

YOUR DEPOSITION TECHNOLOGY PARTNER[™]

Digital Transcripts • Internet Realtime • HD Legal Video • Picture-in-Picture Depositions Remote Witnesses • Designation Editing • Nationwide Scheduling • HD Videoconferencing

In the Matter of:

RYAN SANTHUFF

vs

STATE OF WASHINGTON

CAPTAIN TRAVIS MATHESON

September 27, 2019

Thank you for choosing Marlis J. DeJongh & Associates in association with SRS Premier Realtime for your court reporting, legal video, and deposition technology needs. It is always our goal to provide you with exceptional service. If there is anything we can do to assist you, please don't hesitate to let us know.

Marlis J. DeJongh, CCR Certified Court Reporter



The Premier Advantage[™] PDF transcript bundle contains:

- Full-size and condensed transcripts
- Printable word index
- Hyperlinked selectable word index
- Embedded printable exhibit scans
- Hyperlinked selectable exhibit viewing
- Common file formats: txt, lef, mdb accessed via *paperclip* icon

1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR KING COUNTY
2	
3	RYAN SANTHUFF, an individual,)
4	Plaintiff,)
5) No. 19-2-04610-4 KNT
6	
7	STATE OF WASHINGTON, and DAVID) JAMES NOBACH, an individual,)
8	Defendants.)
9	/
10	Deposition Upon Oral Examination
11	of
12	
13	CAPTAIN TRAVIS MATHESON
14	
15	Taken at 705 Second Avenue, Suite 1200 Seattle, Washington
16	Seattle, Washington
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	DATE: September 27, 2019
24	REPORTED BY: Wade J. Johnson, RPR
25	CCR No.: 2574

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	For the Plaintiff:
4	John P. Sheridan Justin Abassi
5	The Sheridan Law Firm, P.S. 705 Second Avenue, Suite 1200
6	Seattle, Washington 98104 jack@sheridanlawfirm.com
7	justin@sheridanlawfirm.com
8	
9	For the Defendants:
10	Andrew Biggs Assistant Attorney General
11	Office of the Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
12	Seattle, Washington 98104-73188 andrew.biggs@atg.wa.gov
13	
14	The Videographer:
15	Alison Borgida
16	SRS Premier Realtime & Legal Video 2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 425
17	Seattle, Washington 98121
18	
19	Also Present:
20	Trooper Ryan Santhuff
21	
22	000
23	
24	
25	

1		
2		INDEX
3	EXAMINATION BY	
4	Mr. Sheridan .	
5		
6		* * *
7	EXHIBITS FOR I	DENTIFICATION:
8	Number	PAGE
9	Exhibit 1	2010 Rules of Conduct, 8.00.300,
10		"Whistleblower - Improper Governmental Action" 9
11	Exhibit 2	2017 Rules of Conduct, 8.00.300,
12		"Whistleblower - Improper Governmental Action"
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		* * * *
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 3

Γ

1	SEATTLE, WASHINGTON; FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 2019	
2	11:24 A.M.	
3	000	
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record at	
5	11:24 a.m., on Friday, September 27, 2019. This is Volume 1,	
6	Media Unit 1 of the video recorded deposition of Travis	
7	Matheson.	
8	All parties have agreed to waive the read on.	
9	So we will skip to counsel noting their appearances and	
10	affiliations for the record, and then the court reporter may	
11	swear in the witness.	
12	MR. SHERIDAN: This is Jack Sheridan,	
13	representing the plaintiff. And in the room with me is	
14	Justin Abbasi, who is an attorney in our office.	
15	MR. BIGGS: Andrew Biggs, the State of	
16	Washington and Lieutenant Nobach.	
17		
18	CAPTAIN TRAVIS MATHESON, deponent herein, having been.	
19	first duly sworn on oath, was	
20	examined and testified as	
21	follows:	
22		
23	EXAMINATION	
24	BY MR. SHERIDAN:	
25	Q. Please state your full name for the record.	

1	Α.	Travis Matheson.
2	Q.	And, Mr. Matheson, with whom are you employed?
3	Α.	With the Washington State Patrol.
4	Q.	And how long have you been there?
5	A.	About 27 years.
6	Q.	Okay. Are you retiring in the next year or two?
7	Α.	Maybe.
8	Q.	All right. Where do you currently live?
9	Α.	In Olympia.
10		MR. SHERIDAN: Is this an ER 43 witness? Is
11	this a CR	43 witness? I'm asking about managing agent.
12		MR. BIGGS: You didn't ask for that, no.
13		MR. SHERIDAN: No, no, I'm just thinking
14	subpoena.	
15	Q.	I'll take your home address just in case.
16		What's your home address?
17	Α.	4923 Cushman Road Northeast, Olympia, Washington
18	98506.	
19	Q.	How long have you lived there?
20	Α.	Five or six years.
21	Q.	What do you currently do for the Washington State
22	Patrol?	
23	Α.	I'm a captain in charge of our Property Management
24	division.	
25	Q.	Before you had that job, what did you do?

1	A. I was a captain in charge of our Human Resource
2	division.
3	Q. How long did you have that job?
4	A. About 4 1/2 years.
5	Q. Who hired you into that job?
6	A. Chief Batiste.
7	Q. All right. And were you a direct report to Chief
8	Batiste at the time?
9	A. No.
10	Q. To whom did you report during that time?
11	A. There were two or three different assistant chiefs.
12	Among them, Assistant Chief Shawn Berry, and, most recently,
13	Assistant Chief Mark Lamoreaux, L-a-m-o-r-e-a-u-x.
14	Q. What did Assistant Chief Lamoreaux do, as far as
15	you know? What were his main duties?
16	A. So, as assistant chief, he's responsible for
17	several other districts and divisions. In his case, he was
18	responsible for the Technical Services Bureau, which included
19	Electronic Services division, technical or Information
20	Technology division, the Training Academy, the Communications
21	division, and the Human Resource department.
22	Q. Did you leave Human Resources on your own volition?
23	A. No.
24	Q. How did that come about?
25	A. The chief asked six captains to switch spots, about
25	A. The chief asked six captains to switch spots, about

1	three months ag	go, on July 1st.
2	Q. When	you say the captain, do you mean Batiste?
3	A. No, 1	the chief.
4	Q. Oh, t	che chief.
5	A. Chies	f Batiste, yes. And I was one of the six
6	captains that w	was switching spots.
7	Q. Who t	took your job?
8	A. Capta	ain Monica Alexander.
9	Q. And :	is she related to Johnny Alexander?
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. And b	by marriage?
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. And b	now long if you know how long was she in
14	the position?	
15	A. In th	ne Human Resource division?
16	Q. Yes.	
17	A. Just	a couple of months. She recently retired. So
18	all of captains	s reported July 1st. Well, I take that back.
19	I think a few n	reported around July.
20	Q. In 20	016 and 2017, when you were HR director, who
21	were your custo	omers?
22	A. My Cu	stomers were primarily the employees of the
23	State of Washin	ngton.
24	Q. Okay	. And were you the highest ranking Human
25	Resources direc	ctor in the State Patrol?

Γ

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And were you responsible for all policies and
3	procedures related to Human Resources?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And all applicable statutes, like discrimination,
6	retaliation, whistleblower, and all that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And is it true that, in 2016 and 2017, you were a
9	public official within the meaning of the whistleblower
10	statute?
11	A. I'd have to look at the definition of public
12	official within that statute.
13	Q. Sure. Sure. And under 42.40.020(7), the statute
14	says, "A public official means the attorney general's
15	designee or designees; the director, or equivalent thereof in
16	the agency where the employee works; an appropriate number of
17	individuals designated to receive whistleblower reports by
18	the head of each agency; or the executive ethics board."
19	MR. BIGGS: If that's a question, I object to
20	the form of the question.
21	Q. Yeah. And so, as you sit here today, do you recall
22	if you were?
23	A. There is language in the State Patrol's regulation
24	manual that talks about the whistleblower public officials, I
25	believe. And I think it's actually the Technical Services

1	Bureau assistant chief.
2	MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. Let me take a look at
3	so we have here a copy of the 2010 regulation manual that's
4	applicable, and we also have the 2017 one. We couldn't find
5	any between. So we're thinking that it's likely that this
6	section hasn't changed.
7	So let's have the 2010 one marked first.
8	THE REPORTER: Are we going to go with one?
9	MR. SHERIDAN: One is fine, yeah.
10	THE REPORTER: Can we go off the record for a
11	second?
12	MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah, let's go off the record a
13	second.
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off the
15	record. The time is 11:30 a.m.
16	(Exhibit 1 marked for
17	identification.)
18	(A brief recess was taken.)
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record. The
20	time is 11:31 a.m.
21	Q. I am handing the witness what's been marked as
22	Exhibit 1. And this purports to be Rules of Conduct from the
23	2010 version of the regulation manual. I'd like to direct
24	you over to the first two pages, addressed, "Whistleblower
25	and Improper Governmental Action." And, if you need to make

1	reference to them, go ahead. I'd like to direct your	
2	attention to the third page, under Subsection C, about	
3	halfway down, less than halfway down. And it says that	
4	the actually, I have to go back and start on the page	
5	before. It says, "Referral to Washington State Auditor's	
6	Office." And it says, "The following," at the bottom of page	
7	2, "are methods of reporting or submitting a whistleblower	
8	complaint," and then it has A, B, C, and it goes on.	
9	Under Subsection D, it says, "Directly to any state	
10	government public official to receive and document	
11	whistleblower complaints," and then it defines a public	
12	official.	
13	And then if you look at No. 3 it says,	
14	"Whistleblower complaints received by the deputy chief,	
15	Office of Professional Standards commander, or the Human	
16	Resources division commander shall be forwarded to the State	
17	Auditor's Office in 15 days."	
18	Would you agree that the Human Resource division	
19	commander in 2016 and 2017 was you?	
20	MR. BIGGS: Objection. Objection to form of	
21	the question.	
22	Q. You can answer.	
23	A. Yes, I was the Human Resource division commander in	
24	2016 and 2017.	
25	Q. Okay. Great. All right.	

1		Did there come a time that you learned that Trooper
2	Santhuff	was complaining that he was being retaliated
3	against?	
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	And is it fair to say that was in 2016?
6	Α.	I don't remember exactly.
7	Q.	Do you remember how the information came to you?
8	Α.	I don't specifically remember at this time, no.
9	Q.	Okay. And is it fair to say that you're aware
10	that he a	ctually transferred, right?
11	Α.	Can you clarify that?
12	Q.	Yeah. I'll say it a different way.
13		It's true, is it not, that you met with Trooper
14	Santhuff	at his exit interview? It was you and I think
15	Lieutenan	t Matagi.
16	Α.	Matagi.
17	Q.	Okay. Thank you.
18		And it's true, is it not, that, at that time, he
19	explained	to you facts about the sexual misconduct incident
20	involving	Lieutenant Nobach?
21	Α.	Nobach.
22	Q.	Nobach, yes.
23		MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question.
24		Go ahead.
25	Q.	You can answer.

1	Α.	I vaguely remember that, yes.
2	Q.	Okay. And before he told you about that, had you
3	been aware of it?	
4	Α.	I don't remember.
5	Q.	Okay. Did you have any conversations with is it
6	captain c	or chief Captain Alexander about Santhuff and his
7	retaliati	on complaints in 2016?
8	Α.	I had conversations with at the time Captain Johnny
9	Alexander	, yes.
10	Q.	Okay.
11	Α.	I don't recall the conversation I had with Trooper
12	Santhuff	very well and whether those conversations with
13	Captain A	lexander were before or after that.
14	Q.	Can you tell us, what did Captain Alexander say to
15	you?	
16	Α.	I don't recall those conversations specifically
17	either, y	reah.
18	Q.	Okay. Is it fair to say you understood, in talking
19	to Captai	n Alexander, that Trooper Santhuff had made various
20	claims ab	oout improper actions?
21	Α.	Yes, it's fair to say that.
22	Q.	All right. Did you ever do a whistleblower intake
23	for him?	
24	Α.	I'm not sure what that term "whistleblower intake"
25	means.	

1 So let's take a look at the exhibit again. 0. Okay. 2 So looking at the third page. 3 Α. Yes. It says that, "Whistleblower complaints received by 4 Ο. 5 the deputy chief or the Human Resource division commander 6 shall be forwarded to the State Auditor's Office within 15 7 days." Did you ever forward any information about complaints made by Trooper Santhuff -- whether or not they came to you 8 9 from Captain Alexander -- did you ever forward anything to 10 the State Auditor's Office? 11 Not that I recall, no. Α. 12 Okay. Would you agree that if -- do you have an 0. 13 understanding of the definition of improper governmental 14 action? 15 MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question. 16 That's a particularly relevant question these days. Α. It's pretty broad, too. 17 18 So take a look at page 1 of the exhibit, 0. It is. 19 under subsection policy, and then Item No. A-4. It says, 20 "Improper governmental action is defined as any action by an 21 employee undertaken in the performance of the employee's 2.2 official duties that is a gross waste of public funds, a 23 violation of federal or state law or rules, is of substantial 24 and specific danger to the public health, gross 25 mismanagement," and then there's a section about scientific

Γ

1	opinions that doesn't apply.
2	But during the time that you were in charge of
3	Human Resources, to your knowledge, did any such
4	whistleblower complaint any complaints of improper
5	governmental action reach your desk and get forwarded?
6	A. Sure.
7	Not that I recall, to the level that's described
8	here.
9	Q. Okay. Would you agree that a claim of sexual
10	harassment might be considered a violation of federal and
11	state law under 4(b)?
12	MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question.
13	A. Could you ask that again, please. I was trying to
14	read at the same time.
15	Q. Sure. Would you agree that a claim of sexual
16	harassment at work by a state employee would be considered to
17	fall under 4(b), that it would be in violation of federal or
18	state law?
19	MR. BIGGS: Same objection.
20	A. Yeah, so a claim of sexual harassment, not
21	necessarily.
22	Q. Okay. And that would be have you had to address
23	that issue in the whistleblower context during the time
24	you've been HR manager?
25	A. What do you mean?

1	Q. Have you had to make any decisions about whether or
2	not a particular claim that's come to you sort of rose to the
3	level of a whistleblower claim?
4	A. Not that I recall, no.
5	Q. Fair enough. All right.
6	So I know this is pushing the time of barrier quite
7	a bit, but could you tell us everything that you remember
8	that Captain Alexander told you about Santhuff's complaints.
9	A. I don't remember much. They were consulting-type
10	of meetings.
11	Q. Okay. So he was asking you for advice?
12	A. Yeah, I think that's fair.
13	Q. All right. Did he bring to your attention that at
14	any time that Trooper Santhuff had alleged that his immediate
15	boss, Lieutenant Nobach, was retaliating against him for
16	having spoken out about sexual harassment?
17	A. Did Captain Alexander tell me that?
18	Q. Yeah.
19	A. I believe so, yes.
20	Q. Okay. All right. And is it fair to say that that
21	happened in 2016?
22	A. I don't recall.
23	Q. You don't recall what month it was?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. And was that more than one meeting or one meeting?

1	А.	There was more than one.
2	Q.	Okay. Do you document any of those type of
3	meetings?	
4	Α.	Sometimes, yes.
5	Q.	If you did document the meeting, where would you
6	have docu	mented it?
7	Α.	I would have documented that in a computer program
8	that we r	efer to as Remedy.
9	Q.	Okay.
10	Α.	And we have a harassment file within there.
11	Q.	Okay. And so who had the authority to start a
12	particula	r file?
13	Α.	Myself, Lieutenant Matagi, Dr. Lastimato, and Deb
14	Tindall.	
15	Q.	And are those all Human Resource personnel?
16	Α.	Correct.
17	Q.	Are any of them civilians, as opposed to State
18	Patrol?	
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Which ones?
21	Α.	Myself and Lieutenant Matagi are commissioned, and
22	Dr. Lasti	mato and Deb Tindall are civilians.
23	Q.	In this case, do you recall whether or not you
24	started a	harassment file?
25	Α.	There was a file created, yes.

Γ

1	Q.	Pertaining to Santhuff?
2	Α.	Correct.
3	Q.	Did you create it?
4	Α.	I don't remember.
5	Q.	So having created the file, under your policies and
6	procedure	es, is there any obligation to investigate?
7	Α.	So the Human Resource division doesn't do
8	investiga	tions into policy violations. Our role is to ensure
9	the emplo	yees are safe. The Office of Professional Standards
10	is the er	tity within the Washington State Patrol that
11	conducts	investigations, looking for or determining if
12	there's a	policy violation.
13	Q.	Is there any requirement that HR have any oversight
14	of their	investigations?
15	Α.	There's no requirement that there's oversight by
16	HR. Ther	e is certainly coordination and communication.
17	Q.	Okay. All right. I wanted to show you we don't
18	need to m	mark them as exhibits because they're already
19	exhibits,	but so we've deposed Captain Alexander, and this
20	is Exhibi	ts 4 and 3 from that deposition. These things are
21	referred	to as 095s. Does that have meaning for you, an 095?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Okay. Let me give you a chance to read both of
24	them. It	's fairly quick, and then let me know when you've
25	had a cha	ance to look.

1	A. Okay.
2	Q. All right. Have you ever seen these documents
3	before?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. Could you tell us when.
6	A. Well, shortly after they were issued, so to speak,
7	I think they were provided to the Human Resource division to
8	include in the file that I referred to in Remedy.
9	Q. Okay. So, basically, is it fair to say that the
10	harassment file you've been discussing was probably created
11	way back in March of 2016?
12	A. Yes, it's fair to say that.
13	Q. Do these files have names on them?
14	A. They have names within them. They have a tracking
15	number. Yes.
16	Q. So what I'm trying to understand
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Was it created identifying Trooper Santhuff as the
19	person who is allegedly the victim?
20	A. I don't recall. There are different roles for
21	individuals within each file. It's a computer dropdown. And
22	T dealt we sell the wells that we a since to Massace Conthuff in
	I don't recall the role that was given to Trooper Santhuff in
23	this particular incident.
23 24	
	this particular incident.

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. So one of the questions I have is: Did you have an
3	understanding that it was Captain Alexander who made the
4	decision to provide these 095s to Ms. Biscay and to
5	Lieutenant Nobach?
6	A. I don't remember that specifically.
7	Q. Is it true, based on your understanding of the
8	contracts with the various unions, that, once Alexander gave
9	Nobach this 095, Nobach could not be further disciplined?
10	A. So this is not considered a form of discipline in
11	the contract. So there's some granularity in that question.
12	Q. Okay. So it's sort of like, in the law, we talk
13	about double jeopardy?
14	A. Yes.
14 15	A. Yes.Q. Can an 095 have a double jeopardy effect on more
15	Q. Can an 095 have a double jeopardy effect on more
15 16	Q. Can an 095 have a double jeopardy effect on more serious punishment in your experience?
15 16 17	Q. Can an 095 have a double jeopardy effect on more serious punishment in your experience? MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question.
15 16 17 18	Q. Can an 095 have a double jeopardy effect on more serious punishment in your experience? MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question. A. Yes.
15 16 17 18 19	Q. Can an 095 have a double jeopardy effect on more serious punishment in your experience? MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did Alexander talk to you about his intent
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Can an 095 have a double jeopardy effect on more serious punishment in your experience? MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did Alexander talk to you about his intent to give this so that no additional discipline could be given?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Can an 095 have a double jeopardy effect on more serious punishment in your experience? MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did Alexander talk to you about his intent to give this so that no additional discipline could be given? MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22	Q. Can an 095 have a double jeopardy effect on more serious punishment in your experience? MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did Alexander talk to you about his intent to give this so that no additional discipline could be given? MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question. A. I don't recall that.

1	Q. Do you recall whether or not the way that do you
2	recall whether or not Alexander presented to you a picture of
3	Ms. Biscay basically rubbing her breasts into the head of
4	Lieutenant Nobach?
5	A. Is the question: Do I recall Captain Alexander
6	relaying that?
7	Q. Yes.
8	A. I believe so.
9	Q. And do you recall whether or not he had suggested
10	whether there was an appropriate level of discipline that
11	ought to be applied?
12	A. I do not recall that.
13	Q. Does your organization do any investigations as HR?
14	A. So, again, the term "investigation" is something
15	that's typically reserved for the Office of Professional
16	Standards in the State Patrol. Again, our role is to ensure
17	safety. So we will make phone calls to employees and to
18	people involved in that effort. So I don't know if you call
19	that an investigation or not.
20	Q. Fair enough.
21	Have you ever been a whistleblower?
22	A. I don't think so, no.
23	Q. Okay. Fair enough. Okay.
24	Have you yourself personally witnessed any abuse of
25	power within your organization that you reported?

1	2	No
1	Α.	No.
2	Q.	Have you ever been disciplined for anything?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	What type of things?
5	Α.	Boy, I believe I had a courtesy complaint when I
6	was a tro	ooper.
7	Q.	Meaning that a citizen said that you weren't
8	courteous	3?
9	А.	Correct.
10	Q.	Okay.
11	А.	And I think I had a speeding complaint as a
12	trooper,	meaning that someone called in that they saw a fast
13	patrol ca	r and it was me.
14	Q.	Okay.
15	А.	I think that's it.
16	Q.	Okay. And since you've gotten up to captain,
17	anything	as a captain or lieutenant or any of that?
18	А.	No. I've made mistakes, but I have not been
19	formally	disciplined.
20	Q.	Okay. Fair enough.
21		Let me just show you the 2017 one, since that will
22	help clos	se the circle.
23	Α.	Yeah.
24		(Exhibit 2 marked for
25		identification.)

Γ

1	Q. So the 2017 one, it's again on page 3.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And it says at the bottom, Item 3, is,
4	"Whistleblower complaints received by the deputy chief,
5	Office of Professional Standards, or Human Resource division
6	commander shall be forwarded to the State Auditor's Office."
7	So we concluded from that that probably between
8	2010 and 2017 this regulation had not been changed.
9	Do you have any knowledge that this item that I've
10	just read to you may have fallen out of grace in 2016 or some
11	other time?
12	A. I do not.
13	MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. Thanks. All right.
14	Let's take a quick break.
15	MR. BIGGS: Okay.
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off record.
17	The time now is 11:48 a.m.
18	(A brief recess was taken.)
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record.
20	The time is 11:50 a.m.
21	Q. As HR manager, have you ever had a situation where
22	a manager told an employee that they should not go outside of
23	their organization to make a complaint?
24	MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question.
25	A. Can you be more specific?

1	Q. Sure.
2	A. Like give me an example?
3	Q. Yeah.
4	So there's been some testimony that Trooper
5	Santhuff was basically told to sort of not repeat these
6	allegations outside of our little outside of Aviation.
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. Is there any policy or procedure that would
9	prohibit him from doing that?
10	MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question.
11	A. No.
12	Q. In fact, isn't there a duty among all the way up
13	through the supervisory chain that, if they hear about
14	sexual harassment, they need to report it?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. How about, are there any policies or procedures
17	that would prohibit an employee from going to the media if
18	they wanted to, that you know of?
19	A. We do have policies regarding employee interaction
20	with the media, yes.
21	Q. Where would they be found?
22	A. They're in the regulation manual at the State
23	Patrol.
24	Q. All right.
25	A. Not the sections we have here.

1	Q. Are you familiar with them, that you could
2	summarize them?
3	A. I'm not comfortable doing that. Sorry.
4	Q. Sure.
5	A. No, I'm not familiar enough, no.
6	MR. SHERIDAN: That's an honest answer. Thank
7	you. All right. I have no further questions. Thanks for
8	your time.
9	MR. BIGGS: No questions. Thank you.
10	Signature is reserved.
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One second. I will take us
12	off record. All right. That concludes the video deposition
13	of Travis Matheson.
14	We are now going off record at 11:52 a.m.
15	(Signature reserved.)
16	(Deposition concluded at 11:52 a.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	AFFIDAVIT
2 3 4	STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING)
5	
6 7	I, CAPTAIN TRAVIS MATHESON, hereby declare under
8	penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing deposition
o 9	and that the testimony contained herein is a true and correct
10	transcript of my testimony, noting the corrections attached.
11 12	
13	CAPTAIN TRAVIS MATHESON
14	
15	Date:
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss
3	COUNTY OF KING)
4	
5	I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, pursuant to RCW 5.28.010, authorized to administer
6	oaths and affirmations in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing deposition of the witness
7	named herein was taken stenographically before me and reduced to a typed format under my direction;
8 9 10	That, according to CR 30(e), the witness was given the opportunity to examine, read and sign the deposition after same was transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the review was waived;
11	That I am not a relative or employee of any
12	attorney or counsel or participant and that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the action or the outcome herein;
13	
14 15	That the deposition, as transcribed, is a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers and all objections, motions and examinations and said transcript was prepared pursuant to the
16	Washington Administrative Code 308-14-135 preparation
17	Wade Wolmen
18	Wade J. Johnson, Certified Court Reporter 2574 for the State of Washington
19	residing at Seattle, Washington. My CCR certification expires on 09/18/20.
20	My CER CERTIfication Expires on 09/10/20.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2 3 October 4, 2019 4 To: Andrew Biggs Assistant Attorney General 5 Office of the Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 6 Seattle, Washington 98104-73188 7 andrew.biggs@atg.wa.gov 8 Case Name: Santhuff vs. State of Washington, et al. Deposition of: CAPTAIN TRAVIS MATHESON 9 Date Taken: September 27, 2019 Court Reporter: Wade J. Johnson, RPR 10 11 This letter is to advise you of the following: Signature was reserved. The Affidavit and correction 12 X__ sheet are being forwarded to you in electronic form. 13 Please have the deponent review the transcript, note any corrections on the corrections page, and return the signed affidavit and correction page to us within 14 30 days of this notice. According to Court Rule 30(e), 15 the deposition affidavit should be signed within thirty (30) days or signature is considered waived. 16 Signature was reserved. The transcript is ready for review and signature. Your office did not order a 17 copy of the deposition transcript. Please contact our office to make an appointment for review. 18 Signature must be completed within 30 days of this 19 notice. 20 (Sent without signature to avoid delay) 21 Wade J. Johnson, RPR 22 23 cc: John P. Sheridan 24 25

CORRECTION SHEET						
PLEASE NOTE ALL CHANGES OR CORRECTIONS ON THIS SHEET BY PAGE AND LINE NUMBER, AND THE REASON THEREFOR.						
PAGE	LINE	CORRECTION AND REASON				

Page 29Index: --ooo--..bit

Exhibits	3	
Matheson Exhibit 1 3:9 9:16,22	3 10:13 17:20 22:1,3	
Matheson Exhibit 2 3:11 21:24		
	4	
•	4 3:3 6:4 17:20	
000 2:22 4:3	4(b) 14:11,17	
	42.40.020(7) 8:13	
0	425 2:16	
095 17:21 19:9,15	43 5:10,11	
095s 17:21 19:4,24	4923 5:17	
1	8	
1 3:9 4:5,6 9:16,22 13:18		
1/2 6:4		
11:24 4:2,5	9	
11:30 9:15	9 3:10	
11:31 9:20	98104 2:6 98104-73188 2:12 98121 2:17 98506 5:18	
11:48 22:17		
11:50 22:20		
11:52 24:14,16		
1200 2:5	^	
15 10:17 13:6	Α	
1st 7:1,18	A-4 13:19	
2	a.m. 4:2,5 9:15,20 22:17,20 24:14,16	
	Abassi 2:4	
2 3:11 10:7 21:24	Abbasi 4:14	
2000 2:11	abuse 20:24	
2010 3:9 9:3,7,23 22:8	Academy 6:20	
2016 7:20 8:8 10:19,24 11:5 12:7 15:21 18:11 22:10	action 3:10,12 9:25 13:14,20 14:5	
2017 3:11 7:20 8:8 9:4 10:19,24	actions 12:20 additional 19:20	
21:21 22:1,8	address 5:15,16 14:22	
2019 4:1,5	addressed 9:24	
21 3:12	advice 15:11	
27 4:1,5 5:5	affiliations 4:10	

1 age 2911dex000bi			
agency 8:16,18			
agent 5:11			
agree 10:18 13:12 14:9,15			
agreed 4:8			
ahead 10:1 11:24			
Alexander 7:8,9 12:6,9,13,14,19 13:9 15:8,17 17:19 19:3,8,19 20:2,5			
Alison 2:15			
allegations 23:6			
alleged 15:14			
allegedly 18:19			
Andrew 2:10 4:15			
andrew.biggs@atg.wa.gov 2:12			
appearances 4:9			
applicable 8:5 9:4			
applied 20:11			
apply 14:1			
assistant 2:10 6:11,12,13,14,16 9:1			
attention 10:2 15:13			
attorney 2:10,11 4:14 8:14			
Auditor's 10:5,17 13:6,10 22:6			
authority 16:11			
Avenue 2:5,11,16			
Aviation 23:6			
aware 11:9 12:3			
В			

back 7:18 9:19 10:4 18:11 22:19
barrier 15:6
based 19:7
basically 18:9 20:3 23:5
Batiste 6:6,8 7:2,5
Berry 6:12
Biggs 2:10 4:15 5:12 8:19 10:20 11:23 13:15 14:12,19 19:17,21 22:15,24 23:10 24:9
Biscay 19:4 20:3
bit 15:7

· · · ·
board 8:18
Borgida 2:15
boss 15:15
bottom 10:6 22:3
Boy 21:5
break 22:14
breasts 20:3
bring 15:13
broad 13:17
Bureau 6:18 9:1
C
call 20:18
called 21:12
calls 20:17
captain 4:18 5:23 6:1 7:2,8 12:6,8, 13,14,19 13:9 15:8,17 17:19 19:3 20:5 21:16,17
captains 6:25 7:6,18
car 21:13
case 5:15 6:17 16:23
chain 23:13
chance 17:23,25
changed 9:6 22:8
charge 5:23 6:1 14:2
chief 6:6,7,12,13,14,16,25 7:3,4,5 9:1 10:14 12:6 13:5 22:4
chiefs 6:11
circle 21:22
citizen 21:7
civilians 16:17,22
claim 14:9,15,20 15:2,3
claims 12:20
clarify 11:11
close 21:22
comfortable 24:3
commander 10:15,16,19,23 13:5

commissioned 16:21 communication 17:16 **Communications** 6:20 complaining 11:2 complaint 10:8 14:4 21:5,11 22:23 complaints 10:11,14 12:7 13:4,7 14:4 15:8 22:4 computer 16:7 18:21 concluded 22:7 24:16 concludes 24:12 Conduct 3:9,11 9:22 conducts 17:11 considered 14:10,16 19:10 consulted 19:24 consulting-type 15:9 **context** 14:23 contract 19:11 contracts 19:8 conversation 12:11 conversations 12:5.8.12.16 coordination 17:16 **copy** 9:3 Correct 15:24 16:16 17:2 21:9 counsel 4:9 couple 7:17 **court** 4:10 courteous 21:8 courtesy 21:5 CR 5:11 create 17:3 created 16:25 17:5 18:10,18 Cushman 5:17 customers 7:21,22 D

danger 13:24 days 10:17 13:7,16 Page 30Index: board..duty

Deb 16:13.22 decision 19:4 decisions 15:1 **Defendants** 2:9 defined 13:20 defines 10:11 definition 8:11 13:13 department 6:21 deponent 4:18 deposed 17:19 deposition 4:6 17:20 24:12,16 deputy 10:14 13:5 22:4 designated 8:17 designee 8:15 designees 8:15 desk 14:5 determining 17:11 direct 6:7 9:23 10:1 Directly 10:9 director 7:20,25 8:15 18:25 discipline 19:10,20 20:10 disciplined 19:9 21:2,19 discrimination 8:5 discussing 18:10 districts 6:17 division 5:24 6:2,19,20,21 7:15 10:16,18,23 13:5 17:7 18:7 22:5 divisions 6:17 document 10:10 16:2,5 documented 16:6,7 documents 18:2 **double** 19:13,15 dropdown 18:21 duly 4:19 duties 6:15 13:22 duty 23:12

22:6

find 9:4 Е fine 9:9 **Firm** 2:5 effect 19:15 form 8:20 10:20 11:23 13:15 14:12 effort 20:18 19:10,17,21 22:24 23:10 Electronic 6:19 formally 21:19 employed 5:2 forward 13:7,9 employee 8:16 13:21 14:16 22:22 forwarded 10:16 13:6 14:5 22:6 23:17,19 found 23:21 employee's 13:21 Friday 4:1,5 employees 7:22 17:9 20:17 full 4:25 ensure 17:8 20:16 funds 13:22 entity 17:10 equivalent 8:15 G **ER** 5:10 ethics 8:18 gave 19:8 **EXAMINATION 3:2** General 2:10,11 examined 4:20 general's 8:14 executive 8:18 give 17:23 19:20 23:2 exhibit 3:9,11 9:16,22 13:1,18 21:24 government 10:10 exhibits 3:7 17:18,19,20 governmental 3:9,11 9:25 13:13,20 14:5 exit 11:14 grace 22:10 experience 19:16 granularity 19:11 explained 11:19 **Great** 10:25 F gross 13:22,24 fact 23:12 Н facts 11:19 halfway 10:3

fair 11:5,9 12:18,21 15:5,12,20 18:9, 12 20:20,23 21:20 fairly 17:24 fall 14:17 fallen 22:10 familiar 24:1.5 fast 21:12 federal 13:23 14:10,17 file 16:10,12,24,25 17:5 18:8,10,21 files 18:13

Marlis J. DeJongh & Associates

Page 31Index: effect..John

Tage STINdex. elicet
home 5:15,16
honest 24:6
HR 7:20 14:24 17:13,16 20:13 22:21
Human 6:1,21,22 7:15,24 8:3 10:15, 18,23 13:5 14:3 16:15 17:7 18:7,25 22:5
l
identification 3:7 9:17 21:25
identifying 18:18
improper 3:9,11 9:25 12:20 13:13, 20 14:4
incident 11:19 18:23
include 18:8
included 6:18
individuals 8:17 18:21
information 6:19 11:7 13:7
intake 12:22,24
intent 19:19
interaction 23:19
interview 11:14
investigate 17:6
investigation 20:14,19
investigations 17:8,11,14 20:13
involved 18:24 20:18
involving 11:20
issue 14:23
issued 18:6
issues 18:24
item 13:19 22:3,9

J

Jack 4:12 jack@sheridanlawfirm.com 2:6 jeopardy 19:13,15 **job** 5:25 6:3,5 7:7 John 2:4

handing 9:21

happened 15:21

head 8:18 20:3

health 13:24

highest 7:24

hear 23:13

hired 6:5

16:10,24 18:10 23:14

harassment 14:10,16,20 15:16

Johnny 7:9 12:8 July 7:1,18,19

Justin 2:4 4:14

justin@sheridanlawfirm.com 2:7

Κ

L

knowledge 14:3 22:9

L-A-M-O-R-E-A-U-X 6:13 labor-related 18:24 Lamoreaux 6:13,14 language 8:23 Lastimato 16:13,22 law 2:5 13:23 14:11,18 19:12 learned 11:1 leave 6:22 Legal 2:16 level 14:7 15:3 20:10 lieutenant 4:16 11:15,20 15:15 16:13,21 19:5 20:4 21:17 live 5:8 lived 5:19 long 5:4,19 6:3 7:13

Μ

made 12:19 13:8 19:3 21:18 main 6:15 make 9:25 15:1 20:17 22:23 Management 5:23 manager 14:24 22:21,22 managing 5:11 manual 8:24 9:3,23 23:22 March 18:11 mark 6:13 17:18 marked 9:7,16,21 21:24 marriage 7:11 Matagi 11:15,16 16:13,21 Matheson 4:7,18 5:1,2 24:13 meaning 8:9 17:21 21:7,12 means 8:14 12:25 media 4:6 23:17,20 meeting 15:25 16:5 meetings 15:10 16:3 **met** 11:13 methods 10:7 misconduct 11:19 mismanagement 13:25 mistakes 21:18 Monica 7:8 month 15:23 months 7:1,17

Ν

names 18:13,14 necessarily 14:21 Nobach 4:16 11:20,21,22 15:15 19:5,9 20:4 Northeast 5:17 noting 4:9 number 3:8 8:16 18:15

0

oath 4:19 object 8:19 objection 10:20 11:23 13:15 14:12, 19 19:17,21 22:24 23:10 obligation 17:6 office 2:11 4:14 10:6,15,17 13:6,10 17:9 20:15 22:5,6 official 8:9,12,14 10:10,12 13:22 officials 8:24 Olympia 5:9,17 Page 32Index: Johnny..provided

opinions 14:1 opposed 16:17 organization 20:13,25 22:23 oversight 17:13,15

Р

P.S. 2:5 pages 9:24 parties 4:8 patrol 5:3,22 7:25 16:18 17:10 20:16 21:13 23:23 Patrol's 8:23 people 20:18 performance 13:21 person 18:19 personally 20:24 personnel 16:15 Pertaining 17:1 phone 20:17 picture 20:2 plaintiff 2:3 4:13 policies 8:2 17:5 23:16,19 **policy** 13:19 17:8,12 23:8 position 7:14 power 20:25 Present 2:19 presented 20:2 pretty 13:17 primarily 7:22 procedure 23:8 procedures 8:3 17:6 23:16 Professional 10:15 17:9 20:15 22:5 program 16:7 prohibit 23:9,17 Property 5:23 provide 19:4 provided 18:7

public 8:9,11,14,24 10:10,11 13:22,	repeat 23:5	September 4:1,5	
24	report 6:7,10 23:14	Services 6:18,19 8:25	
punishment 19:16	reported 7:18,19 20:25	sexual 11:19 14:9,15,20 15:16	
purports 9:22	reporter 4:10 9:8,10	23:14	
pushing 15:6	reporting 10:7	Shawn 6:12	
Q	reports 8:17	Sheridan 2:4,5 3:3 4:12,24 5:10,13 9:2,9,12 22:13 24:6	
	representing 4:13	shortly 18:6	
question 8:19,20 10:21 11:23 13:15,16 14:12 19:11,17,21 20:5	requirement 17:13,15	show 17:17 21:21	
22:24 23:10	reserved 20:15 24:10,15	signature 24:10,15	
questions 19:2 24:7,9	Resource 6:1,21 7:15 10:18,23 13:5 16:15 17:7 18:7,25 22:5	sit 8:21	
quick 17:24 22:14	Resources 6:22 7:25 8:3 10:16	situation 22:21	
	14:3	Sixth 2:16	
R	responsible 6:16,18 8:2	skip 4:9	
ranking 7:24	retaliated 11:2	sort 15:2 19:12 23:5	
reach 14:5	retaliating 15:15	speak 18:6	
read 4:8 14:14 17:23 22:10	retaliation 8:6 12:7	specific 13:24 22:25	
Realtime 2:16	retired 7:17	specifically 11:8 12:16 19:6	
recall 8:21 12:11,16 13:11 14:7	retiring 5:6	speeding 21:11	
15:4,22,23 16:23 18:20,22 19:22 20:1,2,5,9,12	Road 5:17	spoken 15:16	
receive 8:17 10:10	role 17:8 18:22 20:16	spots 6:25 7:6	
received 10:14 13:4 22:4	roles 18:20	SRS PREMIER 2:16	
recently 6:12 7:17	room 4:13	Standards 10:15 17:9 20:16 22:5	
recess 9:18 22:18	rose 15:2	start 10:4 16:11	
record 4:4,10,25 9:10,12,15,19	rubbing 20:3	started 16:24	
22:16,19 24:12,14	rules 3:9,11 9:22 13:23	state 4:15,25 5:3,21 7:23,25 8:23	
recorded 4:6	Ryan 2:20	10:5,9,16 13:6,10,23 14:11,16,18 16:17 17:10 20:16 22:6 23:22	
refer 16:8		statute 8:10,12,13	
reference 10:1	S	statutes 8:5	
Referral 10:5	safe 17:9	submitting 10:7	
referred 17:21 18:8	safety 20:17	subpoena 5:14	
regulation 8:23 9:3,23 22:8 23:22	Santhuff 2:20 11:2,14 12:6,12,19	subsection 10:2,9 13:19	
related 7:9 8:3	13:8 15:14 17:1 18:18,22 23:5	substantial 13:23	
relaying 20:6	Santhuff's 15:8		
relevant 13:16	scientific 13:25	suggested 20:9	
Remedy 16:8 18:8	Seattle 2:6,12,17 4:1	Suite 2:5,11,16 summarize 24:2	
remember 11:6,7,8 12:1,4 15:7,9	section 9:6 13:25		
17:4 19:6,25	sections 23:25	supervisory 23:13	

Page 33Index: public..supervisory

vialiteson, Capitain Travis - Septembe	51 21, 2013	i age 54i
swear 4:11 unions 19:8		
switch 6:25	Unit 4:6	
switching 7:6		
sworn 4:19	V	
	vaguely 12:1	
T	version 9:23	
talk 19:12,19	victim 18:19	
talking 12:18	video 2:16 4:6 24:12	
talks 8:24	violation 13:23 14:10,17 17:12	
technical 6:18,19 8:25	violations 17:8	
Technology 6:20	volition 6:22	
term 12:24 20:14	Volume 4:5	
testified 4:20		
testimony 23:4	W	
thereof 8:15	waive 4:8	
things 17:20 21:4	wanted 17:17 23:18	
thinking 5:13 9:5	Washington 2:6,12,17 4:1,16 5:3,	
time 6:8,10 9:15,20 11:1,8,18 12:8 14:2,14,23 15:6,14 22:11,17,20 24:8	17,21 7:23 10:5 17:10 waste 13:22	
Tindall 16:14,22	whistleblower 3:9,11 8:6,9,17,24	
today 8:21	9:24 10:7,11,14 12:22,24 13:4 14:4, 23 15:3 20:21 22:4	
told 12:2 15:8 22:22 23:5	witnessed 20:24	
tracking 18:14	work 14:16	
Training 6:20	works 8:16	
transferred 11:10	WOIKS 0.10	
Travis 4:6,18 5:1 24:13	Y	
trooper 2:20 11:1,13 12:11,19 13:8 15:14 18:18,22 21:6,12 23:4	year 5:6	
true 8:8 11:13,18 19:7	years 5:5,20 6:4	
type 16:2 21:4		
typically 20:15		
U		
understand 18:16		
understanding 13:13 19:3,7		
understood 12:18		
undertaken 13:21		