

The Honorable Douglas L. Federspiel

JOSIE DELVIN
BENTON COUNTY CLERK

OCT 10 2017

FILED *te*
lp

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
BENTON COUNTY

JULIE M. ATWOOD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MISSION SUPPORT ALLIANCE, LLC,
STEVE YOUNG, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No.: 15-2-01914-4

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT

Clerk's Action Required

JUDGMENT DOCKET
NO 17-9-02670-3

JUDGMENT SUMMARY

Judgment Creditor: Julie M. Atwood

Judgment Creditor's Attorney: The Sheridan Law Firm, P.S.

Judgment Debtor: Mission Support Alliance, LLC

Judgment Amount: \$ 8,100,000.00

Prejudgment Interest: To be determined at a later date, if applicable and appropriate.

Attorney Fees and Costs: To be determined.

THIS MATTER came on regularly before this Court for a trial with a jury held on September 11 through October 10, 2017. Plaintiff Julie M. Atwood was represented by John P. Sheridan and Defendants Mission Support Alliance and Steve Young were


JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT - 1

THE SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S.
Attorneys at Law
Hoge Building, Suite 1200
705 Second Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104
Tel: 206-381-5949 Fax: 206-447-9206

1 represented by Denise Ashbaugh and Cristin Kent Aragon of Yarmuth Wilsdon PLLC and
2 Stanley J. Bensussen of Mission Support Alliance, LLC.

3 Consistent with the Verdict Form, which is attached, the Court enters judgment in
4 the amount of \$ 8,100,000.00. Pre-judgment interest, if applicable and
5 appropriate, will be determined at a later date. Attorney fees and costs shall be addressed
6 separately upon the filing of a fee petition, which will be filed in accordance with a
7 briefing schedule to be proposed by the parties and set by the Court, or through the
8 submission of a stipulated order and judgment.

9
10 DONE IN OPEN COURT this 10 day of October, 2017.

11
12 
13 Honorable Douglas L. Federspiel

14 Presented By:

15 THE SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S.

16 By: 

17 John P. Sheridan, WSBA #21473
Attorneys for Plaintiff Julie M. Atwood

18 Approved as to Form:

19
20 YARMUTH WILSDON PLLC

21
22 By: 

23 Denise L. Ashbaugh, WSBA # 28512
Cristin Kent Aragon, WSBA # 39224

ORIGINAL

JOSIE DELVIN
BENTON COUNTY CLERK

OCT 10 2017

FILED

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
FOR BENTON COUNTY

JULIE M. ATWOOD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MISSION SUPPORT ALLIANCE, LLC,
and STEVE YOUNG, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No.: 15-2-01914-4

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

JUDGMENT DOCKET
NO 17-9-02670-3

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, find as follows:

QUESTION NO. 1: Has Ms. Atwood proven her Washington Law Against
Discrimination claim based on gender by a preponderance of the evidence?

ANSWER: YES NO

*If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, please answer Question 2. If you answered
"No" to Question 1, skip Question 2 and answer Question 3.*

COPY

ORIGINAL

QUESTION NO. 2: Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Ms. Atwood has proven that Steve Young aided or abetted MSA's discrimination on the basis of Plaintiff's gender?

ANSWER: YES NO

QUESTION NO. 3: Has Ms. Atwood proven her Washington Law Against Discrimination claim based upon retaliation by a preponderance of the evidence?

ANSWER: YES NO

If you answered "Yes" to Question 3, please answer Question 4. If you answered "No" to Question 3, skip Question 4 and answer Question 5.

QUESTION NO. 4: Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Ms. Atwood has proven that Steve Young aided or abetted in MSA's retaliation under the Washington Law Against Discrimination?

ANSWER: YES NO

ORIGINAL

QUESTION NO. 5: Has Ms. Atwood proven her claim for wrongful discharge in violation of public policy based on the False Claims Act, or the policies therein, by a preponderance of the evidence?

ANSWER: YES NO

If you answered "No" to every one of the previous questions, do not answer any of the remaining questions, please sign and date the verdict form, and notify the bailiff. If you answered "Yes" to any of the previous questions, then please answer Question 6.

QUESTION NO. 6: Did Ms. Atwood prove by a preponderance of the evidence that she suffered damages proximately caused by the actions of MSA?

ANSWER: YES NO

If you answered "Yes" to Question 6, answer Question 7. If you answered "No" to Question No. 6, do not answer any of the remaining questions, please sign the verdict form, and notify the bailiff.

QUESTION NO. 7: Did Defendant(s) prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff failed to use reasonable efforts to mitigate her economic damages?

ANSWER: YES NO

ORIGINAL

(Note: Regardless of how you answer Question 7, answer Question 8: however, if you answered "Yes" to Question 7, the amount of economic damages should represent the net amount you award to Ms. Atwood after accounting for her failure to mitigate her damages.):

QUESTION NO. 8: Please state the amount of damages Ms. Atwood has suffered that were proximately caused by MSA's actions:

Economic Damages (reasonable value of lost past earnings and fringe benefits, and the present value of future earnings, and fringe benefits.)

\$ 2.1 million

Emotional Harm (emotional distress, pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, humiliation, personal indignity, embarrassment, fear, anxiety and/or anguish):

\$ 6 million

Dated this 10 day of October, 2017.

Man R. Butcher
Presiding Juror