrading the electronics medical records would have been wasted. Ms. Robb also provided the Board with a document entitled "Fiscal Picture" that laid out the issues in detail. Ms. Robb expressed concerns that there could be liability issues as the counties contracted for delivery of mental health services without having full oversight of the services which could have effects on the health and safety of the community. Both Benton County Commissioners Beaver and Chair Delvin indicated that this was their first discussion of the privatization issue. An executive item on the agenda regarding performance of a public employee was not held. Ms. Robb was later told by Loretta Smith Kelty that this executive session had been placed on the agenda by commissioner Small in case he wanted to "deal with Ms. Robb at that time".

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- 7

SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S. Hoge Building, Suite 1200 705 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 Tel: 206-381-5949 Fax: 206-447-9206

- 2.24 On April 8, 2015, Ms. Robb made the same presentation to the Franklin County Board meeting as she had made at the Benton County Board meeting. Chairman Peck stated that this was the first time that the Franklin County Board had heard of the issue and would not make any decisions at this time about their support or non-support for the CRU privatization issue.
- 2.25 On April 9, 2015, Benton County Commission Chair Delvin requested that Ms. Robb get input from other providers and the public on the CRU issue. He also expressed concerns about potential liabilities.
- 2.26 On April 13, 2015, Franklin County Commissioner Miller expressed appreciation about Ms. Robb's work and how well informed Ms. Robb was and stated that he did not understand why Ms. Robb was kept out of discussions.
- 2.27 On April 14, 2015, at the direction of Commissioner Delvin, who specifically asked Ms. Robb to gather information for him on the issues of privatization from the other providers of mental health in the area, Ms. Robb requested a discussion of the CRU privatization issue with the Greater Columbia Behavioral Health (GCBH) Regional Clinical Directors' meeting as they represented the providers that receive referrals from CRU and provide services to CRU patients and would be affected or involved in privatization. At that time Ms. Robb was the chairperson of the committee and had served in that capacity for many years. Because GCBH is the agency established by the DSHS Regional Support Network (RSN) for our counties under WAC 388-877 and RCW 70.02, to administer the contracts for mental health, these clinical directors would need to be involved in any changes to the Crisis Response Unit. Ms. Robb presented Commissioner Small's proposal and asked for the Directors' input. Most of the providers were against privatization.

2.28 On April 22, 2015, Smith Kelty set up a meeting with Ms. Robb to discuss
issues raised at an executive session at the April 21, 2015 Benton County Commissioner
meeting. Ms. Smith Kelty told Ms. Robb that Small was upset that Ms. Robb provided
information regarding CRU privatization to the Regional Clinical Directors and that Ms.
Robb should not have done so. She told Ms. Robb that Commissioner Small was very
angry at Ms. Robb because Ms. Robb had been one-sided in Ms. Robb's presentation, and
that Ms. Robb needed to understand that if Ms. Robb was going to make it, Ms. Robb
needed to support the Benton County commissioner no matter what Ms. Robb's personal
beliefs were. Ms. Robb expressed to her Ms. Robb's frustration at having to report equally
to two boards who had exact opposite opinions and therefore different directions for Ms.
Robb to follow. Ms. Robb expressed to Ms. Smith Kelty Ms. Robb's concerns about the
two boards not meeting together during Ms. Robb's time in this position on a regular basis.
Ms. Smith Kelty advised Ms. Robb to tell the board this during the upcoming executive
session where Ms. Robb was forced to appear and that had been called into session by
Commissioner Small.

- 2.29 On April 28, 2015, prior to the April 28, 2015 meeting, Ms. Robb sent an email with attachments responding to concerns about public discussions regarding CRU privatization, where Ms. Robb indicated that Ms. Robb had only discussed the issue at the Board meetings and with the GCBH Directors at the direction of Commissioner Delvin.
- 2.30 On April 28, 2015 Ms. Robb was called into an executive session of the Benton County Board of Commissioners attended by Sparks, Smith Kelty, and all three commissioners. For the majority of the session, Commissioner Small reprimanded and berated Ms. Robb for bringing the CRU privatization before the Regional Clinical Director's meeting on April 14, 2015. Ms. Robb told the Commissioners that Ms. Robb had done so at the direction of Commissioner Delvin. Small accused Ms. Robb repeatedly

of "calling him out". Small also expressed anger that Ms. Robb followed the direction from the Franklin County Commissioners, even though Ms. Robb reminded him that Ms. Robb reports to them as well. This executive session lasted 45 minutes, but is listed as no action taken. In this executive session Commissioner Delvin and Commissioner Beaver expressed no concerns with Ms. Robb's behavior or actions. On information and belief, this session was not recorded.

- 2.31 Following these meetings, Commissioner Small and other County staff members at his direction began to find fault with Ms. Robb for every action by Ms. Robb's Department and tried to assign blame to Ms. Robb for ongoing issues with providers, even though many of these began before Ms. Robb was hired, or were a result of very recent Court opinions changing the way that mental health services could be provided. Some examples follow.
 - 2.31.1 Before a May 7, 2015 RSN meeting, Commissioner Small took Ms. Robb aside and made several accusations, including that Ms. Robb had coached members of the public to criticize CRU privatization at a NAMI forum at which he presented; that Ms. Robb had encouraged letters against CRU privatization; and that Ms. Robb had directed that a letter be sent to the Detox facility informing them that it would be closing. Ms. Robb responded that Ms. Robb had not been involved in these issues. Further, Ms. Robb told Commissioner Small that a crisis response unit staff member had mistakenly sent an internal memo to the Detox unit; that Ms. Robb instructed her to write a retraction once Ms. Robb found out; and that Ms. Robb had followed up with an email instructing all of Ms. Robb's employees that no documents could go to the public without authorization. Ms. Robb also informed Commissioner Small that within a couple of hours of the incident having occurred Ms. Robb had talked to the director of the Detox center, Dell Anderson,

and had apologized for the misunderstanding. Ms. Robb also informed Commissioner Small that Ms. Robb had talked to the leadership at the Crisis Response Unit and had talked directly to the employee involved. All of this occurred on a Sunday afternoon. Commissioner Small thanked Ms. Robb for sending the email to staff and copying him. He ended this discussion by stating, "Ms. Robb'm done" or words to that effect.

- 2.31.2 A county employee tried to raise an inference of wrongdoing on Ms. Robb's part for providing a client a housing voucher. This incident had been fully vetted by the county auditor and found to have no merit. Ms. Robb was in contact with the Commissioners about this issue as well as Lexi Wingfield, director of Human Resources. All matters were unfounded. Ms. Robb was then accused of not keeping the Commissioners up to date on the termination of the unit by the Detox unit contractor, even though Ms. Robb had done so throughout the year. Ms. Robb responded to every accusation with information about the issue raised.
- 2.31.3 Shortly thereafter, two different news articles appeared in the Tri-City Herald, with a set of quotes by Commissioner Small about privatization. In one of the articles Commissioner Small stated that "he might ask to go into executive session to discuss an 'employee performance' issue related to Crisis Response."
- 2.31.4 During this time frame, the Bi-County meeting Agenda regarding executive sessions contained the agenda items, "Review the Performance of a Public Employee" and "Possible Management Decision Regarding a Public Employee."
- 2.32 Ms. Robb sent an email to the Franklin County Deputy Prosecuting Attorney ("DPA") Janet Taylor. Ms. Taylor was at the time in charge of human resources

matters. Ms. Robb asked Ms. Taylor if the agenda items, mentioned above, were referring to Ms. Robb, and also told her, "this is starting to feel like a hostile work place situation". Ms. Robb also discussed Ms. Robb's concerns with Franklin County Commissioners Peck and Koch, and Benton County Commissioner Devlin. The executive session did not occur because it had not been properly noticed in Franklin County. There was considerable discussion at the Bi-County meeting and a request for more information and public hearings on the CRU privatization issue.

2.33 At the May 19, 2015 Board meeting, Commissioner Small wrongfully accused Ms. Robb of not keeping him informed of issues with Detox. Ms. Robb approached Small to privately explain about the issues raised. In an incident ("the incident"), which occurred right before a GCBH Fiscal Committee Meeting, Commissioner Small stated to Ms. Robb:

You don't need to explain anything to me ... as far as I am concerned you are a pathetic liar and human being and I will never trust anything you say. You are a miserable liar and person and I do not want to ever see or listen to you again.

Ms. Robb wrote a note outlining the incident to Penny Bell, director of a chemical dependency agency in the health services community who held a contract for which the Department of Human Services was responsible.

2.34 After the meeting, Ms. Robb reported the incident to Franklin County PDA Janet Taylor. Ms. Robb then reported the incident to Gordon Cable, Kyle Sullivan and Gloria Caldwell from CRU. Caldwell suggested Ms. Robb contact Benton County Personnel Director Lexi Wingfield. Ms. Robb called and requested a meeting about the hostile work environment. Ms. Robb later cancelled this meeting because Ms. Robb was very fearful of her going to Commissioner Small and of Ms. Robb getting fired because of it. Ms. Robb then decided that it would be safer to report the incident to Franklin County,

because the commissioners had been very supportive of Ms. Robb. Franklin County Commissioners had told Ms. Robb that Ms. Robb was "doing a really good job" or words to that effect.

- 2.35 In a conversation with Benton County PDA Andy Miller, Ms. Robb asked him about the incident and about why Commissioner Small hated Ms. Robb so much. Mr. Miller responded in part by telling Ms. Robb that Small told him in November 2014 that Commissioner Small did not like Ms. Robb, that he wanted Ms. Robb gone, and that he made comments to the effect that Ms. Robb was a stupid woman.
- 2.36 On information and belief, Commissioner Small stated on one or more occasion that, "he does not like Ms. Robb, that he wanted Ms. Robb gone, and that Ms. Robb is a stupid woman," or words to that effect.
- 2.37 Mr. Miller reported to Ms. Robb that he had shared that he had never experienced anything like Ms. Robb being stupid, and that he did not support any sort of termination. Miller went on to say that he was the attorney for the Commissioners so he had to be careful but that he suggested Ms. Robb start working on a housing project that no one in the county wanted to take on so that Ms. Robb would make herself seem more indispensable to Benton County. Ms. Robb reported her concerns to Mr. Miller about the hostile work environment, and Mr. Miller responded that he had certainly seen that in the board meeting earlier that week when Ms. Robb had made her presentation about the Detox Center. Mr. Miller stated that everyone in the room could see the hostility that Commissioner Small had for Ms. Robb. In these discussions with Mr. Miller, Ms. Robb provided notice of violations of civil law, and they exchanged facts. None of these discussions were subject to attorney client privilege.
- 2.38 On May 22, 2015, Ms. Robb met with DPA Janet Taylor in regard to Ms. Robb's hostile work environment complaint, at which time Ms. Robb provided her with a

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- 13

handwritten chronology and other documents. Ms. Robb notified Ms. Taylor about Ms. Robb's belief that Small's harassment and discriminatory treatment was based on Ms. Robb's sex, and based on her having provided information on CRU privatization implications. Ms. Taylor listened to Ms. Robb at length and took copious notes, and she explained Ms. Robb's rights under the law. She apologized on behalf of Franklin county and told Ms. Robb she would present the issues at executive session at the May 27, 2015 Franklin County Board meeting. In these discussions with Ms. Taylor, Ms. Robb provided notice of violations of civil law, and they exchanged facts. None of these discussions were subject to attorney client privilege.

- 2.39 On June 9, 2015, Ms. Robb sent an email to Franklin County Commissioner Koch, expressing concerns about Rick Weaver, executive director of Comprehensive Mental Health in Yakima WA, presenting information about dissolving and privatizing CRU, as Weaver had a conflict of interest.
- 2.40 Ms. Robb then reported the same issues in separate conversations with Benton County Commissioner Beaver and Benton County Deputy Administrator Smith Kelty on June 5, 2015. Both commented that they have noticed Small's behavior toward Ms. Robb. Commissioner Beaver stated that Commissioner Small should be assigned responsibility for a different department. Commissioner Beaver told Ms. Robb that he will contact Benton county attorneys and recommend the change be made to Commissioner Delvin representing the Department of Human Services. He further stated that he thought that the executive sessions and the harassment had to stop and that he was on Ms. Robb's side all the way. Ms. Smith Kelty later agreed with Commissioner Beaver's statement, and that the constant harassment needs to stop.
- 2.41 Following these reports to commissioners and administrators, the harassment and retaliation against Ms. Robb increased. Despite his prior assurances,

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- 14

SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S. Hoge Building, Suite 1200 705 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 Tel: 206-381-5949 Fax: 206-447-9206

Benton County Commission Beaver via email informed Ms. Robb that he refused to reassign Small. He further stated that he would not help Ms. Robb harm one of his brother commissioners. At around this time Ms. Robb had been informed that a position was open in the Sheriff's department for Benton County. Linda Ivey, fiscal person for the Department of Human Services, informed Ms. Robb that she was going to apply for this position. At the time Ms. Smith Kelty informed Ms. Robb that she had approached Commissioner Small with wanting to be the next administrator for Benton county as she was aware that the current administrator David Sparks was considering retirement. Ms. Smith Kelty had been the deputy director for years and had been groomed for the job by Sparks. Ms. Smith Kelty told Ms. Robb that she had been informed by Commissioner Small that she would not be getting the position because they had someone else in mind (a man). Ms. Smith Kelty then told Ms. Robb that based on this information she was afraid that she would not have a job after David left, because of Commissioner Small's dislike for her, so she had applied for the open position in the Sheriff's office as well. Ms. Smith Kelty has a background in finance and was well qualified for the job, however she did not get the job. The position was given to Linda Ivey. This meant that Ivey would move up three steps in the payment schedule.

2.42 On information and belief, Commissioner Small and Ms. Ivey are friends, and she was given the job as a favor and to get her out of a department, which Small wanted abandoned as a bi-county program. Several times Commissioner Small would come into the Human Services Department and meet behind closed doors with Ms. Ivey during the year. Neither Ms. Ivey nor Commissioner Small would disclose what these meetings were about except to say they were just chatting about personal things.

24

22

23

- 2.43 Upon Ms. Ivey getting the position and moving on, Benton County initially declined to replace the Human Services Finance Manager, a much needed position at Human Services.
- 2.44 On July 10, 2015, Ms. Robb was forced to terminate Ms. Robb's assistant even though he had done nothing wrong ("assistant termination incident"). Ms. Robb was told that Ms. Robb's assistant had not disclosed past criminal history when in fact Ms. Robb produced a document that the county had in his file, even giving the case number of his previous offense and a background check run by Benton county that showed no offenses or problems listed. Ms. Robb had also talked to Personnel Director Lexi Wingfield at length about the criminal history of Ms. Robb's assistant before bringing him on because, if it was a problem, Ms. Robb wanted to know about it before moving forward. Ms. Wingfield told Ms. Robb that it was fine as long as the offense had not occurred in the last seven years.
- 2.45 At the time Ms. Wingfield told Ms. Robb to fire Ms. Robb's assistant she denied that Ms. Robb had disclosed the assistant's criminal past to her, but later said that she vaguely remembered something that Ms. Robb had said but couldn't quite remember it.
- 2.46 Ms. Robb contacted Commissioner Brad Peck about the assistant termination incident. Commissioner Peck informed Ms. Robb that he felt this was a retaliatory move by Commissioner Small to hurt Ms. Robb. PDA.
- 2.47 On July 16, 2015, Benton County placed Ms. Robb on administrative leave for "misconducts". Ms. Robb's termination was then discussed at two Bi-County meetings (7/29/15 and 8/5/15) and two separate Board meetings on 8/4/15 and 8/5/15. Despite discussions that termination would be premature as the PDA of Franklin County was awaiting further information, the Commissioners voted on August 5, 2015 to terminate Ms.

Robb's employment by a vote of 5-1 (Franklin County Commission Chair Peck voting against) and discussed Ms. Robb's termination in the press in an article that appeared that same day. Ms. Robb received notice of Ms. Robb's termination on August 6, 2015. In an article appearing on August 11, 2015, Delvin, "reiterated statements he made to the Herald last week that Benton County officials had lost confidence in Robb to lead the department".

- 2.48 Ms. Robb timely served a tort claim on Benton County and waited more than sixty days before filing this lawsuit.
- 2.49 The defendants are responsible for the acts of their agents under the doctrine of respondent superior.
 - 2.50 Ms. Robb engaged in the following protected activities:
 - 2.50.1 Ms. Robb argued at both Benton and Franklin county board meetings in April 20, 2015 that privatizing the Crisis Response Unit was a conflict of interest due to the fact that it would create a monopoly at Lourdes Counseling Center or whatever local county contracted entity the contract is privatized with, based on the fact that it would allow that provider to take in clients and the referral point in Crisis Response and then only refer cases to themselves for outpatient treatment including therapy, case management, medication and community services such as housing programs. It would also give that entity the power to refer court ordered patients who had violent or aggressive tendencies to other agencies that were less equipped to handle them, because the referral agency did not want to put themselves in that type of liability situation, or put their staff at any risk of harm.
 - 2.50.2 Ms. Robb reported to the Boards her opposition to the plan to privatize the CRU on the ground that it would cost the taxpayers hundreds of thousands of dollars to get out of the seven year lease of the facility; Crisis was

recently moved to provide centralized consolidated services at a new facility. A lease was signed at that time with a private contractor to house the Crisis Response Unit. That new lease is set to expire on April 30, 2021 and cost approximately \$118,000/year.

2.50.3 Ms. Robb reported to the Boards her opposition to the issue of wasting county dollars that had been spent on the required update of the Crisis Response Unit, at the new location, to electronic medical records that was required by the state of Washington. This system would not be transferable to another agency should the Crisis Response Unit move to a private entity. The counties had already spent \$302,000 on the electronic records medical program as of April 2015.

2.50.4 Ms. Robb reported to the Boards her opposition to wasting the \$60,000 spent on new furniture for the new Crisis Response building and wasting \$75,000 on remodeling that building that had been spent to customize the space for the specific use of the Crisis Response Unit. Furniture bought by the counties must remain with the counties and cannot be sold or given away outside of county entities per public policy.

III. CAUSES OF ACTION

- 3.1 Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs of the complaint, and hereby incorporates the same by reference.
- 3.2 The facts set forth above state a claim against Defendants for wrongful discharge in violation of public policy in that Plaintiff reported and opposed improper governmental actions that could result in a gross waste of public funds or danger to public health and safety, and where Plaintiff was terminated for her reporting.
- 3.3 The facts set forth above state a claim against the Defendants for intentional discrimination against the Plaintiff in violation of the Washington Law Against

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- 18

SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S. Hoge Building, Suite 1200 705 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- 19

SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S. Hoge Building, Suite 1200 705 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Respectfully submitted this 10th day of June, 2015.

SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S.

By:_

John P. Sheridan, WSBA # 21473 Hoge Building, Suite 1200 705 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Phone: 206-381-5949 / Fax: 206-447-9206

Email: jack@sheridanlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- 20

SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S. Hoge Building, Suite 1200 705 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98104