













2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 425, Seattle, WA 98121 • 206.389.9321 • Toll Free: 855.329.0919 • srspremier.com

YOUR DEPOSITION TECHNOLOGY PARTNER™

Digital Transcripts • Internet Realtime • HD Legal Video • Picture-in-Picture Depositions Remote Witnesses • Designation Editing • Nationwide Scheduling • HD Videoconferencing

In the Matter of:

RYAN SANTHUFF

VS

STATE OF WASHINGTON

DAVID NOBACH

July 10, 2020

Thank you for choosing SRS Premier Realtime for your court reporting, legal video, and deposition technology needs. It is always our goal to provide you with exceptional service. If there is anything we can do to assist you, please don't hesitate to let us know.

Sarah Fitzgibbon, CCR
Deposition Services Lead Consultant



The Premier Advantage™
PDF transcript bundle contains:

- Full-size and condensed transcripts
- Printable word index
- Hyperlinked selectable word index
- Embedded printable exhibit scans
- Hyperlinked selectable exhibit viewing
- Common file formats: txt, lef, mdb accessed via *paperclip* icon

	OURT OF WASHINGTON KING COUNTY
RYAN SANTHUFF, an individual,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 19-2-04610-4 KNT
STATE OF WASHINGTON, and DAVID JAMES NOBACH, an individual,))
Defendants.)
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITI	ON OF DAVID J. NOBACH
July	10, 2020
Tacoma,	Washington

Reporter: Barbara Castrow, CCR, RMR, CRR

1	APPEARANCES
2	For the Plaintiff (Via Zoom):
3	John Patrick Sheridan
4	Mark Rose The Sheridan Law Firm, P.S.
5	705 Second Avenue Suite 1200
6	Seattle, WA 98104-1745 206.381.5949 206.447.9206 Fax
7	jack@sheridanlawfirm.com mark@sheridanlawfirm.com
8	mark@sheridamiawriim.com
9	For the Defendants:
10	Andrew Biggs Office of the Attorney General
11	800 Fifth Avenue Suite 2000
12	Seattle, WA 98104-3188 206.389.3804
13	andrew.biggs@atg.wa.gov
14	Also present: Allison Borgida, Videographer
15	Ryan Santhuff (Via Zoom)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1			EXAMINATION INDEX	
2	EXAMINATIO	ON BY:		PAGE NO.
3	MR. SHERIDA	/N		7
4				
5			EXHIBIT INDEX	
6	EXHIBIT 1	10.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
7	Exhibit No.	1	9-page document - Nobach emails.	6
8	Exhibit No.	2	2-page Public Disclosure Control Sheet.	6
10	Exhibit No.	3	4-page Public Disclosure Control Sheet.	6
11 12	Exhibit No.	4	1-page Job Performance Documentation Record.	6
13	Exhibit No.	5	1-page Job Performance Documentation Record.	6
14 15	Exhibit No.	6	3-page email document. JPSSANT000726-728.	6
16	Exhibit No.	7	3-page document. JPSSANT000729-731.	6
17	Exhibit No.	8	1-page email. WSP10200229.	6
18 19	Exhibit No.	9	1-page email chain. WSP 30700002.	6
20	Exhibit No.	10	1-page email. WSP 3070001.	6
21	Exhibit No.	11	2-page email chain.	6
22	Exhibit No.	12	2-page email chain.	6
23 24	Exhibit No.	13	9-page document with emails and attachments. WSP 01200016-24.	6
25				

1	EXHIBIT NO.	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continuing.) DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
2	Exhibit No. 14	1-page Internal Incident Report.	6
4	Exhibit No. 15	8-page Exculpatory Questions for Lieutenant Nobach.	6
5 6 –	Exhibit No. 16	1-page letter to Lieutenant Nobach from Captain Saunders dated 10/14/16.	6
7 8	Exhibit No. 17	1-page email.	6
9	Exhibit No. 18	1-page email. WSP 10300100.	6
10	Exhibit No. 19	8-page document with email and attached Employee Annual Review Checklist.	6
11 12	Exhibit No. 20	1-page letter to Lieutenant Nobach from Captain Saunders dated 2/6/17.	6
13 14	Exhibit No. 21	11-page Lieutenant Job Performance Appraisal. WSP 10200195-10200205.	6
15 16	Exhibit No. 22	10-page Lieutenant Job Performance Appraisal. WSP 01200524-533.	6
17 18	Exhibit No. 23	1-page Washington State Patrol Employee History.	6
19 20	Exhibit No. 24	1-page Washington State Patrol RMD History Request. WSP 01200232.	6
21 22	Exhibit No. 25	47-page Statement of Lieutenant David Jim Nobach dated 5/19/17.	6
23 24	Exhibit No. 26	1-page letter to Lieutenant Nobach from Captain Saunders dated 7/6/17.	6
25			

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1	EXHIBIT NO.	EXHIBIT INDEX (Continuing.)	PAGE NO.
2			
3	Exhibit No. 27	12-page WSP Aviation Pilot Applicant Flight Evaluation.	6
4	Exhibit No. 28	56-page Employee Performance. WSP 10200096-151.	6
5 6	Exhibit No. 29	4-page Aviation Maintenance and Life-Cycle Analysis.	6
7		SAN002248-2251.	
8	Exhibit No. 30	8-page document. SAN002201-2208.	6
9	Exhibit No. 31	2-page email chain.	6
10	Exhibit No. 32	1-page chart. WSP 30600005.	6
11	Exhibit No. 33	1-page email chain. JPSSANT000803.	6
12		JPSSAN1000603.	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	I.		l l

```
1
                        BE IT REMEMBERED that on Friday,
     July 10, 2020, at 2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202,
 2
 3
     Tacoma, Washington, at 9:34 a.m., before BARBARA CASTROW,
 4
     CCR, RMR, CRR, appeared DAVID J. NOBACH, the witness
 5
     herein;
 6
                        WHEREUPON, the following proceedings
     were had and videotaped, to wit:
 7
 8
 9
                           <<<<< >>>>>
10
11
                               (Exhibit Nos. 1-33 marked
12
                                for identification.)
13
                       VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Good
14
     morning. We are going on the record at 9:34 a.m. on
     Friday, July 10th, 2020. This is Volume I, Media Unit I,
15
16
     of the video recorded deposition of James Nobach in the
17
     matter of Santhuff versus the State of Washington, filed
18
     in the Superior Court of Washington in and for King
              The case number, 19-2-04610-4 KNT.
19
20
          This deposition is being held at 2208 North 30th
21
     Street, Suite 202, Tacoma, Washington, 98403.
22
          My name is Allison Borgida. I'm the videographer
23
     today from SRS Premiere Realtime. The court reporter
     today is Barbara Castrow, also from SRS Premier Realtime.
24
25
          Will counsel and all present please note their
```

1	appearances and affiliations for the record and then the		
2	court reporter may swear in the witness.		
3	MR. SHERIDAN: This is Jack Sheridan		
4	representing the plaintiff, Trooper Ryan Santhuff, who is		
5	present remotely, as am I, and Mark Rose, who is an		
6	attorney in our office, who is also present remotely.		
7	MR. BIGGS: This is Andrew Biggs		
8	representing the defendants.		
9	DAVID J. NOBACH, having been first duly sworn		
10	by the Certified Court		
11	Reporter, testified as		
12	follows:		
13	MR. BIGGS: I would like to confirm on		
14	the record before we begin, also, that although we are		
15	appearing by Zoom, some parties are on the Zoom, it is		
16	not being recorded and is not part of the record. The		
17	official record is the court transcript and the official		
18	video.		
19	MR. SHERIDAN: That's agreed.		
20	Okay. Did we swear the witness yet?		
21	REPORTER: Yes.		
22	EXAMINATION		
23	BY MR. SHERIDAN:		
24	Q Okay. Good morning, Lieutenant Nobach. Please state		
25	your full name for the record.		

- 1 A David James Nobach.
- 2 Q All right. And, Lieutenant Nobach, you are the head of
- 3 the aviation section?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q And could you explain for us how you get to be the head
- of the aviation section? What are your qualifications?
- 7 A I rose through the ranks from trooper to sergeant to
- 8 lieutenant. The qualifications that I have were
- 9 commercial instrument and airline transport pilot. And I
- tested through the State Patrol system as administered by
- 11 HRD and interviewed for the position of sergeant and
- 12 interviewed for the position of lieutenant of aviation
- and was selected.
- 14 | Q All right. And did you fly for anybody before joining
- 15 | the State Patrol?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q Who did you fly for?
- 18 A I flew for Big Bend Community College. I flew a little
- 19 bit for Era Aviation in Alaska.
- 20 | O Anyone else?
- 21 A That's all I recall.
- 22 | O Okay. And how did you go about getting your private
- 23 | pilot's license?
- 24 | A I went to Snohomish Flying Service at Harvey Field in
- 25 Snohomish County when I was in high school and received

- my private pilot's license.
- 2 | Q All right. Did you get commercial qualified at that
- $3 \mid time?$
- 4 A I went to Big Bend Community College where I received my
- 5 commercial instrument and CFI ratings.
- 6 0 What year was that?
- 7 A I started Big Bend in '85, graduated in '87.
- 8 | Q All right. And tell us about -- is it A-R-A in Alaska,
- 9 Ara?
- 10 A No, it's E-R-A, Era Aviation.
- 11 | Q All right. And what did you do there and for how long?
- 12 A I was at Era for a little over two years. I started off
- working the ramp up there. Their new pilots, they would
- work the ramp. And then I moved up and went to work as a
- mechanic for them in the shop.
- And then I got to go out on some flights out of the
- Bethel area in Caravans and Twin Otters, but mainly I was
- 18 a mechanic for them.
- 19 Q Okay. So when you were with E-R-A for two years --
- 20 you -- Era for two years, you were -- your main job was
- 21 being a mechanic, right?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q All right. And then you said you flew a little bit for
- 24 the community college. Can you tell us about that? Were
- 25 you an instructor?

- 1 A Yes. So after I graduated with my four-year degree out
- 2 of Central, I was hired back at Big Bend as a junior
- 3 flight instructor. And their maximum time for a junior
- 4 flight instructor is a year and a half. So I flew there
- for a year and a half as a flight instructor.
- 6 Q Okay. And when you say the maximum time is a year and a
- 7 | half, can you explain what that is and what your
- 8 understanding is as to why that might be a rule?
- 9 A It was just something that the aviation department had in
- 10 place for junior flight instructors, a year and a half.
- 11 | Q All right. And how many flight instructors were there
- besides you during that year and a half that you worked
- 13 there?
- 14 A Unknown. Probably around 10.
- 15 | Q 10. And is it fair to say that was not a full-time job?
- 16 A It was a full-time job.
- 17 Q It was. Okay.
- 18 And when you -- you were a flight instructor. Could
- 19 you just in lay person terms explain what that means?
- 20 What did you do?
- 21 A We would -- I would take initial students with zero
- 22 flight time and work them all the way up through a
- 23 commercial instrument license.
- 24 | Q All right. And did you have the same students, or did
- 25 they rotate around among the other nine instructors?

- 1 A It would rotate around. It was a college-based system,
- 2 so every quarter or semester -- I can't recall what they
- 3 were on -- you would get new students.
- 4 | Q All right. And approximately how many students did you
- 5 train in that year and a half?
- 6 A 20 plus.
- 7 Q Okay. All right.
- And then when you joined to become a trooper, you
- 9 were not immediately put into the aviation section; is
- 10 that right?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 0 All right. Can you tell us how and when you went about
- applying to become a pilot for the State Patrol?
- 14 A They had a four-year requirement to be a trooper before
- you could apply. And after I had my four years on and an
- opening became available, which was advertised in the
- 17 Daily Bulletin, then I applied.
- 18 | O All right. And how long were you a pilot -- strike that.
- 19 As I understand it, you qualified first on the
- 20 Cessnas; is that right?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | O And then you may qualify later on the King Air; is that
- 23 right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q And how long did you fly the Cessnas before you became

- 1 qualified to fly alone on a King Air?
- 2 A We fly the King Air as two pilots, so never.
- 3 Q Never. Okay.
- And is there any sort of certification required to

 be a King Air pilot among -- it may be a certification
- 6 that is internal or one by the FAA?
- 7 A Multiengine instrument -- commercial multiengine rating 8 to be a copilot.
- 9 Q Okay. It's fair to say that at the time you joined the aviation section, you had both of those qualifications, right?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And so how long did you work in the section before you could copilot a King Air?
- 15 A A little over one year.
- 16 Q All right. And during that time, I assume you got time 17 in the King Air as a trainee?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Could you explain how that happens?
- 20 A You will have to clarify your question.
- 21 | 0 Sure.
- So in that year before you became qualified to be a copilot of the King Air, I assume you must have spent
- time flying in the King Air; is that right?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q You didn't.
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Okay. So could you explain to us in lay person terms how
- 4 after a year you became a copilot in the King Air?
- 5 A Based on previous experience.
- 6 Q But you didn't have to fly it before -- you didn't have
- 7 to fly as a trainee before you could become a copilot
- 8 after a year; is that right?
- 9 A They evaluate how well you do in the Cessna programs,
- 10 especially your instrument skills. And then either
- 11 you're next in line or you are chosen to go to the King
- 12 Air program. I was next in line to go to the King Air
- program.
- 14 | Q Could you explain again in lay person terms what that
- 15 | means to be next in line?
- 16 A At that time, I was just a trooper, so I was the next
- pilot in line. So you would have to ask my three bosses
- 18 ago.
- 19 0 Okay. Were you -- are you currently in a union?
- 20 A Yes. Well, they are a bargaining union, so they're CBAs,
- 21 so not a full union.
- 22 | O Okay. Could you explain what union you are in and then
- 23 tell us if that's the same union the troopers are in?
- 24 A I'm in the captains and lieutenants union, and it is not
- 25 the same.

- 1 Q All right. And when did you join that?
- 2 A When I was promoted to lieutenant.
- 3 Q And when was that?
- 4 A August of 2011.
- 5 Q Okay. So before that, you were in the same union that
- 6 Trooper Santhuff is now in, right?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 0 And there's no differentiation in that union between
- 9 being a trooper on the road versus a trooper in the air,
- 10 right?
- 11 A The same union.
- 12 0 The same union. Got it. All right.
- So when did you become the head of the aviation
- 14 | section, what year?
- 15 A My boss left in February, 2011, so I was appointed as the
- acting. And I've been in the acting and/or promoted to
- 17 lieutenant in August of 2011. So since --
- 18 | O Okay.
- 19 A -- February of 2011.
- 20 | Q Okay. Oh, you became acting in February, 2000?
- 21 A 2011, yes.
- 22 Q 2011. Okay. Got it.
- $V1^{23}$ Okay. And is there some -- is there a qualification
 - 24 that you have called "certified flight instructor"?
 - 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And when did you get that?
- 2 A Probably around 1987.
- 3 Q Okay. All right. And does -- is it a requirement for
- 4 the position of lieutenant that you be a certified flight
- 5 instructor?
- end 6 A No.
 - 7 Q All right. And is it even important to your job?
 - 8 MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the
 - 9 question.
 - 10 Go ahead.
 - 11 | Q (By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer.
 - 12 A You will have to clarify the question. Important -- I
 - guess I don't understand what you mean.
 - 14 | O Well, I mean --
 - 15 A Is it written down in a regulation manual or...?
 - 16 0 Yeah. So who is the next in seniority after you
 - 17 | currently?
 - 18 A There is no next in seniority. It's an interviewed
 - 19 position.
 - 20 | Q Okay. Did you say "interviewed"?
 - 21 A Yes. You interview for the positions. In the patrol,
 - 22 you interview for the positions when they open up. So
 - 23 there's nobody standing in line. You would have to
 - 24 interview for the position.
 - 25 | Q Okay. And so who would you say has the next most

- 1 experience after you currently in the section?
- 2 A I don't know who is out in the field, so I can't answer
- 3 that question.
- 4 | Q Well, give me the name of somebody -- like does Sweeney
- 5 still work there?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Give me the name of somebody that is a pilot there.
- 8 A Jared Elliott.
- 9 Q How do you spell that?
- 10 A J-A-R-E-D E-L-L-I-O-T-T, I believe.
- 11 | O Wow. First name?
- 12 A Jared.
- 13 Q Oh, Jared. Okay.
- 14 All right. And to your knowledge, does this person
- 15 report to you?
- 16 A No.
- 17 | Q Are you out of the position?
- 18 A No, I'm in the position, but he's a trooper, so he
- 19 reports to a sergeant.
- 20 Q Oh, I see. I see. Who are your current sergeants?
- 21 A Sergeant Jeff Hatteberg and Sergeant John Ames.
- 22 Q And Ames. Okay.
- 23 All right. Does Hatteberg have -- is he a certified
- 24 | flight instructor?
- 25 A No.

And does that -- does that affect whether he can train 1 0 new people, new pilots? 2. 3 Can you clarify what is a "new pilot"? Α 4 Let's say -- well, like let's take you. 0 Sure. When you 5 first joined the aviation section, you were a new pilot. 6 That's the definition. Okay? 7 And can you tell us whether or not Sergeant Hatteberg would have been qualified to train you -- to 8 9 provide you with training? 10 Α Yes. 11 Okay. So it didn't matter that Hatteberg is not a 0 12 certified flight instructor, right? 13 Correct. Α 14 Is there -- to your knowledge is there any FAA 15 requirement that would require a certified flight 16 instructor conduct training? 17 Yes. Α 18 MR. BIGGS: Did you hear that answer? 19 No, I didn't. MR. SHERIDAN: 20 sorry. 21 MR. BIGGS: I'm going to move the 2.2 speaker phone a little bit closer to the witness and 23 perhaps that will help. 24 MR. SHERIDAN: Thanks, Andrew. MR. BIGGS: Okay. See if that's any 25

25

He said -- the answer he said was yes. 1 better. (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. Okay. So let me just ask 2 3 Sorry about that. again. 4 So could you please explain that? 5 Α For primary instruction for people who are not pilots, 6 they would have to fly with a certified flight 7 instructor. If we had to go up and do an instrument competency 8 9 check, they would have to go up with the flight instructor to be signed off. And if they had to have a 10 11 flight review, they would have to go up with a flight 12 instructor to be signed off. 13 If they were going to take a written test for a new 14 rating like their airline transport pilot's license, they would have to be signed off by the flight instructor. 15 16 All right. And the people -- the things that you just 0 17 described, during the time that Trooper Santhuff worked 18 there, did he need any of those things done? 19 I don't recall. Α 20 All right. And is there -- did you -- you sometimes 21 second-seated in the planes that Trooper Santhuff flew, 2.2 correct? 23 You would have to explain or clarify what you mean by Α 24 "second seat."

Actually, I'm hoping you will. Can you tell us, was

- there times that you flew with Trooper Santhuff?
- 2 A Yes.
- V2
- 3 Q Could you now explain to us why that was? Why did you
- 4 fly with him?
- 5 A I would fly with Trooper Santhuff to move him through the
- 6 progression training program, to lift limitations on his
- 7 flying skills.
- 8 Q Okay. Can you give us a lay person's example of, for
- 9 example, what was it you needed -- what was it he needed
- 10 to do to move through?
- 11 A They may have never flown a Cessna 182 before. So they
- would come to us, and I would go out, and we would go fly
- in a Cessna 182, and they would be signed off to fly that
- aircraft, which would meet our insurance requirements.
- 15 Q Okay. And given that example, were you the only person
- 16 who could do that?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Who else could do that?
- SK1P19 A A sergeant could have performed that skill.
 - 20 Q Both Sergeants Hatteberg and Ames could both do that?
 - 21 A No, John Ames is my L&I sergeant. He's not a pilot.
 - 22 Q Oh. Oh, oh, oh. Okay. So just Hatteberg then?
 - 23 A During -- for what year?
 - 24 Q Say 2016.
 - 25 A Yes, Sergeant Hatteberg could have performed that.

start

end

- 1 Q Okay. Now, what -- what were the qualifications that
- 2 Sergeant Hatteberg had that permitted him to perform that
- 3 function?
- 4 A There were no qualifications required besides he was a
- 5 command pilot within the section.
- 6 0 Okay. And could you tell us in lay person terms what
- 7 does it mean to be a command pilot in the section?
- 8 A They are an airline transport pilot that can fly all
- 9 aircraft, all missions.
- 10 Q Okay. And could we -- let's hand out the books at this
- point, and let's look at an exhibit.
- 12 And, sir, will you open to Exhibit 7. They are
- tabbed.
- 14 And are you there?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 0 Okay. So this says aviation personnel timeline. Can you
- 17 | tell us what this is?
- 18 A Yes. It's a timeline that is submitted to the command
- 19 staff so they can see the progression of the pilots
- and/or when pilots are going to retire.
- 21 | Q Okay. And is yours color-coded?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Okay. So that's going to make it a little more
- 24 challenging.
- 25 But looking at you in the line, Item No. 1, it says

- Lieutenant Nobach, and then it says 1/1/16, and then the color which you can't see, says chief

 pilot/standardization/instructor pilot.
- And is that an accurate representation of your status in January -- on January 1st, 2016?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. Now can you tell us in lay person terms how -- how 8 that title -- it appears to only apply to you. Could you explain why that only applies to you out of the other names listed?
- 11 A I would review and/or put together the training program
 12 and submit it up the chain of command for approval.
- 13 Q Okay. And how long had you been doing that?
- 14 A Since 2001.
- And does that come with -- does that responsibility come with being a lieutenant within the section, or is there some other qualification?
- 18 A No. I was the flight instructor and the only flight
 19 instructor the agency had.
- 20 Q Oh, okay. And that includes Hatteberg?
- 21 A As -- clarify your question. Hatteberg as what?
- 22 Q So you said -- I think you just said, I was the only -23 was it qualified instructor the agency had? Is that what
- 24 you said?
- 25 A I was the only certified flight instructor, correct.

- 1 Q Okay. So it's an accurate statement that in 2016, you
- were the only certified flight instructor the agency had,
- 3 | correct?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Okay. Please -- I got it wrong then.
- 6 Could you explain.
- 7 A There's flight instructors within the agency that are out
- 8 in the field operations bureau. I was the only flight
- 9 instructor in aviation at that time.
- 10 0 Okay. In the section?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 | Q In the section. All right. So I'm going to say it again
 - just to make sure I got this one right.
 - Okay. So in 2016, you were the only certified
 - 15 | flight instructor in the aviation section?
 - 16 A Correct.
 - 17 Q Okay. Now, that meant that you could do certain things
 - 18 | that other people couldn't do. Could you now list for us
 - 19 the things that you could do that others couldn't do
 - 20 because you were the only certified flight instructor in
 - 21 the section?
 - 22 A Yes. So that's the same as the question you asked
 - 23 before.
 - 24 So I could do instrument competency checks with sign
 - off, flight reviews with the sign off, and I could sign

- 1 people off so they could go take a written test.
- 2 Q Okay. And the things -- so instrument sign offs, flight
- 3 review sign offs and sign offs for a written test, right?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 O Anything else?
- 6 A That requires a flight instructor?
- 7 Q Yes.
- That's all that I can recall right now.
 - 9 Q Okay. Fair enough.
 - Can you tell us whether or not in 2016, it would
 - 11 have come up that you needed to either check somebody off
 - 12 for instrument flight review or sign off for a written
 - 13 test?
 - 14 A I could have.
 - 15 | Q Okay. You just don't remember?
 - 16 A I don't recall.
 - 17 | Q All right. In 20 -- so in 2017, were you the only
 - 18 certified flight instructor in the section?
 - 19 A No.
 - 20 | Q Who became a flight instructor in 2017?
 - 21 A Jared Elliott and Anson Statema.
 - 22 Q How do you spell the second name?
 - 23 A S-T-A-T-E-M-A.
 - 24 | Q All right. And how about in 2018, were you the only
 - 25 certified flight instructor?

- 1 A No.
- 2 | Q Okay. The same people?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q Okay. And how about 2018?
- 5 A The same people.
- 6 0 And 2019?
- 7 A The same people.
- 8 Q And now?
- 9 A The same people.
- 10 | Q Thinking from a perspective of let's say you retired
- 11 today, would either Jared Elliott or -- is it
- 12 Mr. Statema -- be able to step into your position?
- 13 A No.
- MR. BIGGS: Objection; form of the
- 15 question.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- 17 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer.
- 18 A No.
- 19 | Q Why not?
- 20 A They -- they are still at the trooper level, so they
- 21 would have to promote to sergeant and then take the test
- 22 to be eligible to promote to lieutenant.
- 23 | Q So -- so -- and can you tell us whether or not -- let's
- say you did retire tomorrow and they wanted somebody to
- 25 replace you. Is it okay to skip -- let's say they both

- 1 applied for the job as lieutenant.
- 2 Do they have to have time in as a sergeant before
- 3 they can be a lieutenant --
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q -- if you know?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q Okay. So who is it that would be integral to their being
- 8 promoted to sergeant?
- 9 A Can you clarify your question?
- 10 0 Yeah, yeah. Let me ask it a little easier.
- 11 Who does the performance evaluations for these two
- 12 individuals?
- 13 A Sergeant Hatteberg.
- 14 | Q Sergeant who?
- 15 A Hatteberg.
- 16 0 Hatteberg. Thank you.
- 17 And is there only one sergeant position at this
- 18 time?
- 19 A There's two.
- 20 Q Well, no, I understand. One of them is nonflying, right?
- 21 A Well, actually, I have three sergeants.
- 22 | Q Oh, please explain. Just tell us who is in the mix now.
- 23 A Sergeant -- well, Jeff Hatteberg is at aviation, and
- 24 Sergeant James Ames, he's in charge of my L&I security
- 25 detachment.

- 1 0 And who is the third?
- 2 A That position is open.
 - Q Oh, it is. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

And would you agree with me that from the succession perspective, it would make sense for you to have somebody in the sergeant position so they can become qualified to replace you if you had to go?

- 8 A Not my decision.
- 9 Q All right. And how are they as employees, those two gentlemen? Any concerns about their performance or proficiency?
- MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the question.
- 14 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) Let me ask it again.
- 15 Any concerns about their performance?
- 16 A For who?
- 17 Q For the two fellows that are -- let's see. It would be
 18 Jared Elliott and I have a Mr. Statema.
- 19 A They don't report to me. They report to the sergeant.
 20 So they complete their JPAs.
- Q Well, who signs off on performance evaluations? Don't you have to approve them?
- 23 A At my position, I'm a flow-through, so there's really not
- 24 approval. The sergeant completes the JPAs, the sergeant
- 25 sends them to me. I just review them. I can't change

24

25

program?

them in any way. And then they go forward to the 1 2 captain. 3 You sign off on them though, right? Q 4 That's technically not correct. They are just a Α 5 flow-through. I just sign that I have read the JPA. 6 Okay. And you supervise the sergeants, right? 7 Α Correct. 8 You do the performance evaluations of the sergeants, 9 right? 10 Α Yes. In 2016, if you had just quit, who would have done 11 Q Okay. 12 the instrument flight review and signup for written 13 tests? 14 Jared Elliott or Anson Statema. Α 15 Okay. So he could have done that. He could have done 16 that even though he was just a trooper? 17 Yes. They are a flight instructor. Α Okay. Got it. 18 0 19 And in 2016, did you have Mr. Elliott, or Trooper 20 Elliott, perform any of those duties? 21 I didn't hand over the flight training program until, I Α 22 believe, late 2016 when they finished up with the 23 progression initial program.

Will you explain that? What progression and initial

1	A	They were just hired into the aviation program in early
2		2016, midsummer 2016. They went through the same
3		training program that Trooper Santhuff went through, and
4		they were signed off, I believe, in October or November
) ⁵		of 2016.
6	Q	All right. Okay. And okay.
7		And can you tell me, when you say "they," could you
8		just repeat for the record who you are talking about?
9	A	Anson Statema and Jared Elliott.
10	Q	Okay. Thanks.
11		All right. And so in the spring of 2016, you were
12		pretty much the only game in town in terms of signing off
13		for instrument flight review and sign off for written
14		tests, right?
15		MR. BIGGS: Objection; form of the
16		question.
17		If you understand the question, you can answer.
18		THE WITNESS: No.
19	Q	(By Mr. Sheridan) Who else was available to do that?
20	A	We would send pilots sometimes out to local field
21		operations or not correction not field
22		operations, but local flight schools to have to be
23		signed off.
24	Q	I see. All right.
25		And in 2016, did you do hiring and firing?

- 1 A I don't do hiring and firing.
- 2 Q Okay. So whose idea was it to bring in Elliott and
- 3 | Statema?
- 4 A We have an interview board, and so they go through an
- 5 interview. And then the top candidates are picked and
- 6 submitted up the chain of command for approval.
- 7 | Q All right. And was it -- did you participate in the
- 8 interviews?
- 9 A I don't recall.
- 10 Q Okay. All right.
- 11 Now, when you're the head of the aviation section,
- and let's say since 2016, to whom have you reported?
- 13 A Since 2016?
- 14 | O Yes.
- 15 A Captain Alexander, Captain Ashley and Captain Hullinger.
- 16 0 When did Ashley take over?
- 17 A I believe 2018.
- 18 | Q Okay. Is Alexander retired, or has he just rotated out
- 19 somewhere else?
- 20 A Rotated out.
- 21 Q Do you know where he went?
- 22 A I believe he's the assistant chief of commercial vehicle
- 23 bureau.
- 24 | Q Okay. And has it been typical that in your chain of
- command, no one else above you is a pilot?

- 1 A Spanning what timeframe?
- $2 \mid Q$ 2016 and to the present.
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 0 Okay. And is it also true that as the head of the
- 5 section, you do the budget?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Who does the budget?
- 8 A Budget and fiscal.
- 9 Q Yes, please.
- MR. BIGGS: That was the answer.
- MR. SHERIDAN: Oh, I'm sorry. I just
- 12 didn't get that. Please say it again.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Budget and fiscal.
- 14 | Q (By Mr. Sheridan) Yes, please.
- 15 A No, that's who does the budget, their whole bureau. It's
- 16 budget and fiscal.
- 17 | O Oh, I see.
- 18 | Is there a person that you liaison with to do the
- 19 budget?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | O And who is that?
- 22 A It would be the current captain, and our budget analyst
- 23 | right now is -- her name is Kendra.
- 24 | Q Okay. In 2016, who was it?
- 25 A Current captain, and I believe Kathy.

- 1 | Q Okay. And the captain at the time was who?
- 2 A Alexander.
- 3 Q Okay. All right.
- 4 Do you recognize the name Ashley?
- 5 A The last name Ashley?
- 6 0 Yeah.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 0 And what position did that person have in 2016?
- 9 A Unknown.
- 10 | Q Okay. And how do you know this person?
- 11 A Works for the State Patrol, pass in the hallways.
- 12 | Q Okay.
- V5 13 Okay. So does your position require leadership
 - 14 skills?
 - 15 A We go through supervisor basic.
 - 16 Q Okay. But I'm asking as a practical matter. You've been
 - in the job for several years. Can you tell me, is
 - 18 leadership an important quality of a lieutenant who is
 - 19 running the aviation section?
 - 20 A Leadership skills are a part of all the lieutenants' jobs
- END in the State Patrol.
 - 22 | Q Would you say that your sergeants also have to have
 - 23 | leadership skills?
 - 24 A Sergeants within the State Patrol go through a supervisor
 - 25 basic, yes.

- 1 Q Okay. Okay. And so they go through it, and that's to
- gain leadership skills, right?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q Okay. And how long have you been in a supervisory
- 5 capacity, how many years?
- 6 A 16.
- 7 | Q All right. I apologize. I have to ask you some of these
- 8 foundation questions. Have you ever been arrested?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Have you ever been convicted of a crime?
- 11 A No.
- 12 | Q Have you ever had testimony you have proposed to give in
- 13 | court stricken?
- 14 A Not that I recall.
- 15 | Q Okay. Have you ever been terminated from a job?
- 16 A No.
- 17 | O Ever been demoted?
- 18 A No.
- 19 | Q Ever been suspended?
- 20 A No.
- V6²¹ Q Ever been disciplined?
 - 22 A 2016.
 - 23 Q All right. In 2016, what -- how were you disciplined?
 - 24 A I was given a negative 095.
 - 25 Q A 095. Could you explain for the record, what is a 095?

- 1 A It's a counseling statement.
- 2 Q Okay. And what were you given it for?
- 3 A I don't have it in front of me, so I believe it was
- 4 conduct --
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A -- in the office.
- 7 Q Okay. I'm sorry. Let's take a look at Exhibit 4,
- 8 please.
- 9 All right. And what is Exhibit 4?
- 10 A Job performance documentation record.
- 11 Q Is this the 095?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q All right. And let's just go through it. First of all,
- is that your signature at the bottom?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 | O And I see that it says Badge No. 50. When you identify
- 17 yourself in an abbreviated form, do you put the No. 50,
- 18 for example?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Okay. And then who else signed this besides you?
- 21 A It was the supervisor's signature.
- 22 Q Okay. And that was who?
- 23 A Johnny Alexander.
- 24 | O Got it.
- 25 And he was a captain at the time?

END¹ A Yes.

- 2 | Q Got it.
- 3 Could you tell us within the State Patrol, are there
- 4 any positions between the level of lieutenant and
- 5 | captain?
- 6 A Not that I know of.
- 7 Q Okay. So pretty much if you were to be promoted to the
- 8 next level, you would be promoted from lieutenant to
- 9 | captain?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Thank you.
- 12 All right. And so -- so this is what is in the
- performance evaluation -- I'm sorry. It is the job
- 14 performance documentation record.
- 15 It's first dated March 30th, 2016. And does that
- seem about right in terms of the date that this happened?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 | Q All right. And is this basically -- this is not a
- 19 document you prepared? It's a document that
- 20 Mr. Alexander prepared or had prepared, right?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 | Q All right. And it says, "On March 29th, I was informed,"
- 23 so this is first person for Captain Alexander, "that you
- 24 participated in behavior that was not consistent with
- 25 agency policies, rules and regulations."

Would you agree that that's a fact? 1 2. MR. BIGGS: Objection; form of the question. 3 (By Mr. Sheridan) Sorry. Did you answer? I didn't 4 0 5 hear. 6 No. Are you asking is it MR. BIGGS: a fact that's what it says? 7 8 MR. SHERIDAN: No. I'm asking if he's 9 in agreement that he participated --10 (By Mr. Sheridan) That on March 29th, 2016, you 11 participated in behavior that was not -- not consistent 12 with agency policies rules or regulations? 13 Α No. 14 You disagree then. Tell us why you think that's not an 15 accurate statement. 16 Because when I was given this documentation, I was read Α 17 this document, wasn't allowed to even talk about my side 18 of the story or anything that happened. The whole 19 meeting on this document lasted probably less than five 20 minutes, and that's it. I don't even have a copy of this 21 document. 22 Knowing what I know now after reading it in the 23 newspaper, no, I don't agree with this at all. 24 Well, this has to do with the allegation that your -- I 25 think you referred to her as your secretary, rubbed her

```
breasts up against the back of your neck, right?
 1
 2
       No.
   Α
 3
        That's not the allegation that you understand that --
    Q
 4
        I wasn't given any allegations. I was given this piece
 5
        of paper.
                   I read those allegations in the newspaper.
        Well, wait a minute. So what you are saying then is your
 6
        management team never notified you of what you did wrong?
 7
        That's absolutely correct.
 8
   Α
 9
                          MR. BIGGS: Object to the form of the
10
        question.
11
             Go ahead.
12
        (By Mr. Sheridan) And --
    0
13
                          MR. SHERIDAN:
                                         I'm sorry.
                                                      Court
14
        Reporter, did we get the answer, Barbara?
15
                          REPORTER:
                                     He said, "That's absolutely
16
        correct."
17
                          MR. SHERIDAN:
                                         Okay. All right.
18
             And sorry, Andrew, I should have not spoken over
19
        you.
        (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. So at the time, what union were
20
21
        you in?
22
        Lieutenants and captains.
    Α
23
        All right. And so you -- is there a collective
    0
24
        bargaining agreement in that union?
25
    Α
        Yes.
```

And does it provide for grievances? 1 0 2 Α Yes. And did you go to your union and seek to grieve this 3 4 document? 5 Α No. Why not, if you say it was wrong? 6 Because I didn't realize what the allegation was until I 7 Α 8 read it in The Olympian newspaper. 9 Well, you acknowledge, do you not, that an 095 in your 10 file reduces your score on the annual performance 11 evaluations, right? 12 Yes. Α 13 So you knew it was going to hurt you in some way, yet you say you chose not to take any action to see what this 14 15 document was for? 16 I tried to explain myself on the document, but I wasn't Α 17 allowed to explain or gain any information about it. 18 Well, I'm sure you must have gone to your shop stewards 19 for help, right? 20 MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the 21 question. 22 THE WITNESS: No. 23 (By Mr. Sheridan) Well, isn't it true that the reason 24 you didn't do anything is because you knew that you were 25 wrong?

Incorrect. 1 Α Well, wasn't there -- strike that. 2 0 3 Isn't it also true that the evaluation is used for selection to specialty positions and promotional scores? 4 5 Α Yes. So if you do nothing, you are hurting your chances for 6 7 promotion, too, right? That's correct. 8 Α 9 And did you go back and ask for a copy? 10 Α No. 11 So you didn't really -- you weren't concerned enough to 0 12 look for a copy, correct? 13 MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the 14 question. 15 (By Mr. Sheridan) Let me ask that again. 16 You weren't concerned enough to seek out a copy, 17 correct? 18 MR. BIGGS: Same objection. 19 THE WITNESS: No. 20 (By Mr. Sheridan) You are disagreeing with me, right? 21 Correct. Α Okay. And could you explain for me, to me, if you 2.2 23 thought that this was wrong why you took no action to 24 grieve it? 25 Α I did not know this was wrong until The Olympian

published an article with Ryan Santhuff's statements in 1 2 it. Then would you explain to us, please, what you thought 3 Q 4 you did wrong that caused you to take no action until --5 or to seek no information until you read about it in the 6 paper? As lieutenant of aviation, you are kind of in charge of 7 Α everyone and everybody in that section. So I read this 8 9 that there were things going on, you know, behind the 10 Could have been talk on the flight decks or up 11 in the flight stuff. You are kind of responsible for 12 everybody. So I took that, since I'm head of aviation, being 13 14 kind of responsible for everybody, and you are kind of 15 the fall guy for what goes on. So that's the way --16 0 And --17 -- I took this document. Α 18 I'm sorry for interrupting. 19 So it's your testimony, is it not, that Captain 20 Alexander read this to you, correct? 21 I don't recall if he read it to me or if I read it. Α Okay. But in any case, the words went into your brain 22 23 one way or the other, right? 24 Α Correct. 25 All right. And so you also knew at the same time that --

- hang on a second -- that Brenda Biscay was also being
 disciplined?
- 3 A I did not. All I was told was to have Brenda report over
- 4 to the captain.
- 5 Q Well, you talked to her afterwards and learned that she
- 6 was also disciplined, right?
- 7 A She mentioned she received a documentation record.
- 8 Q So you are telling us that you knew that she was
- 9 disciplined, and that you were disciplined. You
- 10 understood it was for an interaction between the two of
- 11 you, did you not?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q So you thought that she was being separately disciplined
- 14 for something that was not something that you would try
- 15 to find out about, right?
- 16 A I thought that she was being disciplined for flipping the
- 17 shoulder holster strap over on my shoulder that was
- 18 upside down.
- 19 Q While she was standing behind you?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And while you were seated at your desk?
- 22 A I wasn't at my desk.
- 23 Q Where were you?
- 24 A We were sitting in a conference room table.
- 25 Q Oh, okay. All right. And so she stood behind you, and

```
the story is -- what you are telling us now -- is that
 1
 2
        she flipped your holster over?
 3
                           MR. BIGGS:
                                       Objection; form of the
 4
        question.
 5
        (By Mr. Sheridan)
                           And if I said it wrong, please help me
        clarify that.
 6
        My story has always been the shoulder holster strap on my
 7
    Α
        left shoulder, she flipped it over.
 8
 9
        All right. And she was standing behind you, right?
    0
    Α
        Yes.
11
                          MR. BIGGS: He said yes.
        (By Mr. Sheridan) I'm sorry I --
12
    0
13
        Yes.
    Α
        All right.
                    And did you read her disciplinary letter?
14
15
    Α
        No.
16
        All right. Why don't you flip the page and let's look at
17
        Exhibit 5.
18
             And do you recognize this as her disciplinary
19
        letter?
20
        I have never seen it before.
    Α
21
               So you didn't seek that out either?
        Okay.
    0
22
        No.
    Α
23
        All right. So -- so do you have any -- in 2016, did you
    0
24
        have any supervisory authority over Ms. Biscay?
25
    Α
        Yes.
```

- 1 Q Did you complete her performance evaluations?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Did you approve her vacation requests?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Was she eligible for overtime?
- 6 A I believe that position is, yes.
- 7 Q And did you approve her overtime?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O Did you make reservations -- strike that.
- 10 Did you make recommendations for salary increases
- 11 for her?
- 12 A For that position, yes.
- 13 Q All right. And was she in the position when you made
- 14 those recommendations for salary increases?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q All right. And in that position, were you -- were you
- authorized to give her the lower forms of discipline,
- 18 like counseling and coaching?
- END9 A The same as every State Patrol supervisor, yes.
 - 20 Q That's a yes. All right.
 - 21 And could you give her a written reprimand if you
 - 22 were so inclined in her position?
 - 23 A No. That would be the captain's level.
 - 24 Q Okay. So anything below written reprimand, you could do,
 - 25 right?

- 1 A Basically, verbal or this doc -- or job performance
- 2 documentation record.
- 3 Q Got it. Okay.
- And was she a direct report to you in 2016?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q All right. And was she also -- she was a direct report
- 7 to you. Was she also your only direct report?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Because you had the sergeants, right?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q And besides the sergeants and Brenda Biscay, were there
- 12 any other direct reports to you in 2016?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And who were they?
- 15 A Maintenance supervisor.
- 16 | O And who was that?
- 17 A Sam Loska.
- 18 | O How do you spell the last name?
- 19 A L-O-S-K-A.
- 20 Q All right. And -- okay.
- All right. Let's go back to Exhibit -- I guess it's
- 4. And the second sentence of the first paragraph says,
- 23 | "Although it is alleged that the third party was not
- offended by your actions, the existence of an offended
- 25 party is not a requirement to support a violation of

1 inappropriate conduct in the workplace. In addition, it 2 is alleged that similar behavior by members of your staff 3 have become an acceptable practice for an extended period of time." 4 5 And you read that, right? 6 Α Yes. 7 So as the head of the aviation section, you had a responsibility to address this statement that it's 8 9 alleged that similar behavior by members of your staff 10 has become an acceptable practice for an extended period 11 of time? That must have concerned you, correct? 12 Objection; form of the MR. BIGGS: 13 question. 14 (By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer. I have addressed this previously in the past. 15 16 Well, would you just explain -- tell us -- just answer 17 the question, if you would. Just tell us whether that 18 concerned you to read that. 19 Α Yes. 20 All right. And what, if anything, did you do to remedy 21 that situation? 22 During 095 meetings, we again talked about activities in 23 the workplace, and then I was directed by Captain 24 Alexander to seek out sexual harassment training and have 25 that provided to everybody in the aviation section.

So it's true then that you understood that this 1 Okay. 0 2. 095 that you received from Captain Alexander had to do 3 with sexual harassment? 4 Go ahead and describe your term for sexual harassment and 5 how it describes this. It's a very --6 Well, let me ask you --0 7 (Reporter clarification.) It's a very broad term. 8 THE WITNESS: 9 MR. SHERIDAN: I'm sorry, Barbara. 10 (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. So I quess it doesn't matter 11 what I think. What matters is what you understand sexual 12 harassment is since you were the one who was directed to 13 give a presentation, right? 14 No, I was not directed to give a presentation. Α 15 Oh, please explain. What -- what were you directed to do 16 regarding sexual harassment? 17 To seek out a third-party vendor to provide sexual Α 18 harassment training. 19 All right. And you understood that that was connected to 2.0 the fact that you received this 095, right? 21 Α Yes. 2.2 So when you say you didn't understand anything, Okav. 23 would you like to change that testimony? 24 MR. BIGGS: Objection; form of the 25 question.

1 THE WITNESS: Go ahead and explain 2 what "understand" means. It's a pretty broad question 3 you gave me there, so go ahead and cut it up and explain 4 it to me, please. 5 (By Mr. Sheridan) Well, what's your understanding of the 0 word "understand"? 6 I don't understand --7 Α 8 It's --0 9 -- your question. Α 10 I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt. Please. 0 11 Go ahead. 12 I don't understand your question. Α 13 0 Sure. 14 Well, it's true, is it not, that you -- or I guess 15 you've already answered it -- that it was true that you 16 understood that there was a link between you having to 17 find a third-party vendor to address sexual harassment in 18 the workplace and the 095 that you received on March 19 30th, 2016. 20 So my question to you is, could you explain how you 21 didn't understand that this 095 had to do with sexual 2.2 harassment? 23 As a broad term of sexual harassment, it could be 24 language. It could be inappropriate hugging as it talks 25 about in training. So I just took this that these

- activities were going on in the aviation section with me 1 2 knowing or without me knowing, because I'm not everywhere all the time, and so that's why I signed this. 3 4 Did you ever tell any of your bosses that this -- you 5 said you didn't hear about the breast rubbing until you read about it in the newspaper; is that right? 6 That's correct. 7 Α 8 All right. And you must have just been livid when you 9 heard that, right? 10 After I read that in the newspaper, I called my captain, Α 11 said, "If I would have known that, I would have never 12 ever signed this, and I would have requested an OPS 13 investigation." 14 And who's your captain? Q It was Alexander. 15 Α 16 All right. And what did he say to you in response? 0 17 Nothing. Α 18 So -- and so did you request an investigation? Okay. 19 It was done. So they said leave everything alone because 20 all of this was going on.
- 21 Q So you -- so you felt powerless to do anything; is that
- 22 true?
- 23 A That's true.
- 24 Q That must have really been upsetting; would you agree?
- 25 A It's unfortunate.

- 1 | Q All right.
- 2 And it's fair to say that you knew by, what, May of
- 3 20 -- well, strike that.
- 4 When did you read it in the paper, what year?
- 5 A I don't recall. 2017, 2018. I don't recall when The
- 6 Olympian printed the article.
- 7 Q All right. Well, let's take a look at Exhibit 22, if we 8 can.
- 9 Now, this is your performance appraisal, is it not?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And if you would, go ahead and flip down to the last page
- of that. And, you know, we use these things called Bates
- stamps in the lower right-hand corner, and I think the
- Bates stamp number is 00533. It's also Page 9 of the
- 15 exhibit. Would you turn to that.
- 16 Do you have that there, sir?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 | O All right. And would you agree these are your electronic
- 19 signatures?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Okay. So this is the -- let's go back to the first page
- of it, of Exhibit 22. And you recognize this as being
- 23 your performance evaluation covering the period January,
- 24 | 2016, to December 31st, 2016, correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q All right. And it's an annual appraisal, as it says on the first page, right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q All right. So let's flip over to the second page. And
- 5 under leadership, it says you failed to meet
- 6 expectations, right?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And you were aware -- let's see. It was signed -- what's
- 9 the date of that signature? It looks like it was signed
- on February 15th, 2017, right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 | Q All right. So let's look at the narrative on Page 1
- where it says failed to meet expectations for leadership.
- 14 When you saw this, is it fair to say you were
- 15 devastated?
- 16 A No.
- 17 | Q Why not?
- 18 A It's a standard matrix, so if you receive counseling
- documentation, it automatically reduces the score.
- 20 Q Well, I mean, it could have been exceeds down to meets,
- 21 right?
- 22 A I don't believe so.
- $V10^{23}$ Q Okay. Fair enough.
 - Let's look at the narrative. It says, "Lieutenant
 - Nobach is the aviation section commander. Reports of

25

retaliation/harassment allegations against the lieutenant 1 2 were reported by section troopers." 3 When you read that, who did you identify as the 4 troopers that had made these reports in your mind? Α I assumed it was Ryan Santhuff. Well, it didn't say singular trooper. It said troopers, 0 so there must have been more, right? 7 It could have been -- I don't know. 8 Α 9 Well, who else were you suspicious of at the time? 0 10 I really wasn't. Α 11 Okay. Well, let's see. Had -- besides -- but you 0 12 certainly agree that it must have been this Trooper 13 Santhuff, right? 14 MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the 15 question. (By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer. 16 0 No, I wasn't sure who it was at all. I just assumed. 17 Α 18 Okay. All right. 19 And you assumed it was Trooper Santhuff? 20 I assumed. Α 21 Okay. All right. 0 2.2 And then it says, "Investigations into the 23 allegations did not validate the retaliation/harassment 24 complaints; however, the investigation did reveal a lack

of communications by the lieutenant which contributed to

- 1 misunderstanding by members of his staff. In addition,
- 2 inappropriate behavior in the workplace was tolerated
- over a period of time, some of which the lieutenant was a
- 4 participant contributing to some of the staff questions
- 5 questioning his ability to lead."
- 6 That didn't bother you at all, that narrative?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q It did bother you. Okay.
- 9 And did you discuss with Captain Alexander the
- 10 | language contained in this narrative?
- 11 A It was off the table.
- 12 0 It was what?
- 13 A Off the table.
- 14 | O What does that mean?
- 15 A Not open for discussion.
- 16 0 Oh, you were not allowed to?
- 17 A No.
- 18 | O You can grieve performance evaluations, can't you?
- 19 A I haven't looked into it.
- 20 | Q Okay. So you didn't seek -- you didn't contact your
- 21 union about this, right?
- 22 A I may have. I don't recall for this.
- 23 | Q Okay. Have you ever interrogated suspects as a trooper?
- 24 A As in what realm and what's interrogation?
- 25 | Q Well, I assume you must know -- what's interrogation mean

- 1 to you? Let's say you have arrested somebody for theft.
- 2 What would interrogation be?
- 3 A Usually if you would arrest somebody for theft of a
- 4 stolen vehicle, it was turned over to the detectives, so
- 5 no.
- 6 Q Okay. Have you ever -- so do you understand what it is
- 7 they do when they interrogate a witness?
- 8 A I've never been a part of that process.
- 9 Q Okay. So even though you are a police officer, and
- 10 you've been one for a lot of years, you really don't know
- 11 what it means to question a suspect, right?
- MR. BIGGS: Objection; form of
- 13 question.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I would say that's not
- 15 correct.
- 16 | O (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay.
- 17 A I just have not been a part of the interrogation process
- 18 performed by detectives.
- 19 0 Okay. Great.
- 20 So when a person is confronted with, say, theft, and
- 21 they don't deny it, what does that mean to you in
- 22 | general?
- 23 A You still have to put together all the evidence.
- $24 \mid Q$ Okay. So it means if they are not denying it. There's a
- 25 pretty good chance they are guilty, right?

MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the 1 2. question. 3 THE WITNESS: It could, yes. (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. All right. And so your --4 0 5 would it surprise you if -- your lack of challenging the accuracy of the 095 and this performance evaluation, 6 7 wouldn't you agree that your management had no reason to think that you were not quilty of this behavior? 8 9 No, I believe that statement is incorrect. Α 10 Well, please explain. How -- if you never stood up and 0 11 said, "Well, I never did what's in the 095, and I never 12 did what is in this performance evaluation, "how would 13 management know if you didn't tell them? 14 I wasn't really allowed to discuss it, never allowed to Α discuss it when I did sign the negative 095, really 15 16 didn't even know what it was about until it was published 17 in The Olympian newspaper. So you were feeling picked on, right? 18 0 19 MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the 20 question. 21 THE WITNESS: No. 2.2 (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. All right. 0 23 So you were -- you must have -- at the point that 24 you learned that Trooper Santhuff was the person who --25 and I say this without meaning opinion -- turned you in

- on the allegation of sexual harassment with Ms. Biscay,
- 2 you must have been angry at him?
- 3 A I never knew it was Ryan Santhuff. He never talked to me
- 4 about it. The only person that ever even mentioned
- 5 something about it was Sergeant Scott Sweeney.
- 6 Q And what did he mention about it?
- 7 A He came down to my office, said Ryan wanted to talk about
- 8 the meeting we had, but he had no complaints and that he
- 9 would handle it.
- 10 Q What did he tell you happened?
- 11 A Just exactly what I just said on the statement.
- 12 | O Which is what?
- 13 A He said Ryan Santhuff wanted to talk about the meeting we
- 14 had down in my office, but Scott Sweeney said Ryan didn't
- want to talk about it, and Scott Sweeney said he would
- 16 handle it.
- 17 And I asked him, "Well, let's bring down Ryan.
- 18 Let's talk about it."
- 19 Scott said, no, he had it handled.
- 20 Q And he was a sergeant, right?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q And he was your boss -- I mean, you were his boss, right?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 | Q Okay. And so the handling it, you are saying now that
- 25 | Sweeney never told you that it had to do with an

- 1 allegation that Mr. Santhuff -- that Trooper Santhuff
- 2 observed Ms. Biscay rubbing her breasts against the back
- of your neck?
- 4 A That was never ever mentioned.
- 5 | Q All right. Is it true, is it not, that -- I apologize
- 6 for having to ask this. Did you ever have a sexual
- 7 | relationship with Ms. Biscay?
- 8 A No.
- 9 0 All right. Did you ever date her?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q But you took her out for coffee frequently, correct?
- 12 A I went to coffee with all my supervisors.
- 13 Q She was not your supervisor.
- 14 A I mean correct. Let me strike that.
- I went to coffee with all of my frontline
- 16 supervisors.
- 17 Q Okay. Was she a supervisor?
- 18 A She's an office manager.
- 19 0 Okay. All right.
- 20 | So -- but you would take her to coffee alone without
- 21 anybody else there, right?
- 22 A I would go get coffee alone with all of my supervisors.
- 23 | O Okay. But I'm just trying to get an answer to this one.
- It's true, is it not, that you would take her to
- 25 coffee where it was just the two of you alone, right?

We had to go downtown to the Helen Sommers building for 1 2 They had a new AA3 down there. meetings. So the coffee 3 stand we would swing by was right in the direct path to 4 the Helen Sommers Building on Old Highway 99. would swing in there and get a cup of coffee and continue 5 on to our meetings downtown. 6 7 Was that at Capital Perks? 0 8 Probably. I don't recall the name. Α 9 Okay. And that's -- you would agree with me, would you 0 10 not, that it would be inappropriate for you to have any 11 type of relationship with a subordinate? 12 I can't -- could you repeat that? I can't tell if you Α 13 said inappropriate or appropriate. 14 Oh, let me ask again. 0 15 You would agree that it would be inappropriate for you to have any type of a relationship with Ms. Biscay, 16 17 correct? Objection; form of the 18 MR. BIGGS: 19 question. 20 Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Like --21 2.2 (By Mr. Sheridan) Let me change the question. I agree 23 that it's too vaque. 24 You would agree with me, would you not, that it 25 would be inappropriate for you to have any type of

- 1 romantic relationship with Ms. Biscay?
- 2 A I had no romantic relationship with Biscay or the office
- 3 manager.
- 4 Q Okay. Would you agree with me that it would be
- 5 inappropriate?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q All right. And especially since you are -- you are the
- 8 person who directly supervises her and you can impact her
- 9 career, correct?
- 10 A Not entire. I mean, everything has to go through the
- 11 chain of command.
- 12 Q I understand. But, for example, you get to do her
- performance evaluation; you get to recommend her for
- 14 promotion, that kind of stuff, right?
- 15 A There's no promotion within that position. And I just
- complete the evaluations, and it still has to be reviewed
- by the chain of command.
- 18 | O Oh, I see.
- 19 I thought -- I thought she wasn't a manager
- 20 originally, and she got promoted to management. Is that
- 21 wrong?
- 22 A I reallocated the position.
- 23 | Q Could you explain in lay person terms what that means?
- 24 A I believe -- so they are a WFSE employee, which means
- 25 that's a bargaining unit. And I believe that position

1 was working outside the scope of the WFSE position. So I 2 submitted to HRD to have that position -- what is called 3 a desk audit performed to review that position and have 4 it reallocated so she wasn't performing any duties 5 outside the position she was currently in. Does that mean that her salary stayed the same? 6 Okay. I believe the other position had an increase in salary. 7 Okay. So did she make more money after your action or 8 9 not? 10 It's not my action. It's an action by HRD. And, yes, I Α 11 believe she did. 12 Okay. All right. What year was that? 0 13 I don't recall. Α 14 All right. It's true, is it not, that there came a time 15 when -- you know what, this might be a good time to take 16 a break. 17 MR. SHERIDAN: Can we go off the 18 record? 19 VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, let me take us off 20 record. We are now going off record at 10:41 a.m. 21 (Recess from 10:41 to 10:59.) 2.2 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record. 23 The time now is 10:59 a.m. 24 (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. Lieutenant, would you please 0 25 take a look at Exhibit 6 and tell us what it is.

- 1 A It's an email.
- 2 Q All right. And is it an email dated April 1st, 2016,
- 3 | from you to Brenda Biscay and others?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 | O And what's the purpose of the email?
- 6 A I was directed by the captain to send an email out to
- 7 everybody concerning sexual harassment and office
- 8 conduct.
- 9 Q Okay. And did this bother you that you would be tasked
- 10 to do this?
- 11 A No.
- 12 | O Okay. And you write, "All, as discussed during today's
- a.m. briefing, inappropriate office conduct will not be
- 14 accepted in the workplace."
- 15 And can you tell us what happened in the a.m.
- 16 briefing?
- 17 A We always have a.m. briefings with anybody available that
- 18 attends, and we discussed what was captured here in the
- 19 a.m. briefing.
- 20 | Q All right. And it says -- after the bullet, it says,
- 21 | "Mandatory sexual harassment training will be scheduled"
- 22 for all section employees and will be conducted in the
- 23 near future," and that is -- that's what you were
- 24 referring to earlier about finding a third party to teach
- 25 it, right?

A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q Then you write, "I've attached the QMR Preventing Sexual Harassment slide that was discussed in today's meeting and lists the broad range of unacceptable conduct."

And that's an attachment to this. I guess it's two pages back. Would you flip over to that?

Do you have that, sir?

A Yes.

- 9 And it says, "A hostile environment/sexual 0 10 harassment can include a broad range of behaviors 11 including, and then it lists off-colored jokes, comments 12 about body parts or sex life, suggestive pictures, 13 posters, leering, stares or gestures, repeated requests 14 for dates or sexual favors and touching, brushes, pats, 15 hugs, shoulders, rubs, pinches, et cetera, and then 16 assault or rape.
- Is that the attachment that you gave out at the time?

19 A I believe so.

- 20 Q All right. And you understood that all of these things
- 21 were wrong, right?

22 A Yes.

- 23 Q All right. And it's true, is it not, that if you were found -- strike that.
- It's true, is it not, that if you found that one of

- 1 your subordinates was engaging in one of these
- 2 activities, they would be subject to discipline, would
- 3 they not?
- 4 A Discipline is a broad word, but yes.
- 5 Q Okay. And it's true, is it not, that there came a time
- 6 when somebody requested your emails under the Public
- 7 Records Act; is that true?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 0 And it turned out that after that person obtained your
- 10 emails, they put them up on the internet, right?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 | Q And did you find that embarrassing?
- 13 A No.
- 14 | Q All right. Did you find it -- did you find it
- 15 | objectionable?
- 16 A Can you go ahead and bring up the email we're talking
- 17 about?
- 18 | O Well, first I want to know generally what you understood
- 19 to be the release. I mean, would you agree the release
- 20 contained things that weren't just about -- about work,
- 21 but also contained some interactions between you and
- 22 certain women?
- 23 A Unknown. I received numerous public disclosure requests
- 24 for my emails that are uploaded.
- 25 | Q Okay. All right. Well, let's take -- take a look at

- Exhibit 1. And these are some of the emails that come 1 2 off the internet if a Google search of Nobach emails is 3 done. 4 In the middle of the bottom is a page number, and if 5 you will turn to Page 8 -- I'm sorry -- Page 9, let's take a look at that. 6 7 VIDEOGRAPHER: Hey, Mr. Nobach, can you do me a favor and move your mic over to your jacket? 8 9 (Complies.) THE WITNESS: 10 VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. 11 (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. All right. So on Page 9 is a 12 Facilities Management Thanksgiving Feast for 2013. 13 you recall this event? 14 They have them every year. Α 15 Okay. Do you attend? 16 Α No. All right. In 2013, were you married?
- 17
- 18 Yes. Α
- 19 Are you married now? 0
- 20 Yes. Α
- 21 Okay. How long have you been married? Q
- 22 Almost 20 years. Α
- 23 Okay. Let's look at Page 8 now. Go to the page before. 0
- 24 And we're going to take a look at the bottom.
- 25 And the bottom email that has content is from Tanyah

25

Α

Correct.

It was sent on October 31st, 2013. Do you 1 Williams. know who Tanyah Williams is? 2 3 Yes. Α 4 Who is she? 5 Α She's an employee for the State Patrol. All right. And she's writing to Brenda and you and the 6 subject line is Thanksgiving Feast. And she writes, 7 "Hope you can make it," smiley face. 8 9 Then you write back on October 31st to her and to 10 Ms. Biscay, and you say, "I will need a date." Did you 11 write that? 12 Yes. Α 13 All right. And then from Tanyah Williams, the next one in the email string, she says, "I thought Brenda was. 14 I'm the hostess." 15 16 And then you write back and say, "This sounds more 17 interesting. I might actually show up." 18 So you wrote all these things, right? 19 The ones that are my name that say from. Α 20 Okay. And you read the ones from Tanyah and Brenda, right? 21 22 Yes. Α 23 All right. And at the time, Brenda Biscay was your 24 direct report, right?

- 1 Q In retrospect, do you see anything wrong with this?
- 2 A It was a bantering email back and forth amongst friends.
- 3 I wouldn't write these now.
- 4 Q Okay. All right. Fair enough.
- 5 And look at this one. Let's go to Page 6. And it's
- an email from -- at the bottom, it's dated November 5th,
- 7 | 2013, and it's an email from Joni, J-O-N-I, it looks like
- 8 N-J-O-S-T and then second word is Zink, Z-I-N-K.
- 9 And it's to you, and it's says, "Jim Nobach, How are
- 10 you? A blast from the past. It's Joni, formerly of the
- 11 | Shoreline court."
- Do you recognize -- do you know her?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q All right. And then you wrote back to her saying, "Joni,
- 15 long time. I remember putting the windshield in your
- 16 Jeep. I'm not sure on the DUI case. Do you have any
- more information on it or the old case to forward?"
- 18 Basically, how did you know her before November,
- 19 2013?
- 20 A She worked in the Shoreline court system.
- 21 | Q Okay.
- 22 A She was pretty much our main contact when you were a new
- 23 trooper up on the road.
- 24 | Q All right. Going back to Page 5 at the bottom, Joni
- 25 writes to you and says, "So long ago. How are you?

What's the good word? Did you marry that gal that you 1 2 were seeing forever? Remember when I went on the fly 3 along?" 4 And did you marry that gal, by the way? Who? 5 Α 6 The one that you were seeing forever according to the email. 7 8 Α Yes. 9 All right. And she goes on to tell you some more Okav. 10 about her past. 11 Did there come a time that you became aware that 12 these emails and ones like it were released essentially 13 to the internet? 14 It was part of a public disclosure request. 15 All right. Were you upset by the fact that this type of 0 16 information was getting out on the web? 17 No, it's meaningless information. Α 18 Well, then can you tell us, isn't it true that there was 19 another request for your emails around -- let's see, I 20 guess it's around May of 2014; do you recall that? 21 Can you go ahead and tell me what the public disclosure Α 22 I had several. request was? Sure. Let's go to Exhibit 2, if we can. 23 0 24 And this -- take a look at this and see if you recognize it. 25

- 1 I recognize the form. Α Okay. And you see your name up -- handwritten in the 2 0 3 upper right-hand corner? 4 Yes. Α 5 And do you know whose handwriting that is? 0 6 Α No. 7 All right. It says the request -- it says second request and first request. The first request at the bottom of 8 the second paragraph says, "All WSP aviation officers as 9 10 well as this request, whenever they were deployed on May 11 1st, 2014." 12 And then the second request is emails related to 13 preparing the missions or emails resulting from the 14 mission, et cetera, et cetera. 15 Do you recall this PRA request? 16 Α Yes. All right. 17 18 And you had to produce documents, emails, in 19 response to it in the May timeframe, right? 20 Α Yes. 21 All right. And it's true, is it not, that at this time,
- you told your -- you told the pilots and others in your
- organization that you wanted them to delete emails?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q What did you tell them, if anything?

- 1 A Nothing. I'm not the public disclosure request officer.
- 2 Q So you are denying that you told anybody to destroy
- 3 emails?
- 4 A For this public disclosure request? No.
- 5 0 Yes.
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q I'm sorry. I just want to make sure when you say no, you
- 8 mean, no, it didn't happen?
- 9 A No, it didn't happen.
- END_{0}
- O Got it.
- 11 All right. Let's look at Exhibit 3, if we can. And
- 12 this document has your signature on the second page, does
- 13 it not?
- 14 A It does.
- 15 | Q All right. And you signed it on May 20th, 2014, right?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 | Q And the No. 50, that is your badge number, right?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q All right. Let's go back to the first page of Exhibit 3,
- and this is pretty much the same request, right?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q All right. And you did -- is it your testimony that to
- 23 your knowledge no documents -- no emails were destroyed?
- 24 A None of my emails were destroyed.
- 25 | Q All right. Do you know if any emails were destroyed as a

- 1 result of this -- in response to this request?
- 2 A I don't know what the other folks do with their
- 3 information when they receive one of these documents.
- 4 | Q Okay. But you deny that you told anybody to destroy
- 5 emails in connection with this, right?
- 6 A I deny. I did not tell anybody to destroy emails for
- 7 this document.
- 8 0 All right. And it came to your attention that the -- the
- 9 state actually investigated this, did they not?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | Q And you were interviewed, were you not?
- 12 A I was sent questions to respond.
- 13 | Q Written questions?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 | Q All right. And did you complete those? Did you respond
- 16 to the written questions?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 | Q All right. Let's go to Page -- Exhibit 15 and tell me if
- 19 this is your exculpatory questions and the answers?
- 20 A These are questions and answers.
- 21 | Q Okay. Are these your answers to the questions?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q Did you type it up?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q Okay. And these exculpatory questions have nothing to do

- with the Public Records Act request, do they?
- 2 A Let me review them question by question.
- 3 Q Please. Please do.
- 4 A (Peruses documents.) No, these questions do not look
- 5 like they deal with the public disclosure request.
- 6 Q Okay. Were you also interviewed and asked about the
- 7 destruction of emails by somebody?
- 8 A Possibly. I don't recall.
- 9 Q All right. And did you ever meet with your captain about
- the allegation that you destroyed emails?
- 11 A Only if there was paperwork that needed to be signed.
- V112 Q Okay. And you understood that it was Trooper Santhuff
 - who accused you of destroying emails, correct?
 - 14 A I believe, yes.
- Q All right. And it's true, is it not, that you were pretty angry at him for causing you to be the focus of potential discipline?
 - 18 A No.
 - 19 Q Well, you knew -- it's fair to say that even though you
 - 20 don't admit that you knew that the allegation had to do
 - 21 with Ms. Biscay rubbing her breasts against your neck,
 - 22 you knew that you were basically being focused on because
 - of something that happened in the room when Trooper
 - 24 | Santhuff was there?
 - MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the

question. 1 2. (By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer. Q 3 Α No. 4 Okay. All right. 0 5 Okay. So did you -- did you become aware that other 6 witnesses were interviewed who said that you did tell 7 them to destroy documents, emails, in response to a PRA request? 8 9 MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the 10 question. 11 THE WITNESS: No. 12 (By Mr. Sheridan) You mean nobody ever told you that 0 13 other people challenged your veracity? 14 I was pretty much left in the dark until I was Α interviewed by Larry McKnight with the AG's office. 15 16 That was after the lawsuit was filed, right? 0 17 No. Α Okay. All right. And what did you tell him regarding 18 19 the emails? 20 Objection; form of the MR. BIGGS: 21 That's attorney-client privilege or work question. 22 product. 23 MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. Andrew, we 24 can -- we can delay conversation about that. I suspect 25 it's not, but I have to honor your position.

Right. 1 MR. BIGGS: Larry McKnight 2 works with the Attorney General's office, just so you 3 know. 4 MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah, it's a matter of 5 record. I mean, we did a big long transcript with him. Okay. Got it. 6 Okay. (By Mr. Sheridan) So did anybody talk to you about the 7 Q release of these emails that are in Exhibit 1, anyone in 8 9 management? 10 Α No. 11 Okay. All right. And let's talk about what happened 0 12 after March. So you, basically, were told to hire a 13 third party to do sexual harassment training, and you 14 received an 095. 15 It's your testimony that for the rest of the year, 16 you had no conversations with Captain Alexander with 17 regard to these events? 18 Possibly, but pretty much I don't recall any. Α 19 Okay. Is there anything that Trooper Santhuff said or 20 did that you -- in 2016 that you considered to have been 21 untruthful? 22 Pretty much the entire newspaper article. Α 23 You said you read that in 2017, right? 0 24 In 2016, did you at any time believe that Trooper 25 Santhuff had said or done anything that was untruthful?

- 1 A Go through all the allegations he brought up in 2016 for me.
- $3 \mid Q$ The allegations. I'm not sure what you mean, sir.
- 4 A Well, all the complaints he filed against me in 2016, if
- you can bring those up one at a time, and we'll go over,
- and I will answer each one whether I thought they were
- 7 truthful or untruthful.
- 8 Q Oh. So as you sit here today, there's nothing that you
- 9 can identify that you would say was untruthful?
- 10 A I believe most of the stuff that Ryan brought up is
- 11 untruthful.
- 12 | Q In 20 -- did you believe it in 2016?
- 13 A What did he bring up in 2016?
- 14 Q Well, I mean, we know that he brought up the business
- about the email destruction in response to a PRA, right?
- 16 A I believe that was untruthful. Never happened.
- 17 | Q Okay. And also the King Air thing, right?
- 18 A Untruthful. Never happened.
- 19 O And you knew about that in 2016, too, right?
- 20 A I don't recall the exact dates. But if you said it
- 21 happened in 2016, then it happened in 2016, or it was
- brought up in 2016.
- 23 | Q Right.
- And -- all right. And let's just jump to that for a
- 25 second. The King Air issue, did you talk to the captain

- 1 about that?
- 2 A I believe it was questions issued from OPS, and I
- 3 probably did talk to the captain, yes.
- 4 | Q Okay. And so you believe that Ryan Santhuff's statement
- 5 about the King -- or in 2016, you believed that Ryan
- 6 | Santhuff's statements about the King Air issue were
- 7 untrue?
- 8 A Correct. They're untrue.
- 9 Q All right. And then you also believed in 2016 that the
- 10 whole allegation of Brenda rubbing her breasts against
- 11 your neck were untrue?
- 12 A I didn't know those allegations until they came out in
- 13 The Olympian newspaper.
- 14 Q Okay. Is it fair to say that you attended a meeting --
- oh, let me digress for a second. Turn to Exhibit 27, if
- 16 you would.
- 17 A (Complies.)
- 18 0 Tell me what is that?
- 19 A It's a flight evaluation.
- 20 Q All right. And let's just take a look at it.
- 21 It says -- on the top it says "WSP Aviation" and
- 22 then it says "Applicant Flight Evaluation." Is that
- 23 right? It's kind of hard to read.
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And whose handwriting is that?

25

Mine. 1 Α And can you tell us what this pertains to? 2 0 3 Myself, Ryan, Jeff Hatteberg had a meeting with the 4 Ryan wanted -- didn't think that I had clarity captain. 5 with him after flights. And so I brought up that I 6 could -- you know, I had this sheet prepared many years In fact in '03 is when I put this together. And 7 ago. that I could just use this and write down everything that 8 9 Ryan does on a training flight so Ryan would have clarity 10 with where he's at. 11 So this was a request by Ryan. 12 So Ryan asked for clarity because isn't it true that he 0 13 said that he felt like you were retaliating against him? 14 He just didn't think that we were communicating Α 15 enough after our flights together. 16 Okay. So this document, this form, had not been used, I 0 17 quess, in many years, and you pulled it out to use it 18 regarding Ryan Santhuff, right? 19 Incorrect. Α 20 Please explain. 21 This form, I just said I put it together in 2003. Α 22 used all the time. It' just a basic form that outlines 23 the practical test standards at that time of the FAA.

Well, why was he in need of practical test standard

analysis in May of 2016? He was already a qualified

- 1 pilot, right?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Well, what was his qualifications in May, 2016?
- 4 A You would have to pull up his flight training records and
- 5 see what -- where he was checked off in the program or if
- 6 he had any limitations. So there's a limitation sheet.
- 7 Q Okay. All right. And we can do that.
- 8 Let's take a look at what you wrote down. Under --
- 9 it says -- it says, "Applicants shall require -- be
- 10 required to demonstrate instrument and commercial flight
- 11 proficiency."
- 12 Was he an applicant?
- 13 A It was just a general form to use.
- 14 | Q Well, could you explain -- well, you -- did you design
- 15 this form?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q Okay. So in your mind, who would be an applicant?
- 18 A New pilots when they come into the aviation section. But
- it's just a form that lists a bunch of maneuvers that are
- 20 easy to read.
- 21 Q Okay. So, I mean, its purpose was not really to use for
- 22 people like Trooper Santhuff, right? It was for
- 23 applicants?
- 24 A No, it could be used for Trooper Santhuff. It just lists
- all the practical test standards. That's all it does.

- 1 | Q All right.
- A And it just makes it very easy for the applicant and/or people like Ryan Santhuff to read all the practical test standards, and it has a check-off box whether you met the standard or you didn't meet the standard broken apart in all five parts, which the FAA puts together.
- 7 Q Okay. So when you used this for Trooper Santhuff, you 8 did not use it for any of the other pilots, correct?
- 9 A I've used this probably for other pilots before.
- 10 Q Well, you just said probably. Do you have any specific recollection and can you give us the names of any other pilot you used this for?
- 13 A I use it for all initial pilots. I probably used it for

 14 one of our previous pilots, Johnny Montemyor. I may have

 15 used this back then or a --
- 16 0 You don't recall?
- 17 A -- version of it.
- 18 I don't recall.
- 19 Q All right. And you also used it for applicants, right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q All right. And do you know -- this actually wound up 22 being put in Trooper Santhuff's training record, right?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q All right. And is this a positive or negative evaluation of his flying?

- 1 MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the
- 2 question.
- THE WITNESS: Neither.
- 4 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer.
- 5 A Neither. It's just an evaluation of where they stand and
- 6 their skills.
- 7 Q Okay. And where did you decide he stood in his skill?
- 8 A I know Ryan still had limitations that weren't lifted
- 9 yet, so this gave Ryan a guideline for things to
- 10 practice.
- 11 | Q All right. And let's see. It says -- if we look under
- 12 Task: Flight Deck Management, could you help us discern
- some of your handwriting there? It says, "Use all
- 14 appropriate checklists," and then what does it say after
- 15 | that?
- 16 A What page are you on?
- 17 Q So I'm on Page 1.
- 18 A Okay.
- 19 | O And so I'm in -- I'm under Task 1.
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Q And then sub item 4 says, "Use all appropriate
- 22 checklists," and then there's some handwriting. Could
- 23 you tell us what the handwriting says?
- 24 A So "call clear." So when he started the engine, he
- 25 didn't call out the window to call clear of the prop

- 1 rotating so you wouldn't have somebody walk into it.
- 2 He didn't describe where --
- 3 Q What else?
- 4 A -- the ELT was, didn't describe the egress of the doors
- 5 and emergency exiting.
- 6 0 Does that mean he did it or didn't do it?
- 7 A Didn't do it. Anything there are notes on here are items 8 to review.
- 9 Q Okay. So if you wrote it down, it means that something wasn't appropriate -- was not correct?
- 11 A Not correct.
- 12 | Q Okay. All right. Now let's go down to scoring.
- 13 | It doesn't -- I can't see any scoring for Task No.
- 14 | 1; is that right?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 | O So you didn't -- you didn't fill this one out?
- 17 A It was just a training flight so...
- 18 | 0 Okay.
- 19 A Ryan wanted clarity on the training flight, so we just
- 20 made all the notes and all the elements and wrote it down
- 21 so Ryan could go out and practice.
- 22 Q Okay. So now let's take a look at scoring. And it
- 23 | says -- what's the handwriting on the right? It says,
- 24 | like, "no," and then I can't tell.
- 25 A "No checklist used after initial" -- it's too blurred

- 1 out. So it's no checklist used.
- 2 Q And could you just explain in lay person terms, what's
- 3 the significance of no checklist used?
- 4 A All aircraft have a checklist that you follow as a pilot
- for all aspects of flight.
- 6 Q Okay. And what's the next line say?
- 7 A "Use of checklist."
- 8 Q Okay. So, again, no -- this would be a -- this would be
- 9 something he did wrong, right?
- 10 A No, it's not necessarily wrong. It's just stuff -- these
- are items to practice to make you a better pilot, which
- we try to do. We try to lift the limitations on the
- pilots so they can, basically, move on to be a command
- pilot. So that's all this is, is a guideline to lift
- 15 limitations.
- 16 | Q Uh-huh. And in May -- on May 18th or the week before or
- after, did you do this for anybody else?
- 18 A I don't know if there's anybody else in the program at
- 19 Ryan's place. Everybody is individual, and they progress
- 20 at different rates.
- 21 | Q Okay. But there were people junior to him, right?
- 22 A Once more?
- 23 | Q There were people with less experience than him as of
- 24 | May, 2016, right?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Okay. And then so now I'm looking at the bottom
 2 handwriting, and it looks like this may be a summary.
- Would you just go ahead and read, not too fast, into the record beginning with "use of checklist"?
- 5 A "Use of checklist after initial cruise for rest of the flight (excluding shutdown)."
- 7 Q Okay. Keep going, please.
- 8 A That's the end of the page.
- 9 Q Oh, I thought -- oh, I was starting a little higher, and 10 then the next line would have been, "Did not call clear."
- 11 A Okay. So then everything I read is incorrect. So we're

 12 starting three-quarters of the way down the page. So the

 13 first line would be, "Did not call clear before start."
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 A "Did not provide complete passenger brief."
- 16 0 And --
- 17 A "Personal locator beacon, ELT, no baggage door exit, fire
 18 bag. Did not use checklist after initial cruise for rest
 19 of the flight (excluding shutdown)."
- 20 | Q Okay. And what does it mean to provide passenger briefs?
- 21 A So if you have to exit the aircraft in any way besides
 22 normal shutdown, you give a brief to your passengers
 23 that, you know, if we crash the aircraft, we're going to
 24 always try to meet in this kind of a location or these
 25 are your emergency exits, and we'll rally at some point

- so you know all your passengers got out of the aircraft.
- 2 Q All right. And what plane were you in at the time?
- 3 A I assume a Cessna 182.
- 4 | Q All right. And is that a four-seater?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. And you had been in that plane before?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q So I guess I'm wondering why you wrote down that he
- 9 didn't give you a passenger brief since you are the
- 10 instructor.
- 11 A He's the pilot in command.
- 12 | Q So do you mean every time you get in a plane, no matter
- who you are sitting next to and how many times they've
- been with you, if they are -- if they are pilots like you
- who fly the same plane, you also give them a passenger
- 16 brief?
- 17 A Every time I go up on an instruction flight, yes.
- 18 | O Okay. So this is an instruction flight.
- 19 Could you explain for the record what you mean by
- 20 | "instruction flight"?
- 21 A If a pilot goes up with a sergeant and/or myself as the
- 22 flight instructor, the goal is to lift limitations. So
- 23 they are instruction flights.
- 24 | Q Okay. And is there anything on Page 1 that could tell us
- what his limitations were, if any?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q Okay. Let's turn to Page 2. And it says applicant, and
- 3 then it says Santhuff, and it says 50 again.
- 4 It says -- under taxiing, there's some handwriting
- 5 after No. 1, "Performs brake check." What does that say?
- 6 A "Brake check after approximately 50 feet."
- 7 | Q Oh, I see. But what about the handwritten above that?
- 8 It looks like L-A-T-E maybe?
- 9 A Late.
- 10 | Q What's that? What does that pertain to?
- 11 A It means he performed the brake check 50 feet after we
- 12 started to taxi. Usually you perform the brake check
- right after you start to move to make sure the brakes
- 14 work.
- 15 Q Okay. All right. And then let's turn the page again,
- and now we're on Page 3.
- 17 | Any handwriting that you can read to us?
- 18 A It says Steep Turns, so, "VRF okay plus or minus 10
- 19 knots. IMC" --
- 20 0 What does that mean?
- 21 A It means when he was visually looking out the window in
- clear weather that he was holding his air speed plus or
- 23 minus 10 knots.
- 24 | 0 Is that good or bad?
- 25 A It's acceptable.

- 1 Q Okay. Is it optimal?
- 2 A You have to read the standard, which you can't read here.
- 3 | Q Okay. Can you tell us?
- 4 A I don't quote the standard. They are written down by the
- 5 FAA. They change -- or can change all the time, so I
- 6 just go right to the book.
- 7 Q Okay. And then it says, it looks like, VFR and then
- 8 hood. What did you write down there?
- 9 A VFR, so it's "VFR air speed plus or minus 10 knots. Hood
- air speed plus or minus 10 knots. Roll out plus or minus
- 11 10 degrees."
- 12 | Q And is that all acceptable?
- 13 A You would have to read the standard, which is too blurry
- 14 here. I can't read it.
- 15 | 0 Okay. Got it.
- 16 How about under 5, what is the handwriting there?
- 17 | A "Plus 10 degrees. Didn't check the weather. Minus 100
- 18 | feet." Can't read the rest due to the clarity.
- 19 | Q Okay. And then it says, "100 feet RV from" -- what is
- 20 that?
- 21 A "Minus 100 feet. RV from Shelton to localizer 17,
- 22 heading plus 10 degrees when setting the radios, check
- 23 weather."
- 24 | Q Okay. And so can you sort of summarize this page for us
- as to the things that he did incorrectly that you have

- 1 described on this page?
- 2 A It looks like he couldn't hold his air speed plus or
- minus 10 knots. It looks like his rollouts were plus 10
- 4 degrees past heading. He forgot to check his weather.
- 5 He was 100 feet low on the approaches. And he forgot to
- 6 check his weather when coming back into Olympia. And
- 7 then he rolled out and maintained a heading of plus 10
- 8 degrees --
- 9 0 All right.
- 10 A -- or he drifted 10 degrees when setting the radios.
- 11 | Q Okay. And this -- can you tell us why this document --
- this document is not an official document, right?
- 13 A No, I created these documents. But the standards --
- 14 | Q Okay.
- 15 A -- mimic what the FAA duplicates or mimic what the FAA
- 16 puts out.
- 17 | Q Can you tell us why you put this in his training file?
- 18 A Because they're guidelines to practice so Ryan can
- increase and hone his skills to be a better pilot.
- 20 | Q Was it your view at this time that his performance was
- 21 substandard?
- 22 A His performance was not passing during this time in these
- 23 certain elements.
- 24 | 0 Was not passing. Okay.
- 25 And is that how you folks talk about training, it's

- 1 | either pass or don't pass?
- 2 A No.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 3 Q Okay. Does it have any significance to say that he was 4 not passing at the time?
 - A What we look for on the training program, and to clarify this, is when we train pilots to be professional pilots, we want them to pass the standard 10 out of 10 times. If they can only pass the standard 1 out of 10 times, that's kind of considered not passing, and you need more practice.

And so when we get down into layman terms, we say, you know, 10 out of 10 you are proficient, a safe pilot. If you can only do it 1 out of 10 times, that means the other 9 times, you probably killed your passenger. So we look for the 10 out of 10.

That's why we perform and go out and do all these practice flights with the pilots. You know, whether it's myself, the sergeants and/or senior line pilots, they go out so they can hone in or practice their skills so the pilots can go out and practice their skills on their own.

- Q Do you ever go out with the sergeants?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q In 2016, did you ever fly out with the sergeants?
- 24 A I don't recall.
- 25 | Q Okay. And it's fair to say that you didn't complete a

- 1 form like this for the sergeants, right?
- 2 A I don't recall.
- Q And it's also fair to say that you have no recollection of having completed a form like this for any other trooper besides Ryan Santhuff?
- A No, that's incorrect. I have completed this on almost all the troopers that have come into our aviation section.
- 9 Q But that was when they first came in, right?
- 10 A I've used this form all the time. And so whether it's
 11 for reference or whether I write on it, I mean, it
 12 usually goes in their training manual -- or their
 13 training file when I use it.
- Q Can you give me the name of one person where you know that this type of document is in their training file --
- 16 A It should be --
- 17 | Q -- that is not somebody who was an applicant at the time?
- 18 A I don't recall.
- 19 Q Fair enough.
- All right. Now we're looking at the next page, and
 it says -- we're up to Task No. 6. Could you read what
 it says above in the handwriting, your handwriting, above
 Task No. 6?
- 24 A "OLM VOR-A."
- 25 0 What does that mean?

- That we were at the Olympia airport when we performed the 1 Α 2 VOR alpha approach.
- 3 Okay. And that's just data, right? That's not Q judgmental in any way? 4
- 5 Α Yes, just the approach we performed.
- Okay. And now under 5, could you read what you wrote 6 there? It looks like four lines. 7
- That we had a late let down from 2,900 to 2,500 and the 8 Α 9 procedure hold inbound, no check of one-minute weather, 10 flaps -- flaps at 4 miles and 10 degrees.
- All right. And does that represent any deficiencies? 11 0
- 12 He did not let down in the hold and didn't check the Α 13 one-minute weather for a non-precision approach and then 14 set the flaps at 10 degrees instead of -- we teach at 3 15
- 16 0 All right.

degrees.

- 17 I mean, correction, that's three miles, at three miles. Α
- 18 Okay. And is this done with the hood on? 0
- 19 Sometimes they are done with the hood on and sometimes 20 they are done in VFR conditions.
- 21 Can you tell if this particular flight was with the hood 0 2.2 on?
- 23 Since it was an instrument training flight, I will assume Α 24 it was. But there's nothing here that indicates on this 25 page that it was.

- Q Okay. Can you just explain in lay person terms what is the use of the hood for persons who are practicing to get their instrument rating?
- A You use the hood so you can only make your flight by sole reference to the instruments of the aircraft, so you can't see outside.
- 7 Q So the idea is that if you were flying in fog, you
 8 would -- you would be under the same circumstances? You
 9 would be looking at your -- the readings on your dash,
 10 and you wouldn't be looking out the window?
- 11 A The fog might be different because you think more of a 12 ground-based reference. And so it would be more probably 13 in flight in the clouds.
- 14 Q Oh, thanks. Okay. But is what I've said actually correct?
- 16 A No.
- 17 | Q Let me ask it again.
- 18 So the hood is meant to, basically, sort of
- replicate what the conditions would be if you were in a
- 20 cloud?
- 21 A Correct.
- Q Okay. And when you do an instrument training, do you take off and land also with the hood on?
- 24 A No.
- 25 | Q Okay. So, basically, you get up in the air, put the hood

1 on and then follow your instructions?

degrees, 2 miles 20 degrees."

- 2 A Correct, or ATC's instructions.
- 3 Q All right. And then let's take a look at RV turn and read, if you would, those words.
- 5 A Let's see. "RV turn to track inbound OLM on the 351
 6 degree was 4.5 miles south of the airport on missed from
 7 ILS 17. Cross VOR outbound." I can't read -- due to
 8 something aircraft. "Late let down in hold from 2,900 to
 9 2,500. No check of one minute before. Flaps at 10
 10 degrees at 4 miles not per Chapter 2 of the training
 11 manual and the final approach fix are 3-2-1, 3 mile 10
- 13 Q And what does all that mean to summarize it, if you would?
- 15 A It just means he wasn't following the standard instrument procedures.
- $V12^{17}$ Q Okay. All right.
 - 18 And with the amount of things you are writing down,
 - is this something that could hurt his career?
 - 20 A No --

12

- 21 O How come?
- 22 A -- it could better his career. Because we do training to
- 23 make people better pilots. Like I said, the whole goal
- is to get people through the system and command pilot.
- 25 So this is all part of the training program. We try

- to produce safe, proficient pilots. So all this does is
- 2 help the pilot. In fact, this form was used at Ryan's
- 3 request because he wanted extreme clarity when he went
- 4 flying with me.
- 5 Q Could you tell me, he had been there two years when you
- 6 did this evaluation; isn't that right?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And he had been flying the 182s for two years, right?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And can you tell me whether he was instrument qualified
- 11 on the 182?
- 12 A With certain limitations, yes.
 - Q Okay. And was he -- did he fly alone during those first two years?
- 15 A No.

- 16 | O Does anybody fly alone?
- 17 A Well, they fly with an -- with a senior pilot, or once 18 they have limitations lifted, yes, they can fly alone.
- 19 Q Okay. All right.
- If you can turn to the next page now. And it's -below Task 7 is some handwriting. If you would just be
 kind enough to read the first grouping, and then we'll go
 down to the one that says "no" something.
- 24 A "Minus 100 feet before glide slope intercept, 10 degrees 25 flaps at 6 miles of the final approach fix or 3 miles.

1		Did not start time on the did not start the time at
2		Opoyu inbound."
3	Q	Okay. And what does that mean in lay person terms?
4	A	Did not follow procedures on the instrument approach.
5	Q	All right. And then go ahead and read the next grouping.
6	A	"No before landing checklist, no flaps at 2 miles, 20
7		degrees at 100 knots, no radio call after 10-mile call."
8		It's a little blurry here, but something when we
9		were at 325 feet, missed call at 4.5 miles south of OLM.
LO	Q	Okay. And then how about the little bullets on the right
L1		side that begins with 100 feet?
L2	A	"Minus 100 feet before glide slope intercept, 10 degrees
L3		flaps at 6 miles, not per Chapter 2, final approach fix
L 4		at 321 procedure, 3 miles at 10 degrees, 2 miles at 20
L5		degrees, did not start time, no flaps at 2 miles, at 2
L6		miles air speed 100 knots, called missed at 4.5 miles
L7		south."
L8	Q	Okay. And can you give us just a summary of what the
L9		criticisms were that are stated on this page?
20	A	Did not fly the approaches to the published instrument
21		procedures.
22	Q	Okay. All right.
23		Let's look at the next one. And it says, going down
24		to just above No. 9, Airborne Aircraft Handling, it says
25		hood and would you go shead and read your writing below

- 1 that?
- 2 A "Plus or minus 100 feet -- 3 red on base to final, on 3-5
- 3 circle to land, plus or minus 100 feet, rudder control,
- 4 leads turns, rudder control transition from climb to
- 5 cruise."
- $V13^6$ Q And what's the -- summarize for us what that means, if
 - 7 you would.
 - 8 A He didn't follow the standard instrument procedures on
 - 9 the approach and had poor rudder control while flying the
 - 10 aircraft.
 - 11 | Q Was there anything that was a safety issue?
 - 12 A Well, if he was flying alone, all of this would be a
 - 13 safety issue.
 - 14 | O Okay. You mean somebody could die?
 - 15 A They could, yes.
 - 16 0 Okay. And did you pass this on to anyone --
 - 17 A I discussed this with the sergeants.
 - 18 | O I'm sorry. Let me ask it again.
 - 19 Did you pass on to anyone this critique?
 - 20 A It was discussed with the sergeants.
 - 21 Q All right. And which sergeant would that have been at
 - 22 the time?
 - 23 A Sergeant Sweeney and Jeff Hatteberg.
 - 24 | Q All right. And had you ever evaluated the flight
 - 25 performance of Trooper Santhuff negatively before May

- 1 18th, 2016?
- 2 A I don't recall.
- 3 Q All right. Could you turn the page again, please, and
- 4 tell us what this is? It says Aviation Section, and it's
- 5 some kind of a chart.
- 6 A Okay.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q What is this?
- A When I came into the aviation section, they really had no means or paperwork to track any kind of instruction or dual flight. So I put this together so we could actually track the training program.
- Q All right. Let's just take a look at one of the ones that's not in gray because it will be easier to read.

Would you look at the one that has a date of 4/13/15 and tell us -- guide us through -- across the row and tell us what you're -- what's being written down and by whom.

- A Okay. So the date is 4/13/15. We were in aircraft
 November-1-0-2-lima-papa assessed at 182. We spent 6
 hours on ground instruction. We spent 3.1 hours of dual
 night, 3.1 hours of cross country night, .8 hours of IFR
 training, for a total flight of 3.1 hours, total flight
 time 3.1 hours, total time 3.1 hours.
- 24 | Q Okay. And does the No. 50 mean your badge number?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 | Q On the left side, right? Okay.
- Then under solo time, could you tell us what is solo time and what is dual time?
 - A Solo time is flying -- if we send -- again, this was a form that was used from the college that I called the college and I borrowed since we didn't have anything really in the patrol to use.

So solo time would be if you are a brand new pilot without any ratings, you would log your time under solo time so it can be tracked in the training program.

- Q Oh, so you could get in enough hours to get the certification you were trying for?
- 13 A Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q Okay. And in this case, where it says "solo time" in that column, is that something -- first of all, is this all your handwriting?
- 17 A No.
- 18 | Q Can you tell us whose handwriting it is, if you know?
- 19 A Well, it looks like my handwriting, it looks like
- 20 Sergeant Sweeney's handwriting, and it looks like
- 21 | Sergeant Hatteberg's handwriting. Not because of their
- 22 handwriting, but only because they have a badge number
- 23 there. So other than that --
- 24 | O Okay.
- 25 A -- I don't know whose handwriting it is.

- 1 Q Okay. So wherever we see badge -- Badge 50, that's you?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay. And then if you would, for the timeframe April
- 4 5th, 2016, would you go over to solo time and explain
- 5 what you wrote there? It looks like RT something.
- 6 A "RTC plus."
- 7 Q What does that mean?
- 8 A Right seat plus pilot authorized procedures.
- 9 0 And what does that mean in lay terms?
- 10 A Ryan wanted trained in the right seat of the aircraft, so
- we were doing right-seat training.
- 12 Q Okay. And could you explain the significance of that to
- a lay -- in lay person terms?
- 14 A So it's a move up in pilot status, so to say. So if you
- want to go out and help train new pilots, you -- what we
- do is we conduct training in the right seat so you are
- familiar with the aircraft from the right seat. That's
- 18 basically what it is.
- 19 0 Okay. All right.
- 20 And was that the case here, that Ryan Santhuff told
- 21 you he wanted some training in the right seat so he
- 22 could, I quess, train others down the road?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q Okay. And this is -- this is not judgmental. It's just
- 25 | logging time, right?

- A Right. It's familiarity. You fly in the right seat for familiarization, but we were conducting these as training flights also to lift limitations that he had.
- Q Okay. And we can't tell what those limitations are, as you said, from looking at any of the documents we have seen so far, right?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Okay. And if we could, it looks like -- just looking at 9 this one page, it looks like -- is this sort of a 10 complete record of the amount of times that you went up 11 in the air with Ryan Santhuff, realizing that there may 12 be more pages?
- 13 A If we were conducting training flights. But I wouldn't

 14 put anything in here if Ryan Santhuff and I flew together

 15 on a traffic flight or something.
- 16 Q Oh, I see. Okay. So this is only about training, and so -- okay. All right.
- And so this is in gray, and it's going to be hard to read, but is the 5/18 entry that you wrote about and we talked about before, is that -- is that on this chart?
- 21 A 5/18/16?
- 22 Q Yes.
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Yeah, look in the gray.
- 25 And is there anything we could -- could you tell us

0

what there is to tell across the row? 1 2 5/18/16 November-3-5-3-2-kilo, C182, .6 ground Α 3 instruction, 1.4 hours a day, .8 hours of IFR, 1.4 hours 4 total, 1.4 hours total flight time, 1.4 hours total time. 5 Is it true that you are the one who mandated the 0 right seated -- the right-seat training at this time as 6 depicted on the chart? 7 MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the 8 9 question. 10 THE WITNESS: You are going to have to rephrase your question. I don't understand. 11 12 (By Mr. Sheridan) Yeah, I'm sorry. 0 13 So if we go back up to the 4/7/16 entries, we have 14 that right-seat training thing that you discussed. Do you see that there? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 Isn't it true that you are the one who wanted him to sit 18 in the right seat? 19 You already asked that question. Α It was Ryan 20 Santhuff's request to be right-seat trained. 21 Okay. And you didn't think there was anything wrong with 0 2.2 that, right? 23 No, I try to help pilots move along in the training Α 24 program.

Okay. And then is there any reason why -- I'm still on

```
that same page. It looks like May 19th, 2014, would be
      1
      2.
             the first entry on this -- on this page, right?
      3
         Α
             Yes.
             And then as we go down the page, it looks like -- am I
      4
      5
             right that the newer -- the newer information is on top
             and the older information is below, like, you are
      6
      7
             actually going up the chart when you are filling it out?
                  Oh, no, no. I'm wrong. I withdraw that. It's
      8
      9
             2014, '15 and '16, isn't it?
     10
         Α
             Correct.
     11
             Okay. So if we -- if we go to 2016, you don't start
     12
             training him until April 4th, 2016, right, in terms of
     13
             training flights?
     14
             No, the first on this page is 5/19/14.
         Α
V14_{15}
             No, no. I mean in the year 2016. The first entry where
     16
             you are training him is on April 4th, 2016?
     17
             Correct, because he requested right-seat training, so
         Α
    18
             that captures that date. So I started training with Ryan
    19
             when he requested it.
     20
             Now, was he assigned to be trained by somebody else
         0
    21
             before this time?
             Ryan probably trained with numerous pilots before this
     22
         Α
     23
             time.
     24
             And -- okay. And why is it you took over the training in
     25
             April of 2016?
```

- 1 A Ryan wanted right-seat training. So at that time, I was
- the only CFI because the other CFIs weren't checked out
- 3 yet.
- 4 Q And would you just say what CFI means for the record?
- 5 A Certified flight instructor.
- 6 Q Okay. All right. So it's your testimony that because he
- 7 | wanted to sit in the right seat, that's why you took over
- 8 his training, correct?
- 9 A Just the right-seat training. I conducted that because
- 10 he wanted to fly in the right seat.
- 11 Q And it's during this time, a month later, that you wrote
- 12 the things that he did wrong on the pages that we've been
- 13 talking about before, right?
- ENDA At Ryan's request, yes.
 - 15 Q I got it. Okay.
 - And let's turn the page again and look at 2014. It
 - 17 looks like you only trained him three times on this page?
 - 18 A The page is full. It looks like he trained for a full
 - 19 page.
 - 20 Q Okay. But were you the trainer on all of these?
 - 21 A No.
 - 22 | Q You only trained him on the three entries at the bottom,
 - 23 right?
 - 24 A Yeah, the areas where I had to train him.
 - 25 | Q All right. Okay.

- 1 Now would you turn the page again and tell us what
- 2 this is.
- 3 A It's a basic evaluation sheet for how they perform the
- 4 maneuvers.
- 5 0 And when is this filled out?
- 6 A After the flights.
- 7 Q Is it done for every flight?
- 8 A Typically for every flight when you go up with somebody
- 9 for instruction, yes.
- 10 | Q So wait. Why didn't you just fill this out for May 18th,
- 11 2016?
- 12 A Is there not a line in there for May 18th, 2016?
- 13 | Q Tell me if you see one. Oh, look at the next page. It
- 14 looks like maybe 5/18? 16 is the second entry of the
- 15 next page.
- 16 A 5/18/16, yes.
- 17 | Q But it's dark, and we can't really see what was on there.
- 18 | Can you see on your copy?
- 19 A No.
- 20 0 Okay. All right. For that particular page, could you
- 21 | just help us, with a 3, is that sort of -- that's
- 22 standard, right?
- 23 A Average, yes.
- 24 | Q Okay. And 4 is better? Or not, below average?
- 25 A Below average.

- 1 Q Okay. And do these get done for every training flight?
- 2 A They are supposed to.
- 3 Q Okay. And it's typically the person who is sitting in
- 4 the right seat that does it, right?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Please explain.
- 7 A So it would be whoever is providing the flight
- 8 instruction or the monitoring pilot would fill this out.
- 9 Q Okay. And is the monitoring pilot in the plane with the
- 10 person who is flying?
- 11 A Yes.

END

- 12 | Q Okay. And do the sergeants have these filled out for
- 13 them, too?
- 14 A Everybody that comes through the section has one of
- 15 these, yes.
- 1/1516 O Okay. And is there a minimum number of times that a
 - pilot in the aviation section has to have this done, this
 - 18 type of evaluation?
 - 19 A We perform these and, actually, we keep moving forward in
 - 20 the progression program until all your limitations are
 - 21 lifted. We've had pilots that have actually retired out
 - 22 that never have had all their limitations lifted.
 - 23 | O Okay. And if all your limitations are lifted, how does
 - 24 that change your job assignment, if at all?
 - 25 A You are released to fly more missions.

- 1 Q Are there a maximum number of missions you can fly if you are not fully released?
- 3 A Correct. It's stipulated in your limitations.

V164 Q I see. Okay. All right.

- 5 All right. Now, would you agree with me that you
- 6 can -- you as the instructor -- you can effect how well a
- 7 person flying the plane does based on how quickly you
- 8 give them things to do?
- 9 MR. BIGGS: Objection to the form of
- 10 that question.
- 11 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer, if you can.
- 12 A Just like any field, you can bury anybody if you want to.
- I can sit here and start speaking extremely fast and get
- in front of the court reporter so...
- 15 Q Okay. And you can do that for pilots as well, right?
- 16 A Any field, yes.

17 Q Okay. Got it.

- All right. It's true, is it not, that in the first
- 19 two years, you and he flew together from time to time,
- 20 right?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 | Q And that you got along well, didn't you?
- 23 A Got along well the entire time.
- 24 | Q Okay. Well, isn't it true that after the 095, you became
- quiet and wouldn't necessarily talk to him much in the

25

Α

1 plane? 2 Α That's incorrect. 3 And isn't it also true that on this particular flight on Q May 18th, you basically -- you constantly moved him in a 4 5 way that made it very difficult for him to do the job because you sped everything up? 6 7 Α Incorrect. Do you have a specific recollection of that flight? 8 9 MR. BIGGS: Which flight are we 10 talking about? 11 The May 18th flight. MR. SHERIDAN: 12 MR. BIGGS: Okay. Sorry. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't try to speed 14 anything up. The whole goal is to get the pilots to move 15 forward so they can be more productive members of the aviation section. It doesn't benefit me in any way to 16 17 hold a pilot back because it puts too much pressure and 18 demand on the few pilots who are fully checked off. 19 no, that's incorrect. 20 (By Mr. Sheridan) I got it. Okay. 0 21 Let's see. So that was on May 18th. And then 2.2 wasn't it just two days later that you had a meeting with 23 Captain Alexander, Hatteberg and Ryan Santhuff?

but I know we had meetings.

We had meetings. I don't know if it was two days later,

And isn't it true that at the meeting, there was a 1 Okay. 0 discussion where he -- where Mr. Santhuff was -- or 2 3 Trooper Santhuff was asked, "So I understand you have concerns about the training program, too." 4 And he answered, "Well, yes," and then he brought up 5 6 the fact that Jason Caton was in the section for six 7 months and hadn't even started his training with aviation, which is the lieutenant's job, meaning you. 8 9 Was that said at the meeting? 10 Could have. Α 11 Okay. And is it your -- even if you have no specific 12 recollection, is it your impression that Trooper Santhuff 13 spoke up about Jason Caton? 14 He could have. Α 15 Okay. Is it true that in response, you said, "I'm going 16 to stop you right there, " or words to that effect? 17 I don't recall. That's not my normal language, so I Α 18 would say no. And then you said, "This is about you and only 19 Okav. 20 you." And is that -- did you say words to that effect 21 regarding Mr. Santhuff? That wouldn't be words that I would utter, so I would say 22 23 no. 24 Well, was it your understanding that the purpose of the meeting was to talk about Ryan Santhuff's sensed that he 25

1		was being retaliated against?
2	A	My understanding for the purpose of the meeting was for
3		all of us to get together so we could move forward.
4	Q	Okay. And what did you have to get together from?
5	A	You would have to ask Captain Alexander. He called the
6		meeting.
7	Q	Okay. So it's your testimony as you sit here today that
8		you didn't really know what the meeting was about except
9		to get together?
10	A	To get together, and that's all I recall besides what
11		happened at the meeting.
12	Q	Okay. And then in response to your saying, "This is
13		about you and only you," isn't it true that Trooper
14		Santhuff said, "Lieutenant Nobach, with all due respect,
15		the captain asked me a question about my concerns with
16		the training program, and I'm answering the captain's
17		questions"?
18		And he said that, did he not?
19	A	Not that I recall.
20	Q	Okay. But isn't it your memory that he that he said
21		that he had a right to talk about his concerns about
22		in the training program?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Okay. And isn't it true that this meeting happened only
25		two days after the May 18th flight training that you have

- 1 been discussing?
- 2 MR. BIGGS: Objection; form of the
- 3 question.
- 4 | Q (By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer.
- 5 A I don't recall the date of the meeting.
- 6 Q Okay. But do you recall it happening at a meeting?
- 7 A I recall having a meeting, yes.
- 8 | Q But you don't recall what was said at the meeting?
- 9 A I recall in general what was said at the meeting. It was
- 10 four years ago.
- 11 | Q Why don't you tell us in general what was said at the
- 12 meeting.
- 13 A We talked about the training program and moving Ryan
- 14 forward with training.
- 15 Q All right. So you admit that it was a discussion about
- 16 | Ryan Santhuff's training?
- 17 A Training was discussed at the meeting, yes.
- 18 | O All right. It's true, is it not, that at the meeting
- also it was said that if Trooper Santhuff doesn't learn
- 20 to get along with Nobach, one of us is going to be
- 21 removed from aviation or words to that effect?
- 22 A I don't recall that at all.
- 23 Q Okay. Now, it's true, is it not, that you actually were
- angry about having to do the training and having gotten
- 25 the 095?

MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the 1 2. question. 3 THE WITNESS: No, I've been the 4 training pilot since 2001, so I actually like to do 5 flight instruction training. So, no, I was not angry about that. 6 7 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) Isn't it true that you said to Trooper Santhuff words to the effect that, I'm -- "If I'm going" 8 9 to be held accountable for this shit, the sexual 10 misconduct incident, then you and everybody else here 11 will be, too, " or words to that effect? Did you say 12 that? 13 Absolutely not. Α 14 It's true, is it not, that after the sexual 0 15 misconduct incident that involved the 095, you at various 16 times refused to talk to Trooper Santhuff, you avoided him, and you were typically unhappy when you saw him? 17 18 MR. BIGGS: Objection; form of the 19 question. 20 Go ahead 21 THE WITNESS: Not true. 2.2 (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. And is it true that -- is it 0 23 true that -- I gather it's your testimony that you did 24 not try to paper his file with negative documentation in 25 2016?

- 1 A Can you go ahead and clarify what file?
- 2 Q Yeah, his training file.
- 3 A I don't see any -- the training file is just a training
- file. There's -- it's where you are at and how you
- 5 perform based on the standard. It's pretty simple.
- 6 0 Okay. Is it true that when -- on April 1st, 2016, during
- 7 the morning briefing when you read from papers, including
- 8 the policy against sexual harassment and directed the
- 9 aviation section that inappropriate office conduct would
- 10 not be tolerated, you at the time glared essentially at
- 11 Trooper Santhuff in an effort to intimidate him?
- 12 A Absolutely not.
- 13 Q Okay. Will you agree with me that that would be
- 14 unprofessional?
- 15 A It wouldn't be professional to glare at anybody at a
- 16 meeting.
- 17 Q Especially a subordinate, right?
- 18 A It would be inappropriate to glare at anybody at a
- 19 meeting.
- 20 Q Okay. And then is it true, is it not, that following the
- 21 issuance of the 095, you talked to Sergeant Jeff
- 22 | Hatteberg about having received it?
- 23 A I believe the sergeants knew that I received a negative
- 24 095.
- 25 | Q Okay. And that's because you told them, right?

- 1 A I may have told them or they may have heard it from
- 2 somebody else.
- 3 | Q Okay.
- 4 A I seemed to be pretty much the only one -- the last one on the loop on that.
- 6 Q But you weren't keeping it a secret?
- 7 A I just said I received one. I mean, I didn't say from --
- 8 I mean, they all know it comes from the captain because
- 9 it comes from your supervisor.
- 10 Q Right.
- 11 You basically expressed concern to Jeff Hatteberg
- and blamed Trooper Santhuff, did you not?
- 13 A I didn't blame anybody.
- 14 | Q Did you put Jeff Hatteberg up to telling Santhuff that he
- should apologize to you?
- 16 A Absolutely not.
- 17 | Q Okay. Did you ever see Sergeant Hatteberg break down and
- 18 cry over the stresses of his job?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Okay. It's true, is it not, that the workload that was
- 21 given to Trooper Santhuff was increased whenever you were
- 22 working with him?
- 23 A No, his workload was decreased.
- 24 | Q And how was it decreased? What responsibilities was he
- 25 removed from?

Α

We had a list that we sent out of, you know, kind of 1 2 duties or what people work on for all the pilots so 3 there's no duplication of work efforts. So the workload 4 for Santhuff and a few of the other pilots was decreased 5 and moved to the sergeants and/or myself. Okay. Is it true that in May you implemented some 6 7 changes to the training program? What would be those changes? You will have to clarify. 8 Α 9 Sure. 0 Did you discuss with the pilots a new procedure of / 1<mark>7</mark>9 11 right-seat flight training? Yes. 12 Α 13 Tell us about that. Why in the world would you do that 14 the end of May, 2016? 15 MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the 16 question. 17 (By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer. Q 18 We moved all the right-seat instruction to command pilots 19 only. 20 What does that mean? 0 If you are a command pilot, you can do right-seat 21 22 instruction. 23 Oh, so you mean that somebody like Trooper Santhuff could 24 not?

Once he became a command pilot, he could.

But in May of 2016, he could not? 1 Okay. 0 2 Correct. Α 3 Yet it's your testimony on the 18th was it -- strike 4 that. 5 It's your testimony that on the dates that we 6 discussed before, you did provide him with right-seat 7 training, right? Based on Ryan Santhuff's input about the 8 Α Correct. 9 right-seat training, we moved it over just to leave it as 10 command pilots only. 11 I see. 0 12 Because it was a bad idea to do that right-seat 13 training, right, for somebody at the trooper level? 14 Objection to form of the MR. BIGGS: 15 question. 16 Yeah, the trooper level THE WITNESS: 17 has no merit or anything because the natural progression 18 is commercial, instrument pilot and CFI. That's the way 19 it works even in flight schools and with the FAA. 20 Unfortunately, Ryan couldn't handle the right-seat 21 So after we trained in that and Ryan expressed training. that he couldn't handle the right seat training, we just 22 23 moved the right-seat training that would be just for the command pilots or flight instructors. 25 (By Mr. Sheridan) Fair enough. Q

- Let's look at Exhibit 10, if we can. 1 And this is 2. a -- it says, "Subject: Meeting," at the lieutenant's 3 office on May 24th, 2016. And the organizer is you. Attended by Hatteberg, Noll, Santhuff and Sweeney. And 4 5 did you write this?
- It looks like I did. 6 Α

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 7 Q And who is Christopher Noll?
- He was a pilot in aviation at that time. 8 Α
- 9 Okay. And you wrote, "Address any concerns Noll might 0 10 have about the training program." What concerns had he 11 expressed that you wanted to talk about?
 - I know Chris was held back from going to King Air school. Α I had put in numerous requests to send him to King Air school, and they were denied up top. And so that was his concern.

And I told him that I was continually sending up requests to send him to King Air school. And once we had a deputy chief change, his request went through, and he went to King Air school.

And you write No. 2, "Discuss the new procedure of right 0 seat training. APP only rated pilots will be used to have been trained in the right seat and have passed the Chapter 2 training program."

Is that what you were talking about?

Α Yes. Command pilots.

- 1 | Q Okay. All right.
- 2 And so why was this even on the table for discussion
- if nobody other than Ryan Santhuff had been seated in the
- 4 | right seat without being a command pilot?
- 5 A Can you say your question one more time, please?
- 6 Q Yeah. Why was this even an agenda item if nobody was
- 7 doing right-seat training except the time that you did it
- 8 with Trooper Santhuff?
- 9 A Because Noll wanted to be checked out in the right seat
- also. So we just moved it to go back the way where we
- used to do it where just the command pilots would be --
- and the flight instructors would train in the right seat.
- 13 | Q What's No. 3, extra duties?
- 14 A Those are what you asked before, extra duties. That's
- where we took the extra duties off of the pilots. So you
- asked that question previously.
- 17 | Q Okay. And how about with regarding breaks, expectations
- and breaks? It says, "We will follow the CBA. When the
- pilot takes a break with the ground troopers, it will be
- 20 per the district schedule." What is that about?
- 21 A It's just following the CBA for breaks.
- 22 | Q Did you have a sense that Ryan Santhuff was taking too
- 23 long of breaks?
- 24 A I didn't have the -- it was just a line that we brought
- up that all pilots follow the CBA.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q All right. And then it said, "Check rides. Performed -before I perform any check rides, pilots will fly with
their supervisor, who will in turn make the
recommendation to me if said pilot is ready."

And why did you come up with that?

- A I didn't. It was just implemented the way it used to be.

 So it used to be that all the pilots before they wanted instrument competency check or before they wanted a flight review, they would go fly with a command pilot to hone their skills, and then the command pilot would say, "Okay. They are ready for their check ride," and then I would go out and do the check ride.
- Q So in this case, the check ride you did on May 18th would have been in violation of No. 5 had you written it beforehand, correct?
- 16 A May 18th wasn't a check ride. It was a training flight.
 - Q Oh, different. Oh.
 - What is the difference again between check ride and training ride?
 - A You asked that a couple hours ago. So the only check rides that we do, so we do a check ride for -- your instrument proficiency check has to be check-rided with the CFI, and we have to do a check ride for flight review; has to be with the CFI.
 - And then I did forget one earlier. The other --

- it's not really a check ride, but the other flight you
- 2 have to do with the CFI is in a Cessna 206. You have to
- do five hours with an instructor per our insurance.
- 4 Q Okay. Wait. Let me make sure I got that.
- 5 A The 206 part was missed in the very first question.
- 6 0 Okay.
- 7 A And that's an insurance requirement.
- 8 Q Would you say that one again? I have instrument, flight
- 9 review and sign up for the written test. What's the
- 10 other one?
- 11 A The Cessna 206 has to be with the flight instructor for
- 12 five hours. It can't even be with a command pilot. It
- has to be with the flight instructor.
- 14 | Q Okay.
- 15 A And that's not an FAA req. That's an insurance
- 16 requirement.
- 17 | Q All right. Got it. Okay.
- 18 | MR. SHERIDAN: So I'm going to take a
- 19 half-hour lunch if that works with everybody so I can
- assess how much more I have to do. I think we're doing
- 21 really good.
- MR. BIGGS: What is your sense of how
- 23 | much longer you have?
- MR. SHERIDAN: I'm pretty sure I'm
- 25 going to get us out of here by 2:00.

1 MR. BIGGS: Okay. 2 MR. SHERIDAN: And maybe sooner. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. I'm going 4 to go ahead and take us off record then. 5 MR. SHERIDAN: Yep. 6 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off at 7 12:16 p.m. (Recess from 12:16 to 12:49.) 8 9 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record. 10 The time now is 12:49 p.m. 11 MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. Thank you. 12 (By Mr. Sheridan) All right. Lieutenant, what's the 0 13 aviation section manual? 14 We have an aviation section operations manual. 15 What is that? It's a guideline that we put together for the section. 16 17 And is it fair to say that it contains policies and Q 18 procedures? 19 Α No. **Procedure** 20 What does it contain? 21 Procedures. Α 22 Procedures only. All right. 0 23 And do you follow it? 24 We try to follow it to the best of our ability, yes. 25 All right. And is it your -- I mean, in your daily 0

- 1 practice, do you follow it?
- 2 A We try to follow it to the best of our ability. But,
- again, it's just a procedure, something we created. So
- 4 it's a guideline.
- 5 Q So you can -- it's okay for you to not follow it from
- 6 | time to time?
- 7 A Sometimes we do deviate from the manual, but we talk to
- 8 chain of command about it.
- 9 Q Okay. So if you deviate from the manual, you would need
- 10 the captain's okay, right?
- 11 A It's more of a discussion.
- 12 Q Okay. It's true, is it not, that after the 095 was
- issued to you, there came a time that Trooper Santhuff
- was being locked out of the office cabinets?
- 15 A Not that I know of.
- 16 0 Okav.
- 17 A And where would those cabinets be? Let me back up.
- 18 | Can you clarify that? What cabinets are we talking
- 19 about? Aviation is a big place.
- 20 0 Yeah, the aviation office cabinets.
- 21 A The aviation...?
- 22 | Q Office cabinets, right?
- 23 A So can you be more specific? There's the maintenance
- office, my office, pilots' office.
- 25 | Q Yeah, in the area where Ms. Biscay's space is.

- 1 A So in the area where Brenda Biscay is, there are cabinets
- that have cash, stamps, credit cards. I mean, I believe
- 3 those stay locked.
- 4 Q Okay. How about where do you keep the logbooks?
- 5 A The pilots have their own logbooks, so we don't keep them
- 6 anywhere.
- 7 Q Well, I thought pilots -- I thought pilots were given
- 8 logbooks?
- 9 A No.
- 10 0 No?
- 11 | Well, wasn't Mr. Caton give a logbook?
- 12 A He was given a logbook by mistake by his sergeant, and so
- that logbook was returned.
- 14 | Q Which sergeant?
- 15 A Sergeant Sweeney.
- 16 | O You mean -- Sergeant Sweeney had been there for many
- 17 | years, had he not?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 0 Is it your testimony that he knew or didn't know
- regarding this idea that you can't give out a logbook?
- 21 A I can't speak for Sweeney, but I know there was email
- 22 traffic to him saying that we don't give out logbooks.
- 23 Logbooks are purchased with state funds, state property.
- 24 So --
- 25 Q So --

- 1 A Just like anything else on the shelf, it wouldn't be yours.
- 3 Q Why would you have them in your inventory if they weren't supposed to be used?
- We keep a library. And so all those library books and everything is purchased with state funds. It doesn't mean it's for your personal use.
- 8 Q Well, a logbook is something where you actually fill it
 9 in, isn't it?
- 10 A So some of those logbook -- let's go back to your other
 11 question. Some of those logbooks actually come as part
 12 of the training programs that we purchase from commercial
 13 vendors, so they were just placed in the cabinet.
- Okay. Is it true that at the sexual harassment training, there was a breakout group, and the breakout group that was selected involved Ryan, Ms. Biscay, you and Alexander?
- 18 A Not that I know of.
- 19 Q Okay. Did you participate in any kind of exercises during the training on sexual harassment?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And you don't recall whether or not the people that were 23 present broke out into smaller groups?
- 24 A The training was provided by the Department of Enterprise 25 Services, so I know there was other state agencies in the

1 training.

2

3

4

And so I know most of the breakout sections just pertained to the row you sat in. So I sat in the front row with Johnny Alexander, Brenda Biscay and myself --

- 5 Q Okay. Do you recall if you were --
- 6 A -- on my side of the classroom.
- 7 Q Do you recall if you were in a breakout group that included Officer Santhuff?
- 9 MR. BIGGS: Object. I don't think 10 it's intentional, but you're kind of stepping on the 11 witness's answer.
- 12 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) Oh, let me ask again. I'm sorry.
- So you don't recall whether you were in a breakout group that included Ryan Santhuff?
- 15 A I don't believe I was in a group that included Ryan 16 Santhuff.
- 17 | Q Okay.
- 18 A He wasn't sitting in my row.
- 19 Q Is it true that you told Trooper Santhuff that he would
- 20 have to use vacation time to renew his driver's license?
- 21 A No.
- 22 | Q Okay.
- 23 A Why would he come to me for that?
- 24 Q Would --
- 25 A I don't understand your question.

	1	Q	I'm sorry.
v18	2 2		Would you agree with me that it's not the practice
V 1 V	3		to require people who are renewing their driver's
	4		licenses in aviation to have to take vacation?
	5	A	I've never had somebody come to me to request to renew
	6		their driver's license.
	7	Q	Okay. So you don't know the answer to the question then?
	8	A	You would have to ask HRD or they would have to refer to
	9		their collective bargaining agreement.
	10	Q	Okay. But you have no personal knowledge one way or the
	11		other?
	12	A	That if Ryan Santhuff came to me to request to renew his
	13		driver's license?
	14	Q	Well, let me ask you that.
	15		Did he come to you to ask to renew his driver's
	16		license?
	17	A	No.
	18	Q	And did you instruct anybody that he could not take work
	19		time to renew his driver's license?
	20	A	No.
	21	Q	Did you instruct anybody that he needed to take vacation
	22		to renew his driver's license?
end	23	A	No.
	24	Q	Do you know whether he was ever accused of doing his own
	25		investigation regarding retaliation?

- 1 A Just from what I read. I read that somewhere. I don't know if it was in the newspaper or where that came from.
 - Q Okay. All right. But nothing from your office.
- Do you know whether or not -- do you have personal knowledge as to whether or not he was told he should stop doing his own investigation?
- 7 A Again, I read it. And, actually, I believe the information came from you.
- 9 Q Well, my question is whether or not you have personal information about it from work?
- 11 A Just I believe what you sent me, which was sent to my
 12 work.
- 13 Q What I sent you? What did I send you?
- 14 A I believe it was in part of the discovery paperwork you sent out.
- 16 Q Oh, oh.

- 17 A I think it was in there.
- 18 Q Oh, you mean as part of the litigation, you were required to answer certain written discovery questions, right?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q And that's what you are talking about?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay. All right. Fair enough.
- You are also aware that Sergeant Hatteberg gave
- 25 Trooper Santhuff an 095; is that true?

- 1 A 095 for...?
- 2 Q Do you know?
- 3 A For what? You will have to clarify.
- 4 Q Well, did he give -- do you know if he gave him more than
- 5 one?
- 6 A I know that Sergeant Hatteberg gave him a negative 095.
- 7 Q Okay. And what was it for?
- 8 A I believe it was for scheduling.
- 9 0 And what did you understand was the problem with
- 10 scheduling that justified giving him an 095?
- 11 A You would have to speak to Sergeant Hatteberg.
- 12 | Q Well, didn't you have anything to do with the oversight
- of the giving of the 095?
- 14 A 095s can be issued by any supervisor, and sometimes
- they're even done in remedy. Occasionally, the sergeant
- will bring down an 095, or any person, to let their
- 17 | supervisor look at it before it's issued.
- 18 So Sergeant Hatteberg may have brought me the 095 to
- 19 have me look at it before he issued it to Santhuff
- 20 but --
- 21 | Q All right. And at the time, you knew that Santhuff had
- 22 accused you of retaliating against him, correct?
- 23 | MR. BIGGS: Objection to the form of
- 24 the question.
- 25 | Q (By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer.

- 1 A I'm not sure the date retaliation came up --
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A -- or the date of the 095.
- 4 Q Okay. Well, retaliation came up at that meeting that we
- 5 were talking about, correct?
- 6 A I don't recall talking retaliation at the meeting.
- 7 Q Okay. And how about before or after the meeting?
- 8 A I don't recall when retaliation came up.
- 9 O Okay. Look at Exhibit 10 again.
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q Isn't it true that Exhibit 10, basically, is your
- 12 outlining the things that you changed as a result of the
- meeting that you had with Chief Alexander, which is
- 14 documented in Exhibit 9?
- 15 A Let me read it.
- I don't think anywhere in here discusses the meeting
- 17 with Alexander.
- 18 | Q No, it may not. I'm asking you for your personal
- 19 knowledge.
- It's true, is it not, that the items that you are
- 21 changing here in Exhibit 10 are as a result of
- 22 discussions you had with Alexander on or about May 20th,
- 23 | 2016?
- 24 A Possibly. I cannot recall for 100 percent fact.
- 25 | Q Well, how about 90 percent or 51 percent? What do you

- 1 recall?
- 2 A Well, let me read through this again.
- 3 Q Sure.
- 4 A I don't recall any percentage. I mean, these are just
- 5 basic items within the section that were discussed.
- 6 0 Okay. And discussed at the meeting, right, with
- 7 | Alexander?
- 8 A They could have.
- 9 Q You mean as you sit here today, you don't recall?
- 10 A I don't recall.
- 11 Q Okay. Fair enough.
- 12 Now, it's true, is it not, that the King Air
- incident involved an allegation from Trooper Santhuff
- 14 that you had basically told the -- you had Ms. Biscay
- 15 basically tell the governor's office that an airplane was
- 16 not ready for use, that it was in maintenance?
- 17 | MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the
- 18 question.
- 19 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) You can understand -- you can answer.
- 20 A Never happened.
- 21 Q No, no, but you understood that was the allegation,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | O Okay. And were you interviewed on that issue?
- 25 A I believe I was either interviewed or sent questions to

- 1 answer.
- 2 Q Okay. All right. And you denied that you did that,
- 3 right?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q And is it -- is it true that from time to time you have
- 6 disapproved of flights pertaining to the governor and
- 7 other executives?
- 8 A You are going to have to clarify what "disapproved" means
- and what guidelines that entails.
- 10 | Q Well, meaning that you cancel a flight, that they ask for
- a flight, and you say no?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q You've never done that?
- 14 A No.
- 15 | Q Well, you've done it for -- you mean you've never done it
- 16 even if there was a -- you've never done it for any
- reason? If the executive member -- staff members or EPU
- 18 | want a flight, you've always made sure they were
- 19 provided --
- 20 A Your question --
- 21 | Q -- right?
- 22 A -- is kind of broad. You are going to have to be more
- 23 specific.
- 24 | Q Well, I understood that -- I guess my question is whether
- or not you've ever cancelled a flight for any of those

- 1 folks?
- 2 A No.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 3 Q Okay. Even -- did you cancel one maybe for going back to the Gregoire administration due to weather?
- 5 A I didn't cancel that flight.
- 6 Q Tell us w hat happened.
 - A So one of the command pilots on the flight thought -knew that Governor Gregoire didn't like to fly in harsh
 weather and/or weather with a lot of chop, so they
 decided to cancel the flight. They told me after the
 fact that the flight was cancelled.
 - So I had a meeting with the pilots that were on that flight to discuss that, you know, if you are going to cancel a governor's flight, then I need to be part of that discussion to see if there's any other avenue we can take to make that flight go.
 - Q Okay. And it's true, is it not, that in 2016, you were having budgetary battles with the governor's office?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Okay. Were there any -- were you trying to get more funding than you were able to get?
- 22 A We always send up almost every biennium for funding or equipment.
- 24 Q And how about in 2014?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 | Q And 2015?
- 2 A Probably. I mean, we try to send every major long
- 3 session up a request for equipment and/or budget
- 4 increase.
- 5 Q Okay. But it's your testimony that except for this
- 6 Governor Gregoire thing, you've never told people,
- 7 executive staff or EPU, that a flight -- that you
- 8 | wouldn't take them up on a flight?
- 9 A No. That's why we have seven aircraft in the hanger, to
- 10 try to make all these --
- 11 | O Got it.
- 12 A -- flights go.
- 13 0 It makes sense.
- 14 All right. And you certainly didn't do it in
- 15 this -- this situation that Trooper Santhuff has
- 16 described, right?
- 17 A Absolutely not.
- 18 | O But you would admit that at the time -- at the time in
- 19 2014, Ms. Biscay kept a large calendar on her desk which
- 20 she recorded the status of the aircraft?
- 21 A There's two parts to that question. So there was a large
- 22 master calendar that was on the desk beside Brenda
- Biscay, and no maintenance and/or the supervisors will
- 24 put on aircraft if they are down. But mainly it's the
- 25 maintenance staff.

- 1 Q Okay. And that was still true in 2015, right?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q And in 2016?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q Got it. All right.

Okay. And as a matter of fact, can we go to Exhibit

15, please.

This is your exculpatory questions and responses, right?

- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. Would you go to Item No. 20. And tell me when you are there.
- 13 A I'm there.
- 14 Q Okay. So the Question No. 20 is, "Have you ever purposefully manipulated the King Air maintenance schedule for personal or political reasons? Please explain."

And you write, "No." And then it says, "See answers above concerning maintenance."

And it says, "To date and to the best of my knowledge, only one governor flight has been cancelled during the Gregoire administration. This cancellation was due to weather-related issues."

Did you write that?

25 A Yes.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 1 Q Okay. And that's what you have described to us, right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And there's never been another time that this has
- 4 happened, right?
- 5 A For weather-related issues?
- 6 0 For any issues.
- 7 You've always been there for the governor when the
- 8 governor needs you?
- 9 A Again, your question is so broad that any time the plane
- is up and it's capable to do the flight, we complete the
- 11 flight.
- 12 | Q Well, you have -- you said -- what did you say, you have
- 13 seven planes?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 | Q Okay. Would you go to Exhibit 28, please. And tell me
- 16 when you are there.
- 17 A I'm there.
- 18 | O Okay. And looking at this, this is one of your
- 19 performance evaluations?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Okay. All right. In 2015, it's true, is it not, that
- 22 you rejected flight requests by executive staff members
- and EPUs due to timed out aircraft hours?
- 24 A What page are you on?
- 25 | Q Well, first of all, do you recall that being a fact?

Well, it wouldn't be I rejected it. It's against FAA 1 2 regulations to fly an aircraft that is in maintenance or 3 out of hours. So, no, I didn't reject it. The federal 4 government did. I'm sorry. But you have seven planes so you can always 5 0 get up in the air, right? 6 7 Α No. It depends on weather. Oh, okay. Well, let's see. So turn now to Bates 8 9 stamp -- it's Page 4, Bates Stamp 00101. 10 Α Okay. And it says, "Supervisor comments." And it says -- the 11 0 12 paragraph -- second paragraph, "Lieutenant Nobach is a 13 no-nonsense manager when it comes to scheduled 14 maintenance and safe operations of the agency's aircraft fleet. He has strict accountability measures in place to 15 16 ensure his staff does not take shortcuts to circumvent 17 safety and our maintenance requirements. An example of Lieutenant Nobach's strict adherence to safe aircraft 18 19 operations was demonstrated when he rejected flight 20 requests" -- plural -- "by executive staff members and 21 EPU due to timed out aircraft hours." 2.2 And is that true? Did you do that in that calendar 23 year? 24 The aircraft was timed out, therefore, not flyable. So I 25 didn't reject the flight. The aircraft is timed out.

- 1 Q No, no, but if you rejected flight requests, that means
- 2 the other six weren't available either, doesn't it?
- 3 A They were available, but what type of flight was this
- 4 for? You will have to give me more information.
- 5 Q Well, you are the one who lived it. Don't you recall
- 6 anything?
- 7 A Yeah, I recall a lot. But you have to give me specifics
- 8 from 2015.
- 9 0 Well, I don't know. It says -- it seems here that you
- 10 are contra -- would you agree that it is not a true
- 11 statement that you have never rejected flight requests by
- 12 the executive staff members or EPU?
- MR. BIGGS: Objection; form of the
- 14 question.
- 15 0 (By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer.
- 16 A I have never denied a governor's flight.
- 17 Q Oh, okay. So -- and could you tell us for the record,
- 18 what does EPU do?
- 19 A It's the executive protection unit.
- 20 0 It's like the secret service for the governor, right?
- 21 A You would have to go into their job description as
- 22 supplied by the State Patrol.
- 23 | Q You mean you -- you can't summarize for us in lay person
- 24 terms what the EPU does?
- 25 A They protect the governor.

1 Okay. Great. Thank you. 0 2 All right. So can we nail down one way or the other, do you stick to your story that you've never turned down the governor for a flight? 4 5 MR. BIGGS: Objection; form of the 6 question. 7 THE WITNESS: I've never turned --(By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer. 8 0 9 I've never turned down a governor's flight. 10 Okay. And EPU, that includes -- that's basically the 11 protectors of the governor, right? 12 Correct. Α 13 Okay. Got it. Q 14 MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. Let's go off the 15 record a minute, and I will check back in about maybe 16 five minutes. 17 VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going 18 off record. The time now is 1:10 p.m. 19 (Recess from 1:10 to 1:24.) 20 VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We are back 21 on record. The time now is 1:24 p.m. 2.2 MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. Thank you. 23 (By Mr. Sheridan) All right. I just have a few more 0 questions for you, and then we'll be done. 24 25 I've handed you or you should have been handed

- 1 Exhibit 32, which is really just a better copy of the
- 2 basic flying preparation chart that we were looking at
- 3 before.
- 4 And this one is a little more readable for May 18th.
- 5 And I wanted to just go through that with you, if I
- 6 could. Do you have that in front of you, sir?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q All right. What I would like to do is have you look at
- 9 May 18th and tell me when you've identified that row.
- 10 A I have.
- 11 Q Then I would like you to go all the way across to it
- 12 looks like Steep Turns and tell me what you wrote there.
- 13 A 3.
- 14 | Q Is that -- what's after the 3?
- 15 A I don't see anything after the 3.
- 16 | O Well, let me ask you this: It looks to me like a 3
- minus. But can you tell me whether you ever used minuses
- 18 or pluses in these evaluations?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 O So tell me about that. When would you do such a thing?
- 21 A Well, you know, just kind of follow what you learn in
- grade school, you know, when they add a plus or a minus
- to a grade. So it's either you are trending down or you
- 24 are trending up.
- 25 | Q So a 3 with a minus would be trending down?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q All right. And this document, was this created by you as well?
- 4 A No, it's a copy of a document.
- And where did this -- is this a document that is a government document or from when you were teaching at the community college?
- 8 A No, I talked to Pete Hammer at the community college, and 9 he let us use this document.
- Q Okay. And then if we look at -- if we look at -- let's go to -- let's go back to the exhibit. Hang on a second.

 Yeah, let's go back to Exhibit 27, please. Tell me when you are there.
- 14 A I'm there.
- Okay. And then would you go to -- it's Bates stamped in the upper left-hand corner 0090.
- 17 A What part are we looking at again?
- 18 Q Again, 0090. So it's sort of on its side, and it says

 19 "basic flight" in the upper right-hand corner. It's the

 20 same thing we were just looking at but part of the

 21 exhibit.
- MR. BIGGS: Second to the last page of Exhibit 27.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm there.
- 25 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) Yeah. Do you see how it has all these

Do you know if the minuses reflect trending 1 minuses? 2. down or something else? 3 MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the 4 question. 5 (By Mr. Sheridan) You can answer. 0 So all those dashed lines in all those locations? 6 Α 7 Q Yes. No, those are just dashed lines that they weren't 8 evaluated on that --9 10 Okay. Thanks. 0 11 -- on that task. Α 12 Got it. 0 13 Besides yourself, did anybody else use the plus and 14 minus to designate trending up or down? 15 Α I'm not sure. 16 Okay. Now if you will look at the next exhibit, which I 17 guess is 33, this is an email dated August 8th, 2016, 18 where you -- where Ryan Santhuff is writing to Hatteberg 19 regarding approved TAR that has been adjusted. 2.0 Can you tell us what TAR means? 21 It's your TAR. Α 2.2 Yeah, what does it mean? 0 23 Time and activity report. 24 Okay. And is that where you basically list your vacation

and sick time and that such?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. So he writes he writes to Jeff Hatteberg on
3		August 8th, Trooper Santhuff writes, "Per Lieutenant
4		Nobach's request, I've adjusted my TAR to show vacation
5		leave for the time I took to renew my driver's license."
6		Does that in any way refresh your recollection as to
7		whether or not you required that Ryan Santhuff take leave
8		to get his driver's license renewed?
9	A	No, it does not.
10	Q	Okay. And as you sit here today, you just don't recall
11		if he did that?
12	A	I don't recall at all.
13	Q	Wouldn't you agree with me there would be absolutely no
14		business reason for doing that?
15		MR. BIGGS: Objection to form of the
16		question.
17		THE WITNESS: No business reason for
18		what? You would have to clarify your question.
19	Q	(By Mr. Sheridan) Oh, sure.
20		Would you agree with me that there would be no
21		business reason for you to tell Ryan Santhuff that he had
22		to use vacation time to renew his driver's license?
23	A	I don't recall any conversation about renewing a driver's
24		license.
25	Q	But you are not answering my question. I'm asking you

1 whether or not you can think of any business reason for 2. doing that. 3 You will have -- I guess I still don't understand your Α 4 question. What you mean by "business reason"? 5 So what lieutenant -- I'm sorry -- what Trooper 0 6 Santhuff has written is that per your request, 7 Lieutenant's Nobach's request, I've adjusted my TAR to 8 show vacation leave for the time I took to renew my 9 driver's license. 10 My question to you is whether or not you can think 11 of any business reason that would justify forcing Trooper 12 Santhuff to use his vacation time to renew his driver's 13 license. 14 Trooper Santhuff would have to follow the guidelines as Α 15 put out by HRD, either the regulation manual or their 16 collective bargaining agreement, on renewing their 17 driver's license. 18 All I'm simply stating is I don't recall ever 19 talking about driver's license with Trooper Santhuff. 20 Okay. But my question is -- and just, if you would, just 21 answer it. Can you think of a business reason that would 2.2 require Santhuff to take vacation time to renew his 23 driver's license? 24 MR. BIGGS: Objection --25 (By Mr. Sheridan) Yes or no? 0

MR. BIGGS: Objection; form of the 1 2. question. 3 And there's no such thing as a yes or no question in my book. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can't answer It's not my call. It's either in the reg 6 your question. 7 manual, their CBA or part of HRD whether it says they can renew their driver's license on duty or off duty. 8 9 I'm just simply stating I don't recall ever talking 10 about driver's license. I can say me personally, I've 11 never renewed a driver's license on duty. (By Mr. Sheridan) How do you get off? 12 0 13 I take vacation time and go renew my driver's license. 14 Okay. And isn't it true that driver's licenses are 0 15 required for troopers? 16 Driver's licenses are required for anybody that drives a Α 17 car. Isn't it true that if 18 Well, no, that's not my question. 19 you are a state trooper, you must have a driver's 20 license? 21 You would have to refer to HRD because I don't know all Α 22 the parameters of people on limited duty or what their 23 situation is. So that would be an HRD question. 24 Okay. And let me ask you this because this pertains to 25 He wrote, "Lieutenant -- per Lieutenant Nobach's

request, I've adjusted my TAR to show vacation leave for 1 2 the time I took to renew my driver's license." It's true, is it not, that as you sit here today, 3 you can't think of any reason that would justify doing 4 5 that? 6 MR. BIGGS: Objection; form of the 7 question. THE WITNESS: I can state I do not 8 9 recall talking to Trooper Santhuff about renewing a 10 driver's license. 11 (By Mr. Sheridan) That's not my question. I will ask 0 12 again. Can you tell us whether you can think of any reason 13 14 why you would tell him that he needed to take TAR to renew his license, for any reason? 15 16 I'm going to object. MR. BIGGS: You have asked that question about four times now, and he's 17 18 answered it at least twice --19 MR. SHERIDAN: Nonspeaking objections, 20 Well, then -please. 21 (By Mr. Sheridan) Just answer the question. Q 2.2 MR. BIGGS: I'm going to tell him to 23 stop answering the question. He's already answered your 24 question. 25 MR. SHERIDAN: Now you are being

1		argumentative.
2		MR. BIGGS: No
3		MR. SHERIDAN: Stop making stop
4		making objections that are speaking objections. You are
5		a professional. You know not to do that.
6		MR. BIGGS: You are being
7		argumentative, Jack. You have asked that question, and
8		he's answered it. Why can't we just get on to something
9		else?
10		Do you have questions? Jack, are you still there?
11		MR. SHERIDAN: Let me try again.
12		MR. BIGGS: All right.
13	Q	(By Mr. Sheridan) You wrote it's written here, "Per
14		Lieutenant Nobach's request, I've adjusted my TAR to show
15		vacation leave for the time I took to renew my driver's
16		license."
17		Can you think of any reason why you would make that
18		a requirement?
19	A	It would not be my requirement. I would have to review
20		what's in their CBA, the reg manual or what HRD puts out.
21	Q	So as you sit here today, you can't think of any reason?
22	A	Not without reviewing those documents. I'm sure the
23		state has addressed somewhere whether you can renew your
24		driver's license on duty or off duty.
25		MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. All right. I

```
have no further questions. Thank you.
 1
 2.
                        MR. BIGGS:
                                    No questions from this
 3
     end.
           Thanks so much. We will reserve signature.
 4
                        MR. SHERIDAN: And we are ordering the
 5
     video as well.
 6
                       VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                       All right.
 7
                                       Thanks, Folks.
                        MR. SHERIDAN:
 8
                        VIDEOGRAPHER: One second. We are now
 9
     going off the record at 1:34 p.m., and this concludes the
10
     video recorded deposition of James Nobach.
11
                                  (Signature reserved.)
12
                                  (Deposition concluded at
13
                                   1:34 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

1	AFFIDAVIT
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	I, David J. Nobach, hereby declare under penalty of
9	perjury that I have read the foregoing deposition and that
10	the testimony contained herein is a true and correct
11	transcript of my testimony, noting the attached corrections.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	David J. Nobach
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Date:
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF WASHINGTON) I, Barbara Castrow, CCR, RMR, CRR) ss a certified court reporter				
2	County of King) in the State of Washington, do hereby certify:				
3 4					
5	That the foregoing deposition of DAVID J. NOBACH was taken before me and completed on July 10, 2020, and				
6	thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the				
7	deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of the testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers,				
8	objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly				
9	sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and that the witness reserved the right of				
10	signature;				
11	That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or relative or employee of any				
12	such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;				
13	That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposition				
14	and promptly delivering the same to John Patrick Sheridan.				
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on this 16th day of July, 2020.				
16					
17					
18	Maria Arres				
19	Bulara Castra				
20	Barbara Castrow, CCR, RMR, CRR				
21	Certified Court Reporter No. 2395 (Certification expires 11/24/20.)				
22					
23					
24					
25					

BYERS & ANDERSON, INC.
2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202
Tacoma, WA 98403
253.627.6401 scheduling@byersanderson.com

SIGNATURE PROCEDURE INSTRUCTIONS TO ATTORNEY

Date: July 16, 2020

To: Andrew Biggs

Office of the Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104-3188

Case: RYAN SANTHUFF v. STATE OF WASHINGTON

Cause No.: 19-2-04610-4 KNT Deposition of: David J. Nobach

Date Taken: July 10, 2020

The above transcript must be read and the Correction Sheet signed within 30 days of this notice or before the trial date. If the Correction Sheet is not signed within that time period, signature will be deemed waived for all purposes.

Please contact the witness and arrange a convenient time and place for reading and signing.

After the Correction Sheet is signed, please mail the signed original Correction Sheet to:

John Patrick Sheridan
The Sheridan Law Firm, P.S.
705 Second Avenue, Suite 1200
Seattle, WA 98104-1745

Reporter: Barbara Castrow, CCR, RMR, CRR

License No.: 2395

cc: jack@sheridanlawfirm.com

BYERS & ANDERSON, INC. 2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202 Tacoma, WA 98403 253.627.6401 scheduling@byersanderson.com

CORRECTION SHEET

Deposition of: DAVID J. NOBACH

Date: 07/10/2020

Case: RYAN SANTHUFF v. STATE OF WASHINGTON

Cause No.: 19-2-04610-4 KNT

Reporter: Barbara Castrow, CCR, RMR, CRR

Instructions: Please carefully read your deposition and on this correction sheet make any changes or corrections in form or substance that you feel should be made. You may add additional sheets, if necessary. After completing this form, please sign your name in the space provided. Please do not mark the transcript. Thank you.

PAGE # LINE # CORRECTION REASON FOR CORRECTION

SIGNATURE OF WITNESS:

		1987 15:2
Exhibits	0	19th 98:1
Nobach Exhibit 1 3:7 62:1 71:8	00101 131:9	1:10 133:18,19
Nobach Exhibit 2 3:8 65:23	00533 48:14	1:24 133:19,21
Nobach Exhibit 3 3:10 67:11,19	0090 135:16,18	1:34 142:9,13
Nobach Exhibit 4 3:11 33:7,9	03 74:7	1st 21:5 59:2 66:11 108:6
Nobach Exhibit 5 3:13 41:17	095 32:24,25 33:11 37:9 44:22 45:2,	
Nobach Exhibit 6 3:14 58:25	20 46:18,21 53:6,11,15 71:14 102:24 106:25 107:15 108:21,24	
Nobach Exhibit 7 3:16 20:12	117:12 122:25 123:1,6,10,13,16,18	2 65:23 82:2 89:10,12 91:6,13,14,15
Nobach Exhibit 8 3:17	124:3	112:20,23
Nobach Exhibit 9 3:18 124:14	095s 123:14	2,500 87:8 89:9
Nobach Exhibit 10 3:20 112:1	1	2,900 87:8 89:8
124:9,11,21		20 11:6 23:17 48:3 62:22 72:12 89:12 91:6,14 129:11,14
Nobach Exhibit 11 3:21	1 20:25 49:12 62:1 71:8 77:17,19 78:14 81:24 82:5 85:8,13	2000 14:20
Nobach Exhibit 12 3:22 Nobach Exhibit 13 3:23	1-33 6:11	2001 21:14 107:4
Nobach Exhibit 14 4:2	1.4 97:3,4	2003 74:21
Nobach Exhibit 15 4:4 68:18	1/1/16 21:1	2011 14:4,15,17,19,21,22
129:6,7	10 6:2 10:14,15 82:18,23 83:9,10,11,	2013 62:12,17 63:1 64:7,19
Nobach Exhibit 16 4:5	17,22 84:3,7,10 85:7,8,12,13,15 87:10,14 89:9,11 90:24 91:12,14	2014 65:20 66:11 67:15 98:1,9 99:16
Nobach Exhibit 17 4:7	112:1 124:9,11,21	127:24 128:19
Nobach Exhibit 18 4:8	10-mile 91:7	2015 128:1 129:1 130:21 132:8
Nobach Exhibit 19 4:9	100 83:17,19,21 84:5 90:24 91:7,11,	2016 19:24 21:5 22:1,14 23:10 27:11,19,22 28:2,5,11,25 29:12,13
Nobach Exhibit 20 4:11	12,16 92:2,3 124:24	30:2,24 31:8 32:22,23 34:15 35:10
Nobach Exhibit 21 4:13	10:41 58:20,21	41:23 43:4,12 46:19 48:24 59:2 71:20,24 72:1,4,12,13,19,21,22
Nobach Exhibit 22 4:15 48:7,22	10:59 58:21,23	73:5,9 74:25 75:3 79:24 85:23 93:1 95:4 98:11,12,15,16,25 100:11,12
Nobach Exhibit 23 4:17	10th 6:15	107:25 108:6 110:14 111:1 112:3
Nobach Exhibit 24 4:19	12:16 116:7,8	124:23 127:17 129:3 136:17
Nobach Exhibit 25 4:21	12:49 116:8,10	2017 23:17,20 48:5 49:10 71:23
Nobach Exhibit 26 4:23	15 68:18 98:9 129:7	2018 23:24 24:4 29:17 48:5
Nobach Exhibit 27 5:2 73:15 135:12,23	15th 49:10	2019 24:6
Nobach Exhibit 28 5:4 130:15	16 32:6 98:9 100:14 17 83:21 89:7	202 6:2,21
Nobach Exhibit 29 5:5	182 19:11,13 81:3 90:11 93:19	2020 6:2,15
Nobach Exhibit 30 5:7	182s 90:8	206 115:2,5,11
Nobach Exhibit 31 5:9	18th 79:16 93:1 100:10,12 103:4,11,	20th 67:15 124:22
Nobach Exhibit 32 5:10 134:1	21 105:25 111:3 114:13,16 134:4,9	22 48:7,22
Nobach Exhibit 33 5:11	19-2-04610-4 6:19	2208 6:2,20
TO Such Exhibit 00 0.11		

Page 147Index: 00101..2208

24th 112:3	6	Act 61:7 69:1
27 73:15 135:12,23		acting 14:16,20
28 130:15	6 58:25 64:5 86:21,23 90:25 91:13	action 37:14 38:23 39:4 58:8,10
29th 34:22 35:10	93:19 97:2	actions 43:24
2:00 115:25	7	activities 44:22 47:1 61:2
3		activity 136:23
	7 20:12 90:21	add 134:22
3 67:11,19 82:16 87:14 89:11 90:25	8	addition 44:1 51:1
91:14 92:2 100:21 113:13 134:13, 14,15,16,25		address 44:8 46:17 112:9
3-2-1 89:11	8 62:5,23 93:21 97:3	addressed 44:15 141:23
3-5 92:2	85 9:7	adherence 131:18
3.1 93:20,21,22,23	87 9:7	adjusted 136:19 137:4 138:7 140:1
30th 6:2,20 34:15 46:19	8th 136:17 137:3	administered 8:10
31st 48:24 63:1,9	9	administered 6.10
32 134:1		
321 91:14	9 48:14 62:5,11 85:14 91:24 124:14	admit 69:20 106:15 128:18 advertised 11:16
325 91:9	90 124:25	affect 17:1
33 136:17	98403 6:21	affiliations 7:1
351 89:5	99 56:4	AG's 70:15
	9:34 6:3,14	
4		agencies 119:25
4 33:7,9 43:22 77:21 87:10 89:10	A	agency 21:19,23 22:2,7 34:25 35:12
100:24 131:9	A-R-A 9:8	agency's 131:14
4.5 89:6 91:9,16	a.m. 6:3,14 58:20,23 59:13,15,17,19	agenda 113:6
4/13/15 93:14,18	AA3 56:2	agree 26:4 35:1,23 47:24 48:18 50:12 53:7 56:9,15,22,24 57:4 61:19
4/7/16 97:13	abbreviated 33:17	102:5 108:13 121:2 132:10 137:13, 20
4th 98:12,16	ability 51:5 116:24 117:2	agreed 7:19
	absolutely 36:8,15 107:13 108:12	agreement 35:9 36:24 121:9 138:16
5	109:16 128:17 137:13	ahead 15:10 36:11 45:4 46:1,3,11
5 41:17 64:24 83:16 87:6 114:14	acceptable 44:3,10 82:25 83:12	48:11 56:20 61:16 65:21 80:3 91:5,
5/18 96:19 100:14	accepted 59:14	25 107:20 108:1 116:4
5/18/16 96:21 97:2 100:16	accountability 131:15	air 11:22 12:1,2,5,14,17,23,24 13:4, 12 14:9 72:17,25 73:6 82:22 83:9,10
5/19/14 98:14	accountable 107:9	84:2 88:25 91:16 96:11 112:12,13,
50 33:16,17 67:17 82:3,6,11 93:24	accuracy 53:6	17,19 125:12 129:15 131:6
95:1	accurate 21:4 22:1 35:15	Airborne 91:24
51 124:25	accused 69:13 121:24 123:22	aircraft 19:14 20:9 79:4 80:21,23 81:1 88:5 89:8 91:24 92:10 93:18
5th 64:6 95:4	acknowledge 37:9	95:10,17 128:9,20,24 130:23 131:2,

Page 148Index: 24th..aircraft

14,18,21,24,25

airline 8:9 18:14 20:8

airplane 125:15 **airport** 87:1 89:6

....

Alaska 8:19 9:8

Alexander 29:15,18 31:2 33:23 34:20,23 39:20 44:24 45:2 47:15 51:9 71:16 103:23 105:5 119:17 120:4 124:13,17,22 125:7

allegation 35:24 36:3 37:7 54:1 55:1 69:10,20 73:10 125:13,21

allegations 36:4,5 50:1,23 72:1,3 73:12

alleged 43:23 44:2,9

Allison 6:22

allowed 35:17 37:17 51:16 53:14

alpha 87:2

Ames 16:21,22 19:20,21 25:24

amount 89:18 96:10 analysis 74:25 analyst 30:22

and/or 14:16 20:20 21:11 76:2 81:21 85:18 110:5 127:9 128:3,23

Andrew 7:7 17:24 36:18 70:23

angry 54:2 69:16 106:24 107:5

annual 37:10 49:1

Anson 23:21 27:14 28:9

answering 105:16 137:25 140:23

answers 68:19,20,21 129:18 **apologize** 32:7 55:5 109:15

APP 112:21

appearances 7:1

appeared 6:4
appearing 7:15
appears 21:8

applicant 73:22 75:12,17 76:2 82:2 86:17

applicants 75:9,23 76:19

applied 11:17 25:1

applies 21:9

apply 11:15 21:8

applying 11:13

appointed 14:15

appraisal 48:9 49:1

approach 87:2,5,13 89:11 90:25

91:4,13 92:9

approaches 84:5 91:20

approval 21:12 26:24 29:6

approve 26:22 42:3,7

approved 136:19

approximately 11:4 82:6

April 59:2 95:3 98:12,16,25 108:6

Ara 9:9

area 9:17 117:25 118:1

areas 99:24

argumentative 141:1,7

arrest 52:3

arrested 32:8 52:1

article 39:1 48:6 71:22

Ashley 29:15,16 31:4,5

aspects 79:5 assault 60:16

assess 115:20

assessed 93:19

assigned 98:20

assignment 101:24

assistant 29:22

assume 12:16,23 51:25 81:3 87:23

assumed 50:5,17,19,20

ATC's 89:2

attached 60:2

attachment 60:5,17

attend 62:15

attended 73:14 112:4

attends 59:18

attention 68:8

attorney 7:6 71:2

attorney-client 70:21

audit 58:3

August 14:4,17 136:17 137:3

authority 41:24

authorized 42:17 95:8

automatically 49:19

avenue 127:15

average 100:23,24,25

aviation 8:3,6,12,19 9:10 10:9 11:9 12:10 14:13 17:5 20:16 22:9,15 25:23 28:1 29:11 31:19 39:7,13 44:7,25 47:1 49:25 66:9 73:21 75:18 86:7 93:4,8 101:17 103:16 104:8 106:21 108:9 112:8 116:13,14 117:19.20.21 121:4

avoided 107:16

aware 49:8 65:11 70:5 122:24

В

back 10:2 36:1 38:9 43:21 48:21 55:2 58:22 60:6 63:9,16 64:2,14,24 67:19 76:15 84:6 97:13 103:17 112:12 113:10 116:9 117:17 119:10 127:3 133:15,20 135:11,12

bad 82:24 111:12

badge 33:16 67:17 93:24 94:22 95:1

bag 80:18

baggage 80:17

bantering 64:2

Barbara 6:3,24 36:14 45:9

bargaining 13:20 36:24 57:25 121:9 138:16

base 92:2

based 13:5 102:7 108:5 111:8

basic 31:15,25 74:22 100:3 125:5 134:2 135:19

pasically 34:18 4:

basically 34:18 43:1 64:18 69:22 71:12 79:13 88:18,25 95:18 103:4 109:11 124:11 125:14,15 133:10 136:24

Bates 48:12,14 131:8,9 135:15

Page 150Index: battles..CBAS **battles** 127:18 bosses 13:17 47:4 138:1,4,11,21 **beacon** 80:17 **bother** 51:6.8 59:9 C **begin** 7:14 **bottom** 33:14 62:4,24,25 64:6,24 66:8 80:1 99:22 beginning 80:4 C182 97:2 **box** 76:4 **begins** 91:11 **cabinet** 119:13 **brain** 39:22 behavior 34:24 35:11 44:2,9 51:2 cabinets 117:14,17,18,20,22 118:1 53:8 **brake** 82:5,6,11,12 calendar 128:19,22 131:22 behaviors 60:10 **brakes** 82:13 **call** 77:24,25 80:10,13 91:7,9 139:6 **brand** 94:8 believed 73:5.9 called 14:24 47:10 48:12 58:2 91:16 Bend 8:18 9:4,7 10:2 break 58:16 109:17 113:19 94:5 105:5 **benefit** 103:16 breakout 119:15 120:2,7,13 cancel 126:10 127:3,5,10,14 **Bethel** 9:17 breaks 113:17,18,21,23 cancellation 129:22 biennium 127:22 breast 47:5 cancelled 126:25 127:11 129:21 breasts 36:1 55:2 69:21 73:10 big 8:18 9:4,7 10:2 71:5 117:19 candidates 29:5 **Biggs** 7:7,13 15:8 17:18,21,25 24:14 **Brenda** 40:1,3 43:11 59:3 63:6,14, capable 130:10 26:12 28:15 30:10 35:2,6 36:9 37:20 20,23 73:10 118:1 120:4 128:22 capacity 32:5 38:13,18 41:3,11 44:12 45:24 50:14 **briefing** 59:13,16,19 108:7 52:12 53:1,19 56:18 69:25 70:9,20 Capital 56:7 71:1 77:1 97:8 102:9 103:9,12 106:2 briefings 59:17 captain 27:2 29:15 30:22,25 31:1 107:1,18 110:15 111:14 115:22 **briefs** 80:20 33:25 34:5,9,23 39:19 40:4 44:23 116:1 120:9 123:23 125:17 132:13 45:2 47:10,14 51:9 59:6 69:9 71:16 133:5 135:22 136:3 137:15 138:24 **bring** 29:2 54:17 61:16 72:5,13 72:25 73:3 74:4 103:23 105:5,15 139:1 140:6,16,22 141:2,6,12 142:2 123:16 **Biscay** 40:1 41:24 43:11 54:1 55:2,7 **broad** 45:8 46:2,23 60:4,10 61:4 captain's 42:23 105:16 117:10 56:16 57:1,2 59:3 63:10,23 69:21 126:22 130:9 118:1 119:16 120:4 125:14 128:19, **captains** 13:24 36:22 **broke** 119:23 captured 59:18 broken 76:5 **Biscay's** 117:25 captures 98:18 **brought** 72:1,10,14,22 74:5 104:5 bit 8:19 9:23 17:22 113:24 123:18 car 139:17 blame 109:13 brushes 60:14 Caravans 9:17 **blamed** 109:12 **budget** 30:5,7,8,13,15,16,19,22 cards 118:2 blast 64:10 128:3 career 57:9 89:19,22 blurred 78:25 budgetary 127:18 case 6:19 39:22 64:16,17 94:14 blurry 83:13 91:8 building 56:1,4 95:20 114:13 **board** 29:4 **bullet** 59:20 cash 118:2 **body** 60:12 Bulletin 11:17 **Castrow** 6:3,24 book 83:6 139:4 **bullets** 91:10 Caton 104:6,13 118:11 **books** 20:10 119:5 bunch 75:19 caused 39:4 Borgida 6:22 bureau 22:8 29:23 30:15 **causing** 69:16 borrowed 94:6 bury 102:12 **CBA** 113:18,21,25 139:7 141:20 **boss** 14:15 54:22 **business** 72:14 137:14.17.21

CBAS 13:20

CCR 6:4	Chris 112:12	completed 86:4,6
Central 10:2	Christopher 112:7	completes 26:24
certification 12:4,5 94:12	circle 92:3	Complies 62:9 73:17
certified 7:10 14:24 15:4 16:23	circumstances 88:8	concern 109:11 112:15
17:12,15 18:6 21:25 22:2,14,20 23:18,25 99:5	circumvent 131:16	concerned 38:11,16 44:11,18
Cessna 13:9 19:11,13 81:3 115:2,11	clarification 45:7	concerns 26:10,15 104:4 105:15,21
Cessnas 11:20,25	clarify 12:20 15:12 17:3 18:23 21:21 25:9 41:6 85:5 108:1 110:8 117:18 123:3 126:8 137:18	112:9,10
cetera 60:15 66:14		concluded 142:12
CFI 9:5 99:2,4 111:18 114:23,24 115:2 CFIS 99:2	clarity 74:4,9,12 78:19 83:18 90:3	concludes 142:9
	classroom 120:6	conditions 87:20 88:19
	clear 77:24,25 80:10,13 82:22	conduct 17:16 33:4 44:1 59:8,13 60:4 95:16 108:9
chain 21:12 29:6,24 57:11,17 117:8	climb 92:4	conducted 59:22 99:9
challenged 70:13	closer 17:22	conducting 96:2,13
challenging 20:24 53:5	cloud 88:20	conference 40:24
chance 52:25	clouds 88:13	confirm 7:13
chances 38:6	coaching 42:18	confronted 52:20
change 26:25 45:23 56:22 83:5 101:24 112:18	coffee 55:11,12,15,20,22,25 56:2,5	connected 45:19
changed 124:12	collective 36:23 121:9 138:16	connection 68:5
changing 124:21	college 8:18 9:4,24 94:5,6 135:7,8	considered 71:20 85:9
Chapter 89:10 91:13 112:23	college-based 11:1	consistent 34:24 35:11
charge 25:24 39:7	color 21:2	constantly 103:4
chart 93:5 96:20 97:7 98:7 134:2	color-coded 20:21	contact 51:20 64:22
check 18:9 23:11 82:5,6,11,12	column 94:15	contained 51:10 61:20,21
83:17,22 84:4,6 87:9,12 89:9 114:1,	command 20:5,7,18 21:12 29:6,25 57:11,17 79:13 81:11 89:24 110:18, 21,25 111:10,24 112:25 113:4,11 114:9,10 115:12 117:8 127:7	content 62:25
2,8,11,12,13,16,18,20,21,22,23 115:1 133:15		continually 112:16
check-off 76:4		continue 56:5
check-rided 114:22	commander 49:25	contra 132:10
checked 75:5 99:2 103:18 113:9	comments 60:11 131:11	contributed 50:25
checklist 78:25 79:1,3,4,7 80:4,5,18	commercial 8:9 9:2,5 10:23 12:7 29:22 75:10 111:18 119:12	contributing 51:4
91:6	communicating 74:14	control 92:3,4,9
checklists 77:14,22	communications 50:25	conversation 70:24 137:23
checks 22:24	community 8:18 9:4,24 135:7,8	conversations 71:16
chief 21:2 29:22 112:18 124:13	competency 18:8 22:24 114:8	convicted 32:10
chop 127:9	complaints 50:24 54:8 72:4	copilot 12:8,14,23 13:4,7
chose 37:14	complete 26:20 42:1 57:16 68:15 80:15 85:25 96:10 130:10	copy 35:20 38:9,12,16 100:18 134:1 135:4
chosen 13:11		corner 48:13 66:3 135:16,19

Page 151Index: CCR..corner

correct 8:4 9:22 11:11 14:7 17:13 18:22 21:25 22:3,11,16 23:4 24:3 27:4,7 30:3 32:3 34:21 36:8,16 38:8, 12,17,21 39:20,24 43:10 44:11 47:7 48:24 52:15 54:23 55:11,14 56:17 57:9 60:1 61:8,11 63:25 67:16,18 69:13 73:8 76:8,23 78:10,11,15 88:15,21 89:2 94:13 95:23 98:10,17 99:8 102:3,21 111:2,8 114:15 122:20 123:22 124:5 125:22 126:4 129:2,4 130:14 133:12 135:1

correction 28:21 87:17

counsel 6:25

counseling 33:1 42:18 49:18

country 93:21 **County** 6:19 8:25

couple 114:20

court 6:18,23 7:2,10,17 32:13 36:13 64:11,20 102:14

covering 48:23

crash 80:23

created 84:13 117:3 135:2

credit 118:2 **crime** 32:10

criticisms 91:19 **critique** 92:19 **cross** 89:7 93:21

CRR 6:4

cruise 80:5,18 92:5

cry 109:18 **cup** 56:5

current 16:20 30:22,25

cut 46:3

D

daily 11:17 116:25 dark 70:14 100:17

dash 88:9

dashed 136:6,8

data 87:3

date 34:16 49:9 55:9 63:10 93:14,18 98:18 106:5 124:1,3 129:20

dated 34:15 59:2 64:6 136:17

dates 60:14 72:20 111:5

David 6:4 7:9 8:1

day 97:3

days 103:22,24 105:25

deal 69:5

December 48:24

decide 77:7 decided 127:10

decision 26:8

Deck 77:12

decks 39:10

decreased 109:23,24 110:4

defendants 7:8 deficiencies 87:11 definition 17:6

degree 10:1 89:6

degrees 83:11,17,22 84:4,8,10 87:10,14,15 89:10,12 90:24 91:7,12,

14,15

delay 70:24 delete 66:23 demand 103:18 demonstrate 75:10 demonstrated 131:19

demoted 32:17

denied 112:14 126:2 132:16

deny 52:21 68:4,6 **denying** 52:24 67:2

department 10:9 119:24

depends 131:7 depicted 97:7 deployed 66:10

deposition 6:16,20 142:10,12

deputy 112:18 describe 45:4 78:2,4 describes 45:5

description 132:21

design 75:14

designate 136:14

desk 40:21,22 58:3 128:19,22

destroy 67:2 68:4,6 70:7

destroyed 67:23,24,25 69:10

destroying 69:13

destruction 69:7 72:15

detachment 25:25 detectives 52:4,18 devastated 49:15

deviate 117:7.9

die 92:14

difference 114:18 differentiation 14:8

difficult 103:5 digress 73:15

direct 43:4,6,7,12 56:3 63:24

directed 44:23 45:12,14,15 59:6

108:8

directly 57:8 disagree 35:14 disagreeing 38:20

disapproved 126:6,8

discern 77:12

disciplinary 41:14,18

discipline 42:17 61:2,4 69:17

disciplined 32:21,23 40:2,6,9,13,16

disclosure 61:23 65:14,21 67:1,4 69:5

0.0

discovery 122:14,19

discuss 51:9 53:14,15 110:10 112:20 127:13

discussed 59:12,18 60:3 92:17,20 97:14 106:17 111:6 125:5,6

discusses 124:16 discussing 106:1

Page 153Index: discussion..FAA 12 57:13 73:19.22 76:24 77:5 90:6

effect 102:6 104:16,20 106:21 107:8.11

effort 108:11 efforts 110:3 egress 78:4

electronic 48:18 elements 78:20 84:23

eligible 24:22 42:5

Elliott 16:8 23:21 24:11 26:18 27:14, 19,20 28:9 29:2

ELT 78:4 80:17

email 59:1,2,5,6 61:16 62:25 63:14 64:2,6,7 65:7 72:15 118:21 136:17

emails 61:6,10,24 62:1,2 65:12,19 66:12,13,18,23 67:3,23,24,25 68:5,6 69:7,10,13 70:7,19 71:8

embarrassing 61:12 emergency 78:5 80:25 employee 57:24 63:5 **employees** 26:9 59:22 end 80:8 110:14 142:3

engaging 61:1 engine 77:24 ensure 131:16 **entails** 126:9 Enterprise 119:24 entire 57:10 71:22 102:23

entries 97:13 99:22

entry 96:19 98:2,15 100:14 environment/sexual 60:9

EPU 126:17 128:7 131:21 132:12,18, 24 133:10

EPUS 130:23

equipment 127:23 128:3

Era 8:19 9:10,12,20

essentially 65:12 108:10

evaluate 13:9

evaluated 92:24 136:9

evaluation 34:13 38:3 48:23 53:6.

100:3 101:18

evaluations 25:11 26:21 27:8 37:11 42:1 51:18 57:16 130:19 134:18

event 62:13 **events** 71:17 evidence 52:23 exact 72:20

EXAMINATION 7:22

exceeds 49:20 **excluding** 80:6,19

exculpatory 68:19,25 129:8 **executive** 126:17 128:7 130:22

131:20 132:12,19 executives 126:7

exercises 119:19 **exhibit** 6:11 20:11,12 33:7,9 41:17

43:21 48:7,15,22 58:25 62:1 65:23 67:11,19 68:18 71:8 73:15 112:1 124:9,11,14,21 129:6 130:15 134:1 135:11,12,21,23 136:16

existence 43:24 exit 80:17.21 exiting 78:5 exits 80:25

expectations 49:6,13 113:17 **experience** 13:5 16:1 79:23

explain 8:5 10:7,19 12:19 13:3,14, 22 18:4,23 19:3 21:9 22:6 25:22 27:24 32:25 37:16,17 38:22 39:3 44:16 45:15 46:1,3,20 53:10 57:23 74:20 75:14 79:2 81:19 88:1 95:4,12 101:6 129:17

expressed 109:11 111:21 112:11

extended 44:3,10 extra 113:13,14,15 extreme 90:3 extremely 102:13

F

FAA 12:6 17:14 74:23 76:6 83:5

discussions 124:22

district 113:20

doc 43:1

document 34:19 35:17,19,21 37:4, 15,16 39:17 67:12 68:7 74:16 84:11, 12 86:15 135:2,4,5,6,9

documentation 33:10 34:14 35:16 40:7 43:2 49:19 107:24

documented 124:14

documents 66:18 67:23 68:3 69:4 70:7 84:13 96:5 141:22

door 80:17 doors 78:4

downtown 56:1.6

drifted 84:10

driver's 120:20 121:3,6,13,15,19,22 137:5,8,22,23 138:9,12,17,19,23 139:8,10,11,13,14,16,19 140:2,10 141:15,24

drives 139:16

dual 93:10,20 94:3

due 83:18 89:7 105:14 127:4 129:23 130:23 131:21

DUI 64:16

duly 7:9

duplicates 84:15

duplication 110:3

duties 27:20 58:4 110:2 113:13,14,

duty 139:8,11,22 141:24

Ε

E-L-L-I-O-T-T 16:10

E-R-A 9:10.19

earlier 59:24 114:25

early 28:1

easier 25:10 93:13

easy 75:20 76:2

84:15 111:19 115:15 131:1

face 63:8

Facilities 62:12

fact 35:1,7 45:20 65:15 74:7 90:2 104:6 124:24 127:11 129:6 130:25

failed 49:5,13

fair 10:15 12:9 23:9 48:2 49:14,23 64:4 69:19 73:14 85:25 86:3,19 111:25 116:17 122:23 125:11

fall 39:15

familiar 95:17 familiarity 96:1

familiarization 96:2

fast 80:3 102:13

favor 62:8

favors 60:14

Feast 62:12 63:7

February 14:15,19,20 49:10

federal 131:3

feeling 53:18

feet 82:6,11 83:18,19,21 84:5 90:24 91:9,11,12 92:2,3

fellows 26:17

felt 47:21 74:13

field 8:24 16:2 22:8 28:20,21 102:12, 16

file 37:10 84:17 86:13,15 107:24 108:1,2,3,4

filed 6:17 70:16 72:4

fill 78:16 100:10 101:8 119:8

filled 100:5 101:12

filling 98:7

final 89:11 90:25 91:13 92:2

find 40:15 46:17 61:12,14

finding 59:24 finished 27:22

fire 80:17

firing 28:25 29:1

fiscal 30:8.13.16

fix 89:11 90:25 91:13

flaps 87:10,14 89:9 90:25 91:6,13,

15

fleet 131:15

flew 8:18 9:23 10:4 18:21 19:1 96:14

102:19

flight 10:3,4,5,10,11,18,22 14:24 15:4 16:24 17:12,15 18:6,9,11,15 21:18,25 22:2,7,8,15,20,25 23:2,6, 12,18,20,25 27:12,17,21 28:13,22 39:10,11 73:19,22 74:9 75:4,10 77:12 78:17,19 79:5 80:6,19 81:17, 18,20,22 87:21,23 88:4,13 92:24 93:10,22 96:15 97:4 99:5 100:7,8 101:1,7 103:3,8,9,11 105:25 107:5 110:11 111:19,24 113:12 114:9,16, 23 115:1,8,11,13 126:10,11,18,25 127:5,7,10,11,13,14,16 128:7,8 129:21 130:10,11,22 131:19,25 132:1,3,11,16 133:4,9 135:19

flights 9:16 74:5,15 81:23 85:17 96:3,13 98:13 100:6 126:6 128:12

flip 41:16 48:11 49:4 60:6

flipped 41:2,8

flipping 40:16

flow-through 26:23 27:5

flown 19:11

fly 8:14,17 11:25 12:1,2 13:6,7 18:6 19:4,5,12,13 20:8 65:2 81:15 85:23 90:13,16,17,18 91:20 96:1 99:10 101:25 102:1 114:2,9 127:8 131:2

flyable 131:24

flying 8:24 12:24 19:7 76:25 88:7 90:4,8 92:9,12 94:4 101:10 102:7

134:2

focus 69:16

focused 69:22

fog 88:7,11

folks 68:2 84:25 127:1 142:7

follow 79:4 89:1 91:4 92:8 113:18, 25 116:23,24 117:1,2,5 134:21

138:14

forcing 138:11

forever 65:2,6 forget 114:25 forgot 84:4,5

form 15:8 24:14 26:12 28:15 33:17 35:2 36:9 37:20 38:13 41:3 44:12 45:24 50:14 52:12 53:1,19 56:18 66:1 69:25 70:9,20 74:16,21,22 75:13,15,19 77:1 86:1,4,10 90:2 94:5 97:8 102:9 106:2 107:1,18 110:15 111:14 123:23 125:17 132:13 133:5 136:3 137:15 139:1 140:6

forms 42:17

forward 27:1 64:17 101:19 103:15

105:3 106:14

found 60:24,25

foundation 32:8

four-seater 81:4

four-year 10:1 11:14

frequently 55:11

Friday 6:1,15

friends 64:2

front 33:3 102:14 120:3 134:6

frontline 55:15

full 7:25 13:21 99:18

full-time 10:15,16

fully 102:2 103:18

function 20:3

funding 127:21,22

funds 118:23 119:6

future 59:23

G

gain 32:2 37:17

gal 65:1,4

game 28:12

gather 107:23

gave 46:3 60:17 77:9 122:24 123:4,6

general 52:22 75:13 106:9,11

General's 71:2 generally 61:18

gentlemen 26:10

Page 155Index: gestures..IMC held 6:20 107:9 112:12 Helen 56:1.4 **Hey** 62:7 high 8:25 higher 80:9 Highway 56:4 hire 71:12 hired 10:2 28:1 hiring 28:25 29:1 hold 84:2 87:9,12 89:8 103:17 holding 82:22 holster 40:17 41:2,7 hone 84:19 85:19 114:10 honor 70:25 **hood** 83:8,9 87:18,19,21 88:2,4,18, 23,25 91:25 Hope 63:8 hoping 18:25 **hostess** 63:15 hostile 60:9 **hours** 93:20,21,22,23 94:11 97:3,4 114:20 115:3,12 130:23 131:3,21 **HRD** 8:11 58:2,10 121:8 138:15 139:7,21,23 141:20 hugging 46:24 hugs 60:15 Hullinger 29:15 hurt 37:13 89:19 hurting 38:6 I idea 29:2 88:7 111:12 118:20

gestures 60:13

get along 106:20

give 16:4,7 19:8 32:12 42:17,21 45:13,14 76:11 80:22 81:9,15 86:14 91:18 102:8 118:11,20,22 123:4

132:4,7

giving 123:10,13

glare 108:15,18

glared 108:10

glide 90:24 91:12

goal 81:22 89:23 103:14

good 6:13 7:24 52:25 58:15 65:1 82:24 115:21

Google 62:2

government 131:4 135:6

governor 126:6 127:8 128:6 129:21 130:7,8 132:20,25 133:4,11

governor's 125:15 127:14,18 132:16 133:9

grade 134:22,23

graduated 9:7 10:1

gray 93:13 96:18,24

Great 52:19 133:1

Gregoire 127:4,8 128:6 129:22

grievances 37:1

grieve 37:3 38:24 51:18

ground 93:20 97:2 113:19

ground-based 88:12

group 119:15 120:7,14,15

grouping 90:22 91:5

groups 119:23

guess 15:13 43:21 45:10 46:14 60:5 65:20 74:17 81:8 95:22 126:24

136:17 138:3

guide 93:15

guideline 77:9 79:14 116:16 117:4

guidelines 84:18 126:9 138:14

guilty 52:25 53:8

guy 39:15

Н

half 10:4,5,7,10,12 11:5

half-hour 115:19

hallways 31:11 Hammer 135:8

hand 20:10 27:21

handed 133:25

handle 54:9,16 111:20,22

handled 54:19

handling 54:24 91:24

handwriting 66:5 73:25 77:13,22, 23 78:23 80:2 82:4,17 83:16 86:22 90:21 94:16,18,19,20,21,22,25

handwritten 66:2 82:7

hang 40:1 135:11

hanger 128:9

happen 67:8,9

happened 34:16 35:18 54:10 59:15 69:23 71:11 72:16,18,21 105:11,24 125:20 127:6 130:4

happening 106:6

harassment 44:24 45:3,4,12,16,18 46:17,22,23 54:1 59:7,21 60:3,10 71:13 108:8 119:14,20

hard 73:23 96:18

harsh 127:8

Harvey 8:24

hat 127:6

Hatteberg 16:21,23 17:8,11 19:20, 22,25 20:2 21:20,21 25:13,15,16,23 74:3 92:23 103:23 108:22 109:11, 14,17 112:4 122:24 123:6,11,18 136:18 137:2

Hatteberg's 94:21

head 8:2,5 14:13 29:11 30:4 39:13 44:7

heading 83:22 84:4,7

hear 17:18 35:5 47:5

heard 47:9 109:1

identification 6:12

identified 134:9

identify 33:16 50:3 72:9

IFR 93:21 97:3

ILS 89:7

IMC 82:19

immediately 11:9

impact 57:8

implemented 110:6 114:6

important 15:7,12 31:18

impression 104:12

inappropriate 44:1 46:24 51:2 56:10,13,15,25 57:5 59:13 108:9,18

inbound 87:9 89:5 91:2 incident 107:10.15 125:13

inclined 42:22 include 60:10

included 120:8,14,15 includes 21:20 133:10

including 60:11 108:7

incorrect 38:1 53:9 74:19 80:11 86:6 103:2,7,19

incorrectly 83:25

increase 58:7 84:19 128:4

increased 109:21 increases 42:10,14 individual 79:19 individuals 25:12

information 37:17 39:5 64:17 65:16,17 68:3 98:5,6 122:8,10 132:4

informed 34:22

initial 10:21 27:23,24 76:13 78:25 80:5,18

input 111:8

instruct 121:18,21

instruction 18:5 81:17,18,20,23 93:9,20 97:3 100:9 101:8 107:5 110:18,22

instructions 89:1,2

instructor 9:25 10:3,4,5,18 14:24 15:5 16:24 17:12,16 18:7,10,12,15 21:18,19,23,25 22:2,9,15,20 23:6, 18,20,25 27:17 81:10,22 99:5 102:6 115:3,11,13

instructors 10:10,11,25 22:7 111:24 113:12

instrument 8:9 9:5 10:23 12:7 13:10 18:8 22:24 23:2,12 27:12 28:13 75:10 87:23 88:3,22 89:15 90:10 91:4,20 92:8 111:18 114:8,22 115:8

instruments 88:5

insurance 19:14 115:3,7,15

integral 25:7 intentional 120:10 interaction 40:10 interactions 61:21 intercept 90:24 91:12

interesting 63:17

internal 12:6

internet 61:10 62:2 65:13

interrogate 52:7 interrogated 51:23

interrogation 51:24,25 52:2,17

interrupt 46:10 interrupting 39:18

interview 15:21,22,24 29:4,5

interviewed 8:11,12 15:18,20 68:11 69:6 70:6,15 125:24,25

interviews 29:8 intimidate 108:11

inventory 119:3

investigated 68:9

investigation 47:13,18 50:24 121:25 122:6

Investigations 50:22

involved 107:15 119:16 125:13

issuance 108:21

issue 72:25 73:6 92:11,13 125:24

issued 73:2 117:13 123:14,17,19

issues 129:23 130:5,6

lt' 74:22

item 20:25 77:21 113:6 129:11

items 78:7 79:11 124:20 125:5

J

J-A-R-E-D 16:10

J-O-N-I 64:7

Jack 7:3 141:7,10

jacket 62:8

James 6:16 8:1 25:24 142:10

January 21:5 48:23

Jared 16:8,12,13 23:21 24:11 26:18

27:14 28:9

Jason 104:6,13

Jeep 64:16

Jeff 16:21 25:23 74:3 92:23 108:21

109:11,14 137:2

Jim 64:9

job 9:20 10:15,16 15:7 25:1 31:17 32:15 33:10 34:13 43:1 101:24 103:5 104:8 109:18 132:21

jobs 31:20

John 16:21 19:21

Johnny 33:23 76:14 120:4

join 14:1

joined 11:8 12:9 17:5

joining 8:14 jokes 60:11

Joni 64:7,10,14,24

JPA 27:5

JPAS 26:20,24

judgmental 87:4 95:24

July 6:2,15 jump 72:24

junior 10:2,3,10 79:21

justified 123:10

justify 138:11 140:4

Κ

Kathy 30:25

keeping 109:6

Page 157Index: Kendra..manual

Kendra 30:23

killed 85:14

kind 39:7,11,14 57:14 73:23 80:24 85:9 90:22 93:5,9 110:1 119:19 120:10 126:22 134:21

King 6:18 11:22 12:1,2,5,14,17,23, 24 13:4,11,12 72:17,25 73:5,6 112:12,13,17,19 125:12 129:15

knew 37:13,24 39:25 40:8 48:2 54:3 69:19,20,22 72:19 108:23 118:19 123:21 127:8

knots 82:19,23 83:9,10 84:3 91:7,16

knowing 35:22 47:2

knowledge 16:14 17:14 67:23 121:10 122:5 124:19 129:21

KNT 6:19

L

L&i 19:21 25:24

L-A-T-E 82:8

L-O-S-K-A 43:19

lack 50:24 53:5

land 88:23 92:3

landing 91:6

language 46:24 51:10 104:17

large 128:19,21 Larry 70:15 71:1

lasted 35:19

late 27:22 82:9 87:8 89:8

lawsuit 70:16

lay 10:19 13:3,14 19:8 20:6 21:7 57:23 79:2 88:1 91:3 95:9,13 132:23

layman 85:11

lead 51:5

leadership 31:13,18,20,23 32:2

49:5,13

leads 92:4

learn 106:19 134:21 learned 40:5 53:24

leave 47:19 111:9 137:5,7 138:8

140:1 141:15

leering 60:13

left 14:15 41:8 70:14 94:1

left-hand 135:16

letter 41:14,19

level 24:20 34:4,8 42:23 111:13,16

liaison 30:18 library 119:5

license 8:23 9:1 10:23 18:14 120:20 121:6,13,16,19,22 137:5,8,22,24 138:9,13,17,19,23 139:8,10,11,13, 20 140:2,10,15 141:16,24

licenses 121:4 139:14,16

lieutenant 7:24 8:2,8,12 14:2,17 15:4 21:1,16 24:22 25:1,3 31:18 34:4,8 39:7 49:24 50:1,25 51:3 58:24 105:14 116:12 131:12,18 137:3 138:5 139:25 141:14

lieutenant's 104:8 112:2 138:7

lieutenants 13:24 36:22 lieutenants 31:20

life 60:12

lift 19:6 79:12,14 81:22 96:3

lifted 77:8 90:18 101:21,22,23

limitation 75:6

limitations 19:6 75:6 77:8 79:12,15 81:22,25 90:12,18 96:3,4 101:20,22, 23 102:3

limited 139:22

lines 87:7 136:6,8

link 46:16

list 22:18 110:1 136:24

listed 21:10

lists 60:4,11 75:19,24

litigation 122:18

lived 132:5

livid 47:8

local 28:20,22

localizer 83:21

location 80:24

locations 136:6

locator 80:17

locked 117:14 118:3

log 94:9

logbook 118:11,12,13,20 119:8,10

logbooks 118:4,5,8,22,23 119:11

logging 95:25

long 9:11 11:18,25 12:13 21:13 32:4 62:21 64:15,25 71:5 113:23 128:2

longer 115:23

looked 51:19

loop 109:5

Loska 43:17

lot 52:10 127:9 132:7

low 84:5

lower 42:17 48:13

lunch 115:19

М

made 42:13 50:4 78:20 103:5

126:18

main 9:20 64:22

maintained 84:7

maintenance 43:15 117:23 125:16 128:23,25 129:15,19 131:2,14,17

major 128:2

make 20:23 22:13 26:5 42:9,10 58:8 63:8 67:7 79:11 82:13 88:4 89:23 114:3 115:4 127:16 128:10 141:17

makes 76:2 128:13

making 141:3,4

management 36:7 53:7,13 57:20 62:12 71:9 77:12

manager 55:18 57:3,19 131:13

mandated 97:5

Mandatory 59:21

maneuvers 75:19 100:4

manipulated 129:15

manual 15:15 86:12 89:11 116:13,

RYAN SANTHUFF vs STATE OF WASHINGTON Nobach, David - July 10, 2020

middle 62:4 14 117:7,9 138:15 139:7 141:20 names 21:10 76:11 midsummer 28:2 March 34:15.22 35:10 46:18 71:12 narrative 49:12.24 51:6.10 **Mark** 7:5 mile 89:11 **natural** 111:17 marked 6:11 miles 87:10,17 89:6,10,12 90:25 necessarily 79:10 102:25 91:6,9,13,14,15,16 married 62:17.19.21 neck 36:1 55:3 69:21 73:11 mimic 84:15 marry 65:1,4 needed 19:9 23:11 69:11 121:21 mind 50:4 75:17 140:14 master 128:22 Mine 74:1 **negative** 32:24 53:15 76:24 107:24 **matrix** 49:18 108:23 123:6 **minimum** 101:16 matter 6:17 17:11 31:16 45:10 71:4 negatively 92:25 81:12 129:6 minus 82:18,23 83:9,10,17,21 84:3 90:24 91:12 92:2,3 134:17,22,25 **newer** 98:5 **matters** 45:11 136:14 newspaper 35:23 36:5 37:8 47:6,10 maximum 10:3,6 102:1 minuses 134:17 136:1 53:17 71:22 73:13 122:2 Mcknight 70:15 71:1 minute 36:6 89:9 133:15 night 93:21 meaning 53:25 104:8 126:10 minutes 35:20 133:16 no-nonsense 131:13 meaningless 65:17 **Nobach** 6:4,16 7:9,24 8:1,2 21:1 misconduct 107:10.15 49:25 62:2,7 64:9 105:14 106:20 means 10:19 13:15 46:2 52:11,24 missed 89:6 91:9,16 115:5 57:23,24 78:9 82:11,21 85:13 89:15 131:12 142:10 92:6 93:9 99:4 126:8 132:1 136:20 mission 66:14 Nobach's 131:18 137:4 138:7 139:25 141:14 meant 22:17 88:18 missions 20:9 66:13 101:25 102:1 **mistake** 118:12 **Noll** 112:4,7,9 113:9 **measures** 131:15 mechanic 9:15.18.21 misunderstanding 51:1 non-precision 87:13 Media 6:15 nonflying 25:20 mix 25:22 meet 19:14 49:5,13 69:9 76:5 80:24 money 58:8 Nonspeaking 140:19 normal 80:22 104:17 meeting 35:19 54:8,13 60:3 73:14 monitoring 101:8,9 74:3 103:22 104:1,9,25 105:2,6,8, North 6:2,20 Montemyor 76:14 11,24 106:5,6,7,8,9,12,17,18 108:16,19 112:2 124:4,6,7,13,16 month 99:11 Nos 6:11 125:6 127:12 note 6:25 months 104:7 **meetings** 44:22 56:2,6 103:24,25 morning 6:14 7:24 108:7 notes 78:7.20 meets 49:20 move 17:21 19:5,10 62:8 79:13 notified 36:7 member 126:17 82:13 95:14 97:23 103:14 105:3 November 28:4 64:6,18 members 44:2,9 51:1 103:15 moved 9:14 103:4 110:5,18 111:9, November-1-0-2-lima-papa 93:19 126:17 130:22 131:20 132:12 23 113:10 November-3-5-3-2-kilo 97:2 memory 105:20 moving 101:19 106:13 number 6:19 48:14 62:4 67:17 mention 54:6 multiengine 12:7 93:24 94:22 101:16 102:1 mentioned 40:7 54:4 55:4 numerous 61:23 98:22 112:13 Ν merit 111:17 met 76:4 0 N-J-O-S-T 64:8 mic 62:8 **nail** 133:2 **object** 36:9 120:9 140:16

Page 158Index: March..object

Page 159Index: objection..pilot

objection 15:8 24:14 26:12 28:15 35:2 37:20 38:13,18 41:3 44:12 45:24 50:14 52:12 53:1,19 56:18 69:25 70:9,20 77:1 97:8 102:9 106:2 107:1,18 110:15 111:14 123:23 125:17 132:13 133:5 136:3 137:15 138:24 139:1 140:6

objectionable 61:15 objections 140:19 141:4

observed 55:2obtained 61:9

Occasionally 123:15

October 28:4 63:1,9

off-colored 60:11

offended 43:24

office 7:6 33:6 54:7,14 55:18 57:2 59:7,13 70:15 71:2 108:9 112:3 117:14,20,22,24 122:3 125:15 127:18

officer 52:9 67:1 120:8

officers 66:9

official 7:17 84:12

offs 23:2,3 older 98:6

OLM 86:24 89:5 91:9

Olympia 84:6 87:1

Olympian 37:8 38:25 48:6 53:17 73:13

one-minute 87:9,13

open 15:22 20:12 26:2 51:15

opening 11:16

operations 22:8 28:21,22 116:14 131:14,19

opinion 53:25

Opoyu 91:2

OPS 47:12 73:2

optimal 83:1

ordering 142:4

organization 66:23

organizer 112:3

originally 57:20

Otters 9:17

outbound 89:7

outlines 74:22

outlining 124:12

oversight 123:12

overtime 42:5,7

Р

p.m. 116:7,10 133:18,21 142:9,13

pages 60:6 96:12 99:12

paper 36:5 39:6 48:4 107:24

papers 108:7

paperwork 69:11 93:9 122:14

paragraph 43:22 66:9 131:12

parameters 139:22

part 7:16 31:20 52:8,17 65:14 89:25 115:5 119:11 122:14,18 127:14 135:17,20 139:7

participant 51:4

participate 29:7 119:19

participated 34:24 35:9,11

parties 7:15

parts 60:12 76:6 128:21

party 43:23,25 59:24 71:13

pass 31:11 85:1,7,8 92:16,19

passed 112:22

passenger 80:15,20 81:9,15 85:14

passengers 80:22 81:1

passing 84:22,24 85:4,9

past 44:15 64:10 65:10 84:4

path 56:3

patrol 8:10,15 11:13 15:21 31:11,21, 24 34:3 42:19 63:5 94:7 132:22

pats 60:14

people 17:2 18:5,16 22:18 23:1 24:2,5,7,9 70:13 75:22 76:3 79:21, 23 89:23,24 110:2 119:22 121:3 128:6 139:22

percent 124:24,25 percentage 125:4

perform 20:2 27:20 82:12 85:16 100:3 101:19 108:5 114:2

performance 25:11 26:10,15,21 27:8 33:10 34:13,14 37:10 42:1 43:1 48:9,23 51:18 53:6,12 57:13 84:20, 22 92:25 130:19

performed 19:19,25 52:18 58:3 82:11 87:1,5 114:1

performing 58:4

Performs 82:5

period 44:3,10 48:23 51:3

Perks 56:7

permitted 20:2

person 10:19 13:3,14 16:14 19:15 20:6 21:7 30:18 31:8,10 34:23 52:20 53:24 54:4 57:8,23 61:9 79:2 86:14 88:1 91:3 95:13 101:3,10 102:7 123:16 132:23

person's 19:8

personal 80:17 119:7 121:10 122:4, 9 124:18 129:16

personally 139:10

personnel 20:16

persons 88:2

perspective 24:10 26:5

pertain 82:10

pertained 120:3

pertaining 126:6

pertains 74:2 139:24

peruses 69:4

Pete 135:8

phone 17:22

picked 29:5 53:18

pictures 60:12

piece 36:4

pilot 8:9 11:13,18 12:5 13:17 16:7 17:3,5 19:21 20:5,7,8 21:3 29:25 75:1 76:12 79:4,11,14 81:11,21 84:19 85:12 89:24 90:2,17 94:8 95:8,14 101:8,9,17 103:17 107:4

110:21,25 111:18 112:8 113:4,19 114:4.9.10 115:12

pilot's 8:23 9:1 18:14

pilot/standardization/instructor 21:3

pilots 9:13 12:2 17:2 18:5 20:19,20 28:20 66:22 75:18 76:8,9,13,14 79:13 81:14 85:6,17,18,20 89:23 90:1 95:15 97:23 98:22 101:21 102:15 103:14,18 110:2,4,10,18 111:10,24 112:21,25 113:11,15,25 114:2,7 118:5,7 127:7,12

pilots' 117:24 pinches 60:15

place 10:10 79:19 117:19 131:15

plaintiff 7:4

plane 81:2,6,12,15 101:9 102:7

103:1 130:9

planes 18:21 130:13 131:5

plural 131:20 **pluses** 134:18

point 20:11 53:23 80:25

police 52:9

policies 34:25 35:12 116:17

policy 108:8 political 129:16

poor 92:9

position 8:11,12 15:4,19,24 16:17, 18 24:12 25:17 26:2,6,23 31:8,13 42:6,12,13,16,22 57:15,22,25 58:1, 2,3,5,7 70:25

positions 15:21,22 34:4 38:4

positive 76:24

Possibly 69:8 71:18 124:24

posters 60:13 potential 69:17 powerless 47:21

PRA 66:15 70:7 72:15

practical 31:16 74:23,24 75:25 76:3

practice 44:3,10 77:10 78:21 79:11 84:18 85:10,17,19,20 117:1 121:2

practicing 88:2

Premier 6:24

Premiere 6:23

preparation 134:2

prepared 34:19,20 74:6

preparing 66:13

present 6:25 7:5,6 30:2 119:23

presentation 45:13,14

pressure 103:17

pretty 28:12 34:7 46:2 52:25 64:22 67:20 69:16 70:14 71:18,22 108:5 109:4 115:24

Preventing 60:2

previous 13:5 76:14

previously 44:15 113:16

primary 18:5 printed 48:6

private 8:22 9:1

privilege 70:21

problem 123:9

procedure 87:9 91:14 110:10 112:20 117:3

procedures 89:16 91:4,21 92:8 95:8 116:18,21,22

proceedings 6:6

process 52:8,17

produce 66:18 90:1

product 70:22

productive 103:15

professional 85:6 108:15 141:5

proficiency 26:11 75:11 114:22

proficient 85:12 90:1

program 13:12,13 19:6 21:11 27:21, 23,25 28:1,3 75:5 79:18 85:5 89:25 93:11 94:10 97:24 101:20 104:4 105:16,22 106:13 110:7 112:10,23

programs 13:9 119:12

progress 79:19

progression 19:6 20:19 27:23,24 101:20 111:17

promote 24:21,22

promoted 14:2,16 25:8 34:7,8

57:20

promotion 38:7 57:14,15

promotional 38:4

prop 77:25

property 118:23

proposed 32:12

protect 132:25

protection 132:19

protectors 133:11

provide 17:9 37:1 45:17 80:15,20

111:6

provided 44:25 119:24 126:19

providing 101:7

public 61:6,23 65:14,21 67:1,4 69:1,

5

published 39:1 53:16 91:20

pull 75:4

pulled 74:17

purchase 119:12

purchased 118:23 119:6

purpose 59:5 75:21 104:24 105:2

Pai pece 00.0 70.21 10 1.21 1

purposefully 129:15

put 11:9 21:11 33:17 52:23 61:10 74:7,21 76:22 84:17 88:25 93:10 96:14 109:14 112:13 116:16 128:24 138:15

puts 76:6 84:16 103:17 141:20

putting 64:15

Q

QMR 60:2

qualification 14:23 21:17

qualifications 8:6,8 12:10 20:1,4

75:3

qualified 9:2 11:19 12:1,22 17:8 21:23 26:6 74:25 90:10

qualify 11:22

Page 161Index: quality..reports

quality 31:18 quarter 11:2

question 12:20 15:9,12 16:3 21:21 22:22 24:15 25:9 26:13 28:16,17 35:3 36:10 37:21 38:14 41:4 44:13, 17 45:25 46:2,9,12,20 50:15 52:11, 13 53:2,20 56:19,22 69:2 70:1,10,21 77:2 97:9,11,19 102:10 105:15 106:3 107:2,19 110:16 111:15 113:5,16 115:5 119:11 120:25 121:7 122:9 123:24 125:18 126:20,24 128:21 129:14 130:9 132:14 133:6 136:4 137:16,18,25 138:4,10,20 139:2,3,6,18,23 140:7,11,17,21,23, 24 141:7

questioning 51:5

questions 32:8 51:4 68:12,13,16, 19,20,21,25 69:4 73:2 105:17 122:19 125:25 129:8 133:24 141:10 142:1,2

quickly 102:7 quiet 102:25 quit 27:11

R

radio 91:7

quote 83:4

radios 83:22 84:10

rally 80:25

ramp 9:13,14

range 60:4,10

ranks 8:7

rape 60:16

rated 112:21

rates 79:20

rating 12:7 18:14 88:3

ratings 9:5 94:9

read 27:5 35:16 36:5 37:8 39:5,8,20, 21 41:14 44:5,18 47:6,10 48:4 50:3 63:20 71:23 73:23 75:20 76:3 80:3, 11 82:17 83:2,13,14,18 86:21 87:6 89:4,7 90:22 91:5,25 93:13 96:19 108:7 122:1,7 124:15 125:2

readable 134:4

reading 35:22

readings 88:9

ready 114:4,11 125:16

realize 37:7

realizing 96:11

reallocated 57:22 58:4

realm 51:24

Realtime 6:23.24

reason 37:23 53:7 97:25 126:17 137:14,17,21 138:1,4,11,21 140:4, 13,15 141:17,21

reasons 129:16

recall 8:21 11:2 18:19 23:8,16 29:9 32:14 39:21 48:5 51:22 56:8 58:13 62:13 65:20 66:15 69:8 71:18 72:20 76:16,18 85:24 86:2,18 93:2 104:17 105:10,19 106:5,6,7,8,9,22 119:22 120:5,7,13 124:6,8,24 125:1,4,9,10 130:25 132:5,7 137:10,12,23 138:18 139:9 140:9

receive 49:18 68:3

received 8:25 9:4 40:7 45:2,20 46:18 61:23 71:14 108:22.23 109:7

recess 58:21 116:8 133:19

recognize 31:4 41:18 48:22 64:12 65:25 66:1

recollection 76:11 86:3 103:8 104:12 137:6

recommend 57:13

recommendation 114:4

recommendations 42:10,14

record 6:14 7:1,14,16,17,25 28:8 32:25 33:10 34:14 40:7 43:2 58:18, 20,22 71:5 76:22 80:4 81:19 96:10 99:4 116:4,9 132:17 133:15,18,21 142:9

recorded 6:16 7:16 128:20 142:10

records 61:7 69:1 75:4

red 92:2

reduces 37:10 49:19

refer 121:8 139:21

reference 86:11 88:5.12

referred 35:25

referring 59:24

reflect 136:1

refresh 137:6

refused 107:16

reg 115:15 139:6 141:20

regard 71:17

regulation 15:15 138:15

regulations 34:25 35:12 131:2

reject 131:3,25

rejected 130:22 131:1,19 132:1,11

related 66:12

relationship 55:7 56:11,16 57:1,2

release 61:19 71:8

released 65:12 101:25 102:2

remedy 44:20 123:15

remember 23:15 64:15 65:2

REMEMBERED 6:1

remotely 7:5,6

removed 106:21 109:25

renew 120:20 121:5,12,15,19,22 137:5,22 138:8,12,22 139:8,13 140:2,15 141:15,23

renewed 137:8 139:11

renewing 121:3 137:23 138:16

140:9

repeat 28:8 56:12

repeated 60:13

rephrase 97:11

replace 24:25 26:7

replicate 88:19

report 16:15 26:19 40:3 43:4,6,7 63:24 136:23

reported 29:12 50:2

reporter 6:23 7:2,11,21 36:14,15

45:7 102:14

reports 16:19 43:12 49:25 50:4

Page 162Index: represent..seat

represent 87:11 representation 21:4 representing 7:4,8

reprimand 42:21,24

request 47:18 65:14,19,22 66:7,8, 10,12,15 67:1,4,20 68:1 69:1,5 70:8 74:11 90:3 97:20 99:14 112:18 121:5,12 128:3 137:4 138:6,7 140:1 141:14

requested 47:12 61:6 98:17,19

requests 42:3 60:13 61:23 112:13, 17 130:22 131:20 132:1,11

require 17:15 31:13 75:9 121:3 138:22

required 12:4 20:4 75:10 122:18 137:7 139:15,16

requirement 11:14 15:3 17:15 43:25 115:7,16 141:18,19

requirements 19:14 131:17

requires 23:6

reservations 42:9

reserve 142:3

reserved 142:11

respect 105:14

respond 68:12,15

response 47:16 66:19 68:1 70:7 72:15 104:15 105:12

responses 129:8

responsibilities 109:24

responsibility 21:15 44:8

responsible 39:11,14

rest 71:15 80:5,18 83:18

result 68:1 124:12,21

resulting 66:13

retaliated 105:1

retaliating 74:13 123:22

retaliation 121:25 124:1,4,6,8

retaliation/harassment 50:1,23

retire 20:20 24:24

retired 24:10 29:18 101:21

retrospect 64:1

returned 118:13

reveal 50:24

review 18:11 21:11 23:3,12 26:25 27:12 28:13 58:3 69:2 78:8 114:9,24 115:9 141:19

reviewed 57:16

reviewing 141:22

reviews 22:25

ride 114:11,12,13,16,18,19,21,23 115:1

rides 114:1,2,21

right-hand 48:13 66:3 135:19

right-seat 95:11 97:6,14,20 98:17 99:1,9 110:11,18,21 111:6,9,12,20, 23 113:7

RMR 6:4

road 14:9 64:23 95:22

Roll 83:10 rolled 84:7 rollouts 84:3

romantic 57:1,2

room 40:24 69:23

rose 7:5 8:7

rotate 10:25 11:1 rotated 29:18,20

rotating 78:1

row 93:15 97:1 120:3,4,18 134:9

RT 95:5 **RTC** 95:6

rubbed 35:25

rubbing 47:5 55:2 69:21 73:10

rubs 60:15

rudder 92:3,4,9

rule 10:8

rules 34:25 35:12

running 31:19

RV 83:19,21 89:3,5

Ryan 7:4 39:1 50:5 54:3,7,13,14,17 72:10 73:4,5 74:3,4,9,11,12,18 76:3 77:8,9 78:19,21 84:18 86:5 95:10,20 96:11,14 97:19 98:18,22 99:1 103:23 104:25 106:13,16 111:8,20, 21 113:3,22 119:16 120:14,15 121:12 136:18 137:7,21

Ryan's 79:19 90:2 99:14

S

S-T-A-T-E-M-A 23:23

safe 85:12 90:1 131:14,18

safety 92:11,13 131:17

salary 42:10,14 58:6,7

Sam 43:17

Santhuff 6:17 7:4 14:6 18:17,21 19:1,5 28:3 50:5,13,19 53:24 54:3, 13 55:1 69:12,24 71:19,25 74:18 75:22,24 76:3,7 82:3 86:5 92:25 95:20 96:11,14 103:23 104:2,3,12, 21 105:14 106:19 107:8,16 108:11 109:12,14,21 110:4,23 112:4 113:3, 8,22 117:13 120:8,14,16,19 121:12 122:25 123:19,21 125:13 128:15 136:18 137:3,7,21 138:6,12,14,19, 22 140:9

Santhuff's 39:1 73:4,6 76:22 97:20 104:25 106:16 111:8

sat 120:3

scenes 39:10

schedule 113:20 129:16

scheduled 59:21 131:13

scheduling 123:8,10

school 8:25 112:12,14,17,19 134:22

schools 28:22 111:19

scope 58:1

score 37:10 49:19

scores 38:4

scoring 78:12,13,22

Scott 54:5,14,15,19

search 62:2

seat 18:24 95:8,10,16,17,21 96:1 97:18 99:7,10 101:4 111:22 112:21,

Nobach, David - July 10, 2020 Page 163Index: seated..speed **similar** 44:2.9 22 113:4.9.12 setting 83:22 84:10 seated 40:21 97:6 113:3 sex 60:12 **simple** 108:5 second-seated 18:21 **sexual** 44:24 45:3,4,11,16,17 46:17, simply 138:18 139:9 21,23 54:1 55:6 59:7,21 60:2,14 secret 109:6 132:20 singular 50:6 71:13 107:9,14 108:8 119:14,20 sir 20:12 48:16 60:7 72:3 134:6 secretary 35:25 sheet 74:6 75:6 100:3 **section** 8:3,6 11:9 12:10,13 14:14 sit 72:8 97:17 99:7 102:13 105:7 **shelf** 119:1 16:1 17:5 20:5,7 21:16 22:10,12,15, 125:9 137:10 140:3 141:21 21 23:18 29:11 30:5 31:19 39:8 Shelton 83:21 **sitting** 40:24 81:13 101:3 120:18 44:7,25 47:1 49:25 50:2 59:22 75:18 **Sheridan** 7:3.19.23 15:11 17:19.24 86:8 93:4,8 101:14,17 103:16 104:6 situation 44:21 128:15 139:23 18:2 24:17 26:14 28:19 30:11,14 108:9 116:13,14,16 125:5 35:4,8,10 36:12,13,17,20 37:23 **skill** 19:19 77:7 sections 120:2 38:15,20 41:5,12 44:14 45:9,10 46:5 **skills** 13:10 19:7 31:14,20,23 32:2 50:16 52:16 53:4,22 56:22 58:17,24 security 25:24 77:6 84:19 85:19,20 114:10 62:11 70:2,12,23 71:4,7 77:4 97:12 seek 37:3 38:16 39:5 41:21 44:24 102:11 103:11,20 106:4 107:7,22 skip 24:25 45:17 51:20 110:17 111:25 115:18,24 116:2,5, **slide** 60:3 11,12 120:12 123:25 125:19 132:15 selected 8:13 119:16 133:8,14,22,23 135:25 136:5 137:19 **slope** 90:24 91:12 138:25 139:12 140:11,19,21,25 selection 38:4 **smaller** 119:23 141:3,11,13,25 142:4,7 semester 11:2 **smiley** 63:8 **shit** 107:9 send 28:20 59:6 94:4 112:13,17 **Snohomish** 8:24,25 **shop** 9:15 37:18 122:13 127:22 128:2 sole 88:4 **Shoreline** 64:11,20 **sending** 112:16 **solo** 94:2,4,8,9,14 95:4 shortcuts 131:16 sends 26:25 **Sommers** 56:1.4 shoulder 40:17 41:7,8 senior 85:18 90:17 **sooner** 116:2 shoulders 60:15 seniority 15:16,18 sort 12:4 83:24 88:18 96:9 100:21 sense 26:5 113:22 115:22 128:13 **show** 63:17 137:4 138:8 140:1 135:18 141:14 sensed 104:25 sounds 63:16 shutdown 80:6,19,22 sentence 43:22 south 89:6 91:9,17 sick 136:25 separately 40:13 **space** 117:25 side 35:17 91:11 94:1 120:6 135:18 **sergeant** 8:7,11 16:19,21 17:7 Spanning 30:1 **sign** 22:24,25 23:2,3,12 27:3,5 28:13 19:19,21,25 20:2 24:21 25:2,8,13, 53:15 115:9 14,17,23,24 26:6,19,24 54:5,20 speak 118:21 123:11 81:21 92:21,23 94:20,21 108:21 **signature** 33:14,21 49:9 67:12 speaker 17:22 109:17 118:12,14,15,16 122:24 142:3.11 123:6,11,15,18 speaking 102:13 141:4 signatures 48:19

sergeants 16:20 19:20 25:21 27:6,8 31:22,24 43:9,11 85:18,21,23 86:1 92:17,20 101:12 108:23 110:5

service 8:24 132:20 Services 119:25 **session** 128:3 set 87:14

signed 18:10,12,15 19:13 28:4,23 33:20 47:3,12 49:8,9 67:15 69:11

significance 79:3 85:3 95:12

signing 28:12 signs 26:21 **signup** 27:12

specialty 38:4

specific 76:10 103:8 104:11 117:23 126:23

specifics 132:7 **sped** 103:6

speed 82:22 83:9,10 84:2 91:16 103:13

spell 16:9 23:22 43:18	stick 133:3	support 43:25
spent 12:23 93:19,20	stipulated 102:3	supposed 101:2 119:4
spoke 104:13	stolen 52:4	surprise 53:5
spoken 36:18	stood 40:25 53:10 77:7	suspect 52:11 70:24
spring 28:11	stop 104:16 122:5 140:23 141:3	suspects 51:23
SRS 6:23,24	story 35:18 41:1,7 133:3	suspended 32:19
staff 20:19 44:2,9 51:1,4 126:17	strap 40:17 41:7	suspicious 50:9
128:7,25 130:22 131:16,20 132:12	Street 6:2,21	swear 7:2,20
stamp 48:14 131:9	stresses 109:18	Sweeney 16:4 54:5,14,15,25 92:23
stamped 135:15	stricken 32:13	112:4 118:15,16,21
stamps 48:13 118:2	strict 131:15,18	Sweeney's 94:20
stand 56:3 77:5	strike 11:18 38:2 42:9 48:3 55:14	swing 56:3,5
standard 49:18 74:24 76:5 83:2,4, 13 85:7,8 89:15 92:8 100:22 108:5	60:24 111:3	sworn 7:9
standards 74:23 75:25 76:4 84:13	string 63:14	system 8:10 11:1 64:20 89:24
standing 15:23 40:19 41:9	students 10:21,24 11:3,4	Т
stares 60:13	stuff 39:11 57:14 72:10 79:10	<u> </u>
start 80:13 82:13 91:1,15 98:11	subject 61:2 63:7 112:2	tabbed 20:13
102:13	submit 21:12	table 40:24 51:11,13 113:2
started 9:7,12 77:24 82:12 98:18	submitted 20:18 29:6 58:2	Tacoma 6:3,21
104:7	subordinate 56:11 108:17	takes 113:19
starting 80:9,12	subordinates 61:1	taking 113:22
state 6:17 7:24 8:10,15 11:13 31:11, 21,24 34:3 42:19 63:5 68:9 118:23	substandard 84:21	talk 35:17 39:10 54:7,13,15,18 71:7,
119:6,25 132:22 139:19 140:8	succession 26:4	11 72:25 73:3 84:25 102:25 104:25 105:21 107:16 112:11 117:7
141:23	suggestive 60:12	talked 40:5 44:22 54:3 96:20 106:13
stated 91:19	Suite 6:2,21	108:21 135:8
Statema 23:21 24:12 26:18 27:14 28:9 29:3	summarize 83:24 89:13 92:6 132:23	talking 28:8 61:16 99:13 103:10
statement 22:1 33:1 35:15 44:8	summary 80:2 91:18	112:24 117:18 122:21 124:5,6 138:19 139:9 140:9
53:9 54:11 73:4 132:11	Superior 6:18	talks 46:24
statements 39:1 73:6	supervise 27:6	Tanyah 62:25 63:2,13,20
stating 138:18 139:9	supervises 57:8	TAR 136:19,20,21 137:4 138:7
status 21:5 95:14 128:20	supervisor 31:15,24 42:19 43:15	140:1,14 141:14
stay 118:3	55:13,17 109:9 114:3 123:14,17	task 77:12,19 78:13 86:21,23 90:21 136:11
stayed 58:6	131:11	tasked 59:9
Steep 82:18 134:12	supervisor's 33:21	taxi 82:12
step 24:12	supervisors 55:12,16,22 128:23	taxing 82:4
stepping 120:10	supervisory 32:4 41:24	teach 59:24 87:14
stewards 37:18	supplied 132:22	100011 00.24 07.14

Page 164Index: spell..teach

teaching 135:6

team 36:7

technically 27:4

telling 40:8 41:1 109:14

term 45:4,8 46:23

terminated 32:15

terms 10:19 13:3,14 20:6 21:7 28:12 34:16 57:23 79:2 85:11 88:1 91:3 95:9.13 98:12 132:24

test 18:13 23:1,3,13 24:21 74:23,24 75:25 76:3 115:9

tested 8:10

testified 7:11

testimony 32:12 39:19 45:23 67:22 71:15 99:6 105:7 107:23 111:3,5 118:19 128:5

tests 27:13 28:14

Thanksgiving 62:12 63:7

theft 52:1,3,20

thing 72:17 97:14 128:6 134:20 135:20 139:3

things 18:16,18 22:17,19 23:2 39:9 48:12 60:20 61:20 63:18 77:9 83:25 89:18 99:12 102:8 124:12

Thinking 24:10

third-party 45:17 46:17

thought 38:23 39:3 40:13,16 57:19 63:14 72:6 80:9 118:7 127:7

three-quarters 80:12

time 9:3 10:3,6,22 12:9,16,24 13:16 18:17 22:9 25:2,18 31:1 33:25 36:20 39:25 44:4,11 47:3 50:9 51:3 58:14, 15,23 60:18 61:5 63:23 64:15 65:11 66:21 71:24 72:5 74:22,23 81:2,12, 17 83:5 84:20,22 85:4 86:10,17 91:1,15 92:22 93:23 94:2,3,4,8,9,10, 14 95:4,25 97:4,6 98:21,23 99:1,11 102:19,23 108:10 112:8 113:5,7 116:10 117:6,13 120:20 121:19 123:21 126:5 128:18 130:3,9 133:18,21 136:23,25 137:5,22 138:8,12,22 139:13 140:2 141:15

timed 130:23 131:21,24,25

timeframe 30:1 66:19 95:3

timeline 20:16.18

times 19:1 81:13 85:7,8,13,14 96:10 99:17 101:16 107:16 140:17

title 21:8

today 6:23,24 24:11 72:8 105:7 125:9 137:10 140:3 141:21

today's 59:12 60:3

told 40:3 54:25 66:22 67:2 68:4 70:12 71:12 95:20 108:25 109:1 112:16 120:19 122:5 125:14 127:10 128:6

tolerated 51:2 108:10

tomorrow 24:24

top 29:5 73:21 98:5 112:14

total 93:22,23 97:4

touching 60:14

town 28:12

track 89:5 93:9,11

tracked 94:10

traffic 96:15 118:22

train 11:5 17:1,8 85:6 95:15,22

99:24 113:12

trained 95:10 97:20 98:20,22 99:17, 18.22 111:21 112:22

trainee 12:17 13:7

trainer 99:20

training 17:9,16 19:6 21:11 27:21 28:3 44:24 45:18 46:25 59:21 71:13 74:9 75:4 76:22 78:17,19 84:17,25 85:5 86:12,13,15 87:23 88:22 89:10, 22,25 93:11,22 94:10 95:11,16,21 96:2,13,16 97:6,14,23 98:12,13,16, 17,18,24 99:1,8,9 101:1 104:4,7 105:16,22,25 106:13,14,16,17,24 107:4,5 108:2,3 110:7,11 111:7,9, 13,21,22,23 112:10,21,23 113:7 114:16,19 119:12,14,20,24 120:1

transcript 7:17 71:5

transition 92:4

transport 8:9 18:14 20:8

trending 134:23,24,25 136:1,14

trooper 7:4 8:7 11:8,14 13:16 14:6,9 16:18 18:17,21 19:1,5 24:20 27:16,

19 28:3 50:6,12,19 51:23 53:24 55:1 64:23 69:12,23 71:19,24 75:22,24 76:7,22 86:5 92:25 104:3,12 105:13 106:19 107:7,16 108:11 109:12,21 110:23 111:13,16 113:8 117:13 120:19 122:25 125:13 128:15 137:3 138:5,11,14,19 139:19 140:9

troopers 13:23 50:2,4,6 86:7 113:19 139:15

true 30:4 37:23 38:3 45:1 46:14,15 47:22,23 55:5,24 58:14 60:23,25 61:5,7 65:18 66:21 69:15 74:12 97:5,17 102:18,24 103:3 104:1,15 105:13,24 106:18,23 107:7,14,21, 22,23 108:6,20 109:20 110:6 117:12 119:14 120:19 122:25 124:11,20 125:12 126:5 127:17 129:1 130:21 131:22 132:10 139:14,18 140:3

truthful 72:7

turn 48:15 62:5 73:15 82:2,15 89:3,5 90:20 93:3 99:16 100:1 114:3 131:8

turned 52:4 53:25 61:9 133:4,7,9

turns 82:18 92:4 134:12

Twin 9:17

type 56:11,16,25 65:15 68:23 86:15 101:18 132:3

typical 29:24

typically 100:8 101:3 107:17

U

Uh-huh 79:16

unacceptable 60:4

understand 11:19 15:13 25:20 28:17 36:3 45:11,22 46:2,6,7,12,21 52:6 57:12 97:11 104:3 120:25 123:9 125:19 138:3

understanding 10:8 46:5 104:24 105:2

understood 40:10 45:1,19 46:16 60:20 61:18 69:12 125:21 126:24

unfortunate 47:25

unhappy 107:17

union 13:19,20,21,22,23,24 14:5,8, 11,12 36:20,24 37:3 51:21

unit 6:15 57:25 132:19

Unknown 10:14 31:9 61:23

unprofessional 108:14

untrue 73:7,8,11

untruthful 71:21,25 72:7,9,11,16,18

uploaded 61:24

upper 66:3 135:16,19

upset 65:15

upsetting 47:24

upside 40:18

utter 104:22

٧

vacation 42:3 120:20 121:4,21 136:24 137:4,22 138:8,12,22 139:13

140:1 141:15

vague 56:23

validate 50:23

vehicle 29:22 52:4

vendor 45:17 46:17

vendors 119:13

veracity 70:13

verbal 43:1

version 76:17

versus 6:17 14:9

VFR 83:7,9 87:20

video 6:16 7:18 142:5,10

view 84:20

violation 43:25 114:14

visually 82:21

Volume 6:15

VOR 87:2 89:7

VOR-A 86:24

VRF 82:18

W

wait 36:6 100:10 115:4

walk 78:1

wanted 24:24 54:7,13 66:23 74:4 78:19 90:3 95:10,21 97:17 99:1,7,10 112:11 113:9 114:7,8 134:5

Washington 6:3,17,18,21

weather 82:22 83:17,23 84:4,6 87:9, 13 127:4,9 131:7

weather-related 129:23 130:5

web 65:16

week 79:16

WFSE 57:24 58:1

Williams 63:1,2,13

window 77:25 82:21 88:10

windshield 64:15

wit 6:7

withdraw 98:8

witness's 120:11

witnesses 70:6

women 61:22

wondering 81:8

word 46:6 61:4 64:8 65:1

words 39:22 89:4 104:16,20,22

106:21 107:8,11

work 9:14 10:22 12:13 16:5 61:20 70:21 82:14 110:2,3 121:18 122:10,

12

worked 10:12 18:17 64:20

working 9:13 58:1 109:22

workload 109:20,23 110:3

workplace 44:1,23 46:18 51:2

59:14

works 31:11 71:2 111:19 115:19

world 110:13

wound 76:21

Wow 16:11

write 59:12 60:2 63:9,11,16 64:3 74:8 83:8 86:11 112:5,20 129:18,24

writes 63:7 64:25 137:2,3

writing 63:6 89:18 91:25 136:18

written 15:15 18:13 23:1,3,12 27:12 28:13 42:21,24 68:13,16 83:4 93:16 114:14 115:9 122:19 138:6 141:13

wrong 22:5 36:7 37:6,25 38:23,25 39:4 41:5 57:21 60:21 64:1 79:9,10 97:21 98:8 99:12

wrote 63:18 64:14 75:8 78:9,20 81:8 87:6 95:5 96:19 99:11 112:9 134:12 139:25 141:13

WSP 66:9 73:21

Υ

year 9:6 10:4,5,6,10,12 11:5 12:15, 22 13:4,8 14:14 19:23 48:4 58:12 62:14 71:15 98:15 131:23

years 9:12,19,20 11:15 31:17 32:5 52:10 62:22 74:6,17 90:5,8,14 102:19 106:10 118:17

Ζ

Z-I-N-K 64:8

Zink 64:8

Zoom 7:15