













2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202, Tacoma, WA 98403 • 253.627.6401 • Toll Free: 800.649.2034 • byersanderson.com

ONE-WEEK TRANSCRIPT TURNAROUND

Digital Transcripts • Internet Realtime • HD Legal Video • Picture-in-Picture Depositions Remote Witnesses • Designation Editing • Nationwide Scheduling • HD Videoconferencing

Verbatim Transcript of Proceedings

(From Audio Recording)

September 15, 2020

RYAN SANTHUFF v. STATE OF WASHINGTON

19-2-04610-4

Thank you for choosing Byers & Anderson for your court reporting, legal video, and videoconferencing needs. For over 35 years it has been our goal to provide you with unmatched service. Our one-week transcript turnaround is an industry leader. If there is anything we can do to assist you, please don't hesitate to let us know.



The Premier AdvantageTM PDF transcript bundle contains:

- Full-size and condensed transcripts
- Printable word index
- Hyperlinked selectable word index
- Embedded printable exhibit scans
- Hyperlinked selectable exhibit viewing
- Common file formats: txt, lef, mdb accessed via paperclip icon

Sarah Fitzgibbon, CCR

Deposition Services Lead Consultant

STATE OF WA	
RYAN SANTHUFF, an individual, Plaintiff, vs. STATE OF WASHINGTON, and DAVID JAMES NOBACH, an individual, Defendants.))))) No. 19-2-04610-4))))
VERBATIM RECORD	OF PROCEEDINGS
SEPTEMBER	15, 2020
VOLUN	ME VI
APPEARANCES:	
FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	JACK SHERIDAN MARK ROSE Attorneys at Law
FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	ANDREW BIGGS SCOTT MARLOW Attorneys at Law
Before the Honorable Mafe Rajul	
September 15, 2020 Seattle, Washington	
Court Reporter: Terilynn Simons,	, CRR, RMR #2047

1	EXAMINATION INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION OF RYAN SANTHUFF:	PAGE NO.
3	MR. SHERIDAN	16
4		
5	EXAMINATION OF PAUL TORELLI:	
6	MR. SHERIDAN	146
7	MR. MARLOW	164
8		
9	EXAMINATION OF SANDRA KAISER:	
10	MR. SHERIDAN	206
11	MR. MARLOW	217
12		
13	EXHIBIT INDEX	
14	EXHIBIT NO.	PAGE NO.
15		
16	Exhibit No. 83	28
17	Exhibit No. 100	91
18	Exhibit No. 207	20
19	Exhibit No. 213	40
20	Exhibit No. 217	208
21	Exhibit No. 218	211
22	Exhibit No. 219	213
23	Exhibit No. 220	216
24		
25		

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2020; SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 1 2. ///// 3 <<<<< >>>>> ///// 4 5 THE COURT: I just want to make sure that you are all aware that the rules that apply to Zoom 6 7 are the same rules that would apply if you were in court. That means that you are prohibited from recording 8 9 the proceedings. 10 We only have one official record, which is the record that is set by our court reporter. 11 12 Likewise, you are precluded from taking screenshots, 13 just like you would not be able to take photos in the 14 courtroom. A violation of these court orders will be basis for 15 a finding of contempt and sanctions. 16 17 All right. So we have an issue that we need to address, which is Plaintiff's motion for relief based on 18 lack of pretrial disclosure to complaint made to Captain 19 20 Alexander about the plaintiff, and really what this deals 21 with is Captain Alexander testified during his, I think 22 it was direct, and then at cross-- well, he testified 23 that everybody in the group engaged in this kind of 24 behavior, including the plaintiff, Detective Santhuff, 25 and specifically he had made some comments about

1 Ms. Biscay's teenage daughters. I received the defense response. 2 3 Is there anything that you would like to add to your brief, Mr. Sheridan, and what is your response to the 4 fact that the information that Captain Alexander 5 testified about was in the discovery and was part of 6 Exhibit No. 607, which I have looked at? 7 It was the investigation to Captain Dreck 8 9 (phonetic). 10 MR. SHERIDAN: That's right. 11 The issue here is whether or not Ms. Biscay 12 disclosed that information to Captain Alexander in March 13 of 2016, so the only way-- remember, I deposed him. 14 never said a word about it. 15 In interrogatories, that's where they should have 16 told us. 17 We showed you Interrogatory No. 1. 18 They should have told us if it were true-- I mean, we think it's made up for trial, but if it were true, 19 20 they would have disclosed it or should have disclosed it in response to Interrogatory No. 1--21 22 THE COURT: What do you think is made 23 up for trial? 24 MR. SHERIDAN: Because it --25 THE COURT: No. What? That he made

the comment about the daughters?

2.

MR. SHERIDAN: No, that he made the comment to Alexander about the daughters.

THE COURT: I see.

MR. SHERIDAN: See, that's why the 095-- he's claiming now at trial that's why that line in the 095 says that there was this problem that was bigger than just those two, but that's what we're saying is made up because had that really been the case, there would have been something about that in 2016.

What they did is they took-- what I think they did is they looked at a separate investigation after the litigation began and they realized that they had a hole, so they had to-- in trial prep they had to figure it out.

What they figured out is "Hey, look, in 2017 she gives this statement"-- Ms. Biscay gives this statement to a different investigator who is investigating the e-mail, the PRA e-mail issue, and so what-- and then remember when the Court ordered them to produce all the documents? They basically just dumped everything and said, "It's in there," when we were looking at documents.

What they say is now that statement to an investigator in 2017 was actually made to Alexander in 2016, and there's no evidence that it was, and they should have-- if it was true, if it's not a lie, it

should have been in response to this exhibit, and if it was documented -- he says he doesn't write anything down.

If it was documented, it should have been documented in our request for production.

We should have got it.

It does not exist in 2016. It does not -- there's no evidence that anything that she -- that she ever said that to him, and she was deposed, and she does not say, "I said that to him in 2016."

This is all-- this is all made up, and we ought to be able to tell the jury that this is something that they should have provided to us and did not, and you should say "willfully" did not because that's what all the case law says.

THE COURT: Mr. Biggs?

MR. BIGGS: Your Honor, I want to go on the record right now as saying if Counsel one more time accuses me of ethical violations, I expect him to put on the record all of the facts on which he relies because I will take it to the bar association, and I will have them decide whether or not that's happened because he just now said that I coached a witness to lie.

That is an ethical violation, and I will not stand for that, Your Honor.

That is not something that I will stand for.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as a motion, there is no evidence of that.

There is no evidence that that happened.

They asked us a generic question and now he says, "Because I got surprised at trial of something I didn't anticipate, you must have done something wrong."

That is incorrect, and I will stand on my response, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. There are a lot of accusations going back and forth, and I don't think it's appropriate to be making accusations of unethical behavior without really any basis.

I can understand why Mr. Sheridan thinks that maybe the witness is making things up, but to accuse somebody of just engaging in unethical behavior without any real basis is just not okay.

The purpose of cross-examination of witnesses and impeachment is to point out exactly those inconsistencies, and you did do that, Mr. Sheridan.

I mean, you really impeached or pointed out a lot of things that Captain Alexander had not said, and you certainly-- because I actually went back yesterday to listen to the testimony because the issue is, from my perspective, when I was looking at this, is I thought, did "She file an actual complaint," which is what the

2.

interrogatory requested, complaints that -- involving Detective Santhuff.

I went back because I couldn't remember if he had said that she just mentioned it or if she had actually filed a complaint about it.

I went back and listened, and you cross-examine him at length as to what he had not said, the fact that these were not-- it had not been brought up, and you can certainly do the same when you have the other witnesses. You can cross-examine them.

You were aware of these statements because they were a part of Captain Dreck's investigation.

This comment about the daughters was not-- when it came from Captain Alexander, was not the first time that it was mentioned in this litigation, from what I gather.

As to who knew what and when, that's-- I suppose that's what advocacy is for at trial, to point those holes and when it comes to credibility of witnesses, so I am going to deny the plaintiff's motions.

MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. Thanks, Your Honor.

I think-- I sent over a list this morning of what we're hoping will be the witness order, but I also put on there, in parentheses, the witnesses that the defense has agreed to produce, so that-- the only one that's iffy for

1	today, that I just haven't received confirmation on, is
2	the civilian I don't have her name right in front of
3	me, the civilian who is over at the college.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Kaiser?
5	MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah, so the goal is
6	because we have that gap that happened because one of the
7	witnesses isn't responding, we thought we could maybe
8	tuck her in at the end, just to get her done because
9	she's a short witness.
10	Then the ones set up for tomorrow are basically what
11	we hope we'll be able to get to.
12	MR. MARLOW: Are you depending upon us
13	to get Ms. Kaiser here because when we talked yesterday,
14	that's not what you told us told me.
15	MR. SHERIDAN: This is between staff,
16	so I don't get involved in that.
17	It's my understanding that the defense was talking
18	to the witness.
19	If that's not the case, I can contact my staff
20	immediately.
21	MR. MARLOW: It's not the case.
22	MR. SHERIDAN: It's not the case,
23	okay.
24	THE COURT: So with respect to
25	Merrill, you said you were not able to get ahold of

1	Merrill?
2	MR. SHERIDAN: Right.
3	THE COURT: All right. So I need to
4	see if is it a he or a she?
5	MR. SHERIDAN: A he.
6	THE COURT: Was he personally served
7	with a subpoena?
8	MR. SHERIDAN: Yes. Yeah, we have
9	her I think we filed the acknowledgment the affidavit
10	of service.
11	THE COURT: All right. I think the
12	only thing I can do I mean, in criminal cases we issue
13	witness warrants, but I'm not going to do that.
14	I think that the only thing I can do is just set a
15	show-cause hearing for him to say why is he not
16	responding and coming to testify.
17	MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. Does that get
18	served by us or by the sheriff.
19	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
20	MR. SHERIDAN: Does that get served on
21	him by us or by the sheriff?
22	THE COURT: I don't know. I need to
23	check.
24	MR. SHERIDAN: I think Mark may be
25	working on that angle, and I think we could give the

1 Court some information later today. 2 THE COURT: Okay. But you said that 3 he had originally responded and then he just didn't? 4 MR. SHERIDAN: He did-- he called us, 5 but he did not say he was happy to testify, and then he said he was in quarantine, so that's where we are. 6 Okay. Anything else? 7 THE COURT: 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not that I know 9 of, your honor. 10 MR. SHERIDAN: So you know we have the 11 1:30 expert, so we are going to break for that. 12 The other thing is the guy at the end of the day at 13 3:15, he is-- he's the Zoom guy, but his name is 14 Hendrickson (phonetic), and he is-- he's the one that has -- that did the PRA request that produced the 15 16 embarrassing e-mails for the lieutenant, so I didn't 17 know-- it occurred to me this morning that this part of 18 the story you may not have heard before and you may want 19 me to do an offer of proof after lunch or before lunch or 20 something like that on him. 21 Is there any issue with THE COURT: 22 his testimony? 23 MR. BIGGS: There is, Your Honor. 24 He's going to say that he did a public records 25 request that revealed some embarrassing e-mails for the

1 lieutenant, which, again, have nothing to do with this case; I mean, truly nothing to do with this case. 2. 3 I thought you were calling him to say he did a PRA in 2014, which we acknowledge. I think it was him. 4 5 THE COURT: Yeah, we'll do an offer of proof then. 6 7 MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. MR. BIGGS: Are we clear, Your Honor, 8 9 that we are not going to break the plaintiff's testimony 10 into three or four pieces? We are going to batch the witness's expert, immediately into Zooms, get that done 11 12 so that we're not a half an hour here, half an hour 13 there? 14 THE COURT: Yeah, that is what I was 15 anticipating. 16 MR. SHERIDAN: My plan is to fill the day with witnesses, but I figured if we have a gap, we 17 could put him up, rather than have dead time. 18 19 THE COURT: Well, when I asked if that 20 was okay was just because of the Zoom issue and the 21 expert issue, but I don't want to, every time we have a 22 gap, having -- I don't think that's fair for either party, 23 frankly, and it's just confusing for the jury to be going 24 back and forth. 25 MR. SHERIDAN: I have had judges say,

```
1
     "I don't want any dead time," so we usually use the
     plaintiff for dead time.
 2
 3
                       THE COURT: It's a balance, like with
 4
     everything.
                                      Thanks, Judge.
 5
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
         Shall I put him up on the witness stand or --
 6
 7
                       THE COURT:
                                    Sure.
                                       (Inaudible crosstalk.)
 8
 9
                       THE COURT: You are not planning on
10
     admitting as an exhibit the hood, right?
11
                       MR. SHERIDAN: No, no. It was just a
12
     prop.
13
                       THE COURT: Okay. I'm just making
14
     sure.
15
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                       Thank you.
16
                       THE COURT: We can be off the record.
17
                                  (Recess 8:57 to 8:58 a.m.)
18
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Your Honor, I am going
19
     to-- with the court's permission, because it's really
20
     hard to see this thing, I'm going to put it up in its
21
     current state on the screen, just to ask the client, "Is
22
     that what you said? Right, " and then I still have to
23
     build it on here.
24
         I can't put it on the screen, but I figured since
25
     it's such weird seating for the jury, I would just put it
```

```
up for a couple of minutes so that he can verify that I
 1
 2
     got it right, because he can't see it either.
 3
         Then we'll just turn that off and move forward on
 4
     the chart.
                       THE COURT: All right. See, this is
 5
     the reason I don't like when attorneys are the ones that
 6
 7
     are writing things on boards, but--
                       MR. SHERIDAN: I don't like it either,
 8
     but I don't know how else to do it.
 9
10
                       THE COURT: Do you have an issue with
11
     that.
12
                       MR. BIGGS: Not at this point, Your
13
     Honor.
14
                       THE COURT: Okay.
                       MR. SHERIDAN: And we'll take it down
15
16
     once he's verified, and then we'll go back to the board.
17
                       THE COURT: All right. I think it
18
     really crosses the line, from my perspective, when it's
19
     the attorney writing things down versus the witness, but
20
     that's just a personal thing.
21
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                      It's actually-- I've
22
     tried the other way, and it's messier, but then they
23
     don't know what-- (inaudible crosstalk.)
24
                       COURT BAILIFF: Can we go off?
25
                       THE COURT: Yeah.
```

```
1
                                  (Recess 9:00 to 9:04 a.m.)
 2.
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Is that a rain flood
 3
     outside?
 4
                       THE COURT: I'm sorry?
 5
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Was that a hole in the
     ceiling that caused the rain-- the hallway is all wet out
 6
 7
     there.
                       THE COURT: I don't know. There was a
 8
 9
     leak.
10
                                       (Inaudible crosstalk.)
11
                                      Do you get those leaks.
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
12
                       UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's over
13
     across -- I noticed it was across the hallway too in front
14
     of 3 or 4 (inaudible).
15
                       MR. SHERIDAN: And that's not even a
16
     heavy rain.
17
                       COURT BAILIFF: Yeah.
                       THE COURT: When we had our first
18
19
     criminal trial, recently, they were doing something on
20
     the roof, so we kept hearing the banging, and it's like,
21
     "Can something work, please?"
2.2
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                      Yeah.
23
                       THE COURT: Yeah, that wasn't heavy
24
     rain.
         I was hoping it would at least eliminate the smoke,
25
```

1		which it didn't.
2		MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah.
3		COURT BAILIFF: All rise for the jury.
4		(Jury enters.)
5		THE COURT: I think Mary already
6		reached out.
7		Thank you. Please be seated.
8		Good morning, Members of the Jury.
9		All right. Detective Santhuff, you are still under
10		oath.
11		THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. Thank
12		you.
13		THE COURT: Mr. Sheridan?
14		MR. SHERIDAN: Thanks, Your Honor.
15		DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)
16		BY MR. SHERIDAN:
17	Q	All right. Good morning.
18	A	Good morning.
19	Q	So we finished off the day with you having gone through
20		the May and June timeframe, an issue in July, and I think
21		the last thing we talked about was the sexual harassment
22		training on July 16th.
23		Does that sound about right for you?
24	A	Yes, sir.
25	Q	And you had up to that point you had outlined your

- 1 emotional-harm chart for us.
- We are going to put it up on the screen in case
- anybody is at an angle where they can't see it.
- 4 Then I'm going to ask you to update it for through
- 5 July, okay?
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 | Q Okay. All right. Can you see it now?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Does that appear to be about right, and the "F" is fear,
- 10 "S" is stress in blue-- fear is in red, stress is in
- 11 blue, humiliation is in black, anxiety is in purple,
- anguish is in green, and loss of enjoyment of life is in
- 13 blue.
- 14 Does that appear to be right?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q Okay. All right. So now we're going to take the chart
- forward through July, and let me ask you, what would you
- 18 | say your fear level was up through that time?
- 19 A An eight.
- 20 O Okay. And how about stress?
- 21 A An eight as well.
- 22 Q And how about humiliation?
- 23 A It was a seven.
- 24 | Q And how about anxiety?
- 25 A A nine.

- 1 Q Okay. And how about anguish?
- 2 A Probably a seven.
- 3 | Q And how about loss of enjoyment of life?
- 4 A Probably a six.
- 5 | Q All right. So you've gone through the testimony about
- 6 how the lieutenant was saying you would get worse and
- 7 worse.
- 8 Tell me, did you get to go to school in August, King
- 9 | air school?
- 10 A Yes, sir, I did.
- I went to King air again for the third time.
- 12 | Q All right. And did you-- when you went to school, was
- 13 | that during vacation?
- 14 A Yes, sir, that was the time during vacation.
- 15 Q All right. Let's take a look at Exhibit No. 7, if we
- 16 | can-- I'm sorry, 207.
- 17 You don't have it, huh?
- 18 A No. sir.
- 19 Q Page 60-- is it behind you?
- 20 A Nope, nothing.
- 21 0 What is over here?
- 22 A 227 to 245.
- 23 | Q 226, 246-- got it.
- 24 All right. And do you recognize 207?
- 25 A Yes, sir, I do.

And what is it? 1 Q These are my FlightSafety training records for the King 2 Α air training. 3 And do they become a part of your training record within 4 0 5 the Washington State Patrol? Yes, sir. 6 Α After I complete training at FlightSafety, they 7 provide me a training packet with the outlined training, 8 9 and I provide that to state patrol aviation, which goes 10 into my permanent training file. And you have personal knowledge that 207 are pages from 11 0 12 that file? 13 Yes, sir, that's correct. Α 14 MR. SHERIDAN: Plaintiff offers 207. 15 MR. BIGGS: We have voir dire, Your 16 Honor, please. THE COURT: Go ahead. 17 18 MR. BIGGS: Detective Santhuff, just 19 one thing: 20 At the very bottom of the last page on that 21 document --22 THE WITNESS: Give me the Bates stamp, 23 please, sir. Which--24 MR. BIGGS: 5332. 25 THE WITNESS: 332?

```
1
                       THE COURT:
                                   What page are you
 2
     referring to?
 3
                       MR. BIGGS: 5332, Your Honor.
 4
                       THE COURT: Okay. Yeah.
 5
                       MR. BIGGS: Do you have that,
 6
     Detective?
 7
          It's a multi-page document, right?
                       THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I have it.
 8
 9
         It's the last page.
10
                       MR. BIGGS: Okay. Great.
         Whose signature is that at the bottom? Do you know?
11
12
                       THE WITNESS: That's Lieutenant
13
    Nobach's.
14
                       MR. BIGGS: Great.
15
         No objection, Your Honor.
16
                       THE COURT: All right. Exhibit
17
     No. 207 is admitted.
                               (Exhibit No. 207 admitted into
18
19
                                evidence.)
20
                        MR. SHERIDAN: All right. Let's get
21
     that back on the screen, if we can.
22
          May we publish, Your Honor?
23
                       THE COURT: You may.
24
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Thank you.
25
          And, Greg, that's a little out of focus.
```

(Inaudible crosstalk.) 1 2. (By Mr. Sheridan) Can you tell us, what does the first Q 3 page represent? 4 It represents the curriculum that I was trained on in the Α 5 August timeframe, August 15th, 2016 through August 17th, 2016. 6 All right. And can you tell us, does it outline your 7 Q proficiency and document what you did? 8 9 Yes, sir. Α It lists the different ground training curriculum, 10 11 for example aircraft general instruction, power plant, 12 electrical, hydraulics, and then also has listed flight 13 simulator time -- the training curriculum and the time I 14 spent in the flight simulator, which was six hours. All right. Can you tell us, during that time did you 15 16 receive any special awards? 17 Well, after this training, they-- if you meet a certain Α proficiency standard, they give you -- they call it a 18 19 ProCard, and that means that you met their airline 20 transport pilot certificate requirements. 21 It would be more than just a commercial pilot's 22 license, so if you're a pilot for an airline, and you 23 transport passengers, you are required to have an airline

transport pilot certificate, which is referred to as an

ATP.

24

25

These ProCards are given to pilots who meet that 1 2 higher standard as a pilot. 3 And could you turn-- is it Page 7? Is that the right Q 4 page? 5 The seventh page of your file. If you would, just turn to the ProCard. 6 Yes, sir. 7 Α Greq, would you-- I 8 MR. SHERIDAN: think it's the seventh page-- Page 7 (inaudible 9 10 crosstalk). 11 Keep going. 12 THE WITNESS: It appears to be Bates 13 stamped 5318. 14 (By Mr. Sheridan) All right. And take a look at the 15 screen. 16 Is that the right card? 17 Yes, sir, that's correct. Α 18 Tell us about that card. 0 This is the ProCard that they give if you meet the 19 20 standard, and it's provided in your packet at the end of the training, if, again, you meet that standard and the 21 22 instructor -- the certified instructor providing the 23 training believes that you qualify to that level. 24 So this level then, is it higher than average? 0 25 Yes, but it's also expected of a pilot that is flying for Α

1 the airlines.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

You know, there's a higher standard-- the requirements and the tolerances within your deviation from your altitude and headings are much narrower.

Instead of a ten degree-- just to give you an example, instead of a ten degree variation within heading, it's narrowed down to a five degree variation when you fly.

It's a much higher standard than just a commercial-rated pilot.

- 10 Q All right. And if we look at the back of the card, which
 11 I think is the next slide, will that tell us the date
 12 that you got the card?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 | Q And so when did you get the card?
- 15 A August 17th, 2016.
- 16 Q All right. Okay. And did that become a part of your training record?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 | Q Okay. And did you receive any other cards?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- The second time I attended FlightSafety in
 February-- I'm sorry, it was in October of 2015, I
 received a ProCard at that time as well.
- 24 0 And is that in this file?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

```
1
        All right. Let's turn to that page.
    Q
 2.
            There's one that we just looked at.
 3
            Does this one say, "Elite"?
 4
    Α
        Yes, sir, it did.
 5
        Okay. So let's look at the other one, the one that you
    0
        got-- was it October of 2015?
 6
 7
    Α
        I'm sorry--
        Is it not in there?
 8
 9
        The date is incorrect.
10
            It's February -- it's February of 2016. I'm sorry.
11
        Okay. February.
    0
12
            Okay. Let's put that one up on the screen.
13
            What Bates stamp number is that?
14
    Α
        5324.
15
                           MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. Is that the
16
        right one, Greg?
17
            Yeah, it looks like it's February 24.
18
                                         (Inaudible crosstalk.)
19
        (By Mr. Sheridan) Is that the right card?
    Q
20
        Yes, sir, it is.
    Α
21
       (Inaudible.)
    Q
22
    Α
        Yes.
23
        And tell us, what's the difference between this card and
24
        the one you got in August of 2016?
        The elite proficiency is the only difference within these
25
    Α
```

1 cards.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

It's still a ProCard, but I don't know-- I don't know what the difference is between just the proficiency card and an elite card, but in order to receive this card, you have to meet that-- in order to receive a ProCard, I should say, you have to meet that ATP standard.

- Q Okay. Got it.
- 9 So did Lieutenant Nobach talk to you about your 10 success at school in August?
- 11 A No, sir, I don't recall that he did.
- 12 Q Okay. Now, in August, around the 8th, did you and
 13 Lieutenant Nobach talk about renewing your driver's
 14 license-- I'm sorry, did you communicate about--
- 15 A Not directly, but there was communications with my direct

 16 supervisors, the sergeants, regarding the renewal of a

 17 driver's license.
- 18 Q Did you get approval from the sergeants to use work time 19 to get your driver's license?
- 20 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 21 | Q Have you done that in the past?
- 22 A I had not, but other employees, including the sergeants, 23 had been authorized to get their driver's license--
- MR. BIGGS: Objection; Your Honor,
- 25 this is hearsay.



THE COURT: Overruled. 1 2 (By Mr. Sheridan) And so tell us, what happened in that 3 regard? Well, July is when my birthday is, and that is when my 4 Α 5 driver's license was expiring. We were extremely busy. 6 Chris Noll was either out on FMLA or he was out 7 doing vacation. 8 9 He might have been on vocation during this 10 timeframe. 11 Anyway, I was the only pilot in the daytime that was 12 really filling a lot of the missions, and so it was really difficult for me to get away and go do this. 13 14 At the end of my shift he said, "Just take a couple 15 hours. Go get your license," and I've been asking all week, "Hey, I need to get this done. My birthday is 16 17 coming up. I need to go get my license." 18 Finally he just said, "Okay. We've got a window 19 here. Why don't you go do this day to go get it done." 20 I did that. 21 I didn't hear anything of it for-- my birthday is on 22 July 24th, so I didn't hear anything about it for, I 23 don't know, maybe a couple weeks, and then Sergeant 24 Hatteberg asked me if I went and obtained my driver's

license at work, and I said, "Well, yeah.

25

Sergeant

Sweeney approved it, " who was my -- who was the sergeant 1 2 that was working that day that told me to go get my 3 license. 4 Hatteberg directed me that Lieutenant Nobach said 5 that I need to take vacation time for that time I went to renew my driver's license. 6 I basically said, "What? Sweeney's driver's license 7 photo is him wearing a flight suit. It's-- okay," and I 8 didn't make much of a big deal about it, but Nobach must 9 10 have been going through my timesheets because I noted it 11 on my timesheet that I left the office to go obtain my 12 driver's license, so that's what happened with the 13 license issue. 14 All right. Okay. And then that's -- and that's in 0 15 August? Yes, sir. 16 Α 17 Okay. All right. So then did there come a time that you 18 received a negative 095 from Hatteberg? 19 Yes, sir, I did. Α 20 All right. Let's take a look at Exhibit No. 83. 0 Do you need the book? 21 22 Yes, sir. Α 23 Sorry. Q 24 THE COURT: Which exhibit number is

that ?

25

MR. SHERIDAN: It's 83, Your Honor. 1 2 (By Mr. Sheridan) All right. And do you recognize this document? 4 Yes, sir. This is the 095 I received from Sergeant Α 5 Hatteberg. 6 MR. SHERIDAN: All right. And Plaintiff offers Exhibit No. 83. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 9 MR. BIGGS: No, Your Honor, no 10 objection. 11 THE COURT: Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 83 12 is admitted. 13 (Exhibit No. 83 admitted into 14 evidence.) 15 (By Mr. Sheridan) All right. This outlines -- it says 16 it's-- employee status is permanent, and it's counseling. 17 Since-- can you tell us what this was about? 18 In September 14th state patrol aviation was tasked with providing Department of Natural Resources Commissioner 19 20 Goldmark and his assistant, Sandra Kaiser -- and I don't 21 recall what her role was with the Department of Natural 22 Resources at that time, but we were asked to provide a transportation flight for Commissioner Goldmark to a 23 24 couple different locations. 25 I think Bellingham was the drop-off in the morning

- and in the afternoon the pickup was at Darrington, if I 1 2 recall correctly.
- 3 Okay. And were you assigned to do that flight, to your Q knowledge? 4
- 5 Α Well, to my knowledge, I was assigned the day before that 6 flight.
- Okay. Tell us what accusation was made in that regard 7 Q about your knowledge. 8
- 9 The accusation was that I inadequately preplanned for Α 10 this transportation flight and that I was assigned this 11 flight for at least two weeks.
- 12 And was that accurate? 0
- 13 No, sir. Α

17

18

19

20

24

25

- 14 All right. Tell us the events that occurred that led to 0 15 this 095.
- I was-- I was doing a lot of different transportation Α flights in the Cessna and King air during this timeframe, and I had flown Commissioner Goldmark and Ms. Kaiser, I think, on the 6th of September, and -- anyway, it was a very busy time, and so things were constantly changing, 21 so I was doing the best to check the schedule and keep my 22 calendar -- the calendar that I kept, so I had access to 23 it on my phone, the best I could.

I had-- for the week of the 14th I had all the flights that were on the calendar in my phone, and-- I

need to take a minute to explain how flights get 1 2 scheduled and the process of that. Please do. Q Okay. So a flight -- a request for a flight would come 4 Α 5 in, and typically it would be with Brenda Biscay or one of the sergeants, and sometimes Lieutenant Nobach, and 6 they would put it on the calendar. 7 Sometimes it would be put on a hold--8 9 MR. SHERIDAN: I'm sorry, I quess we 10 didn't move to publish. THE COURT: No objection. You may 11 12 publish. 13 MR. SHERIDAN: Thanks. 14 Let me just put-- (Inaudible crosstalk.) 15 (By Mr. Sheridan) Go ahead. I'm sorry. 16 Okay. So sometimes flights would be put on a hold where Α 17 we just kind of put "Reserve" on the calendar, so that 18 way a plane would be available if the flight indeed ends 19 up being confirmed by the passenger, the person making 20 the request. Throughout the week I had put all these other 21 flights in my calendar, and I was aware of these. 22 23 Well, the other thing that happens when a flight comes into aviation, is what's called a flight itinerary, 24 25 and it was simply an e-mail that was sent out to the

passengers and the pilots.

What was also required to be done is the pilot's supervisors were supposed to be CC'd and Lieutenant Nobach CC'd on any flight itinerary that was sent out to the passengers.

This was a standard procedure in aviation, and that wasn't done on this flight or on this scheduled flight on the 14th, but— so what happened with this flight on the 14th and the scheduling of this flight is the day before, Sergeant Sweeney and I flew Chief Batiste and a couple other passengers over to Spokane for an event, and we—for whatever reason, there was a delay with Chief Batiste, so we are extended about five to six hours over there, and I don't know what the reason was, but we were waiting for the chief to come back so we could transport him back to Tacoma or Olympia.

We get back a lot later than anticipated, and it's about 10:30, 11:00 at night.

I walk into the office area of the hangar after we put the King air back in the hangar, and I realize that I haven't scheduled a flight the next day.

I walked out in the hangar, and I told Sergeant

Sweeney that "I guess I have a flight tomorrow morning

at"-- which I think-- I think the flight was at 8 a.m.,

which would have required me to come to the hangar at

like 6:30 in the morning, so there was some issues with that with pilot scheduling time.

He says, "Well, how long have you been on the schedule," and I'm like, "I have no idea. It's not in my calendar," and I couldn't tell him.

I couldn't tell him like when indeed that I was scheduled with that flight.

He grabs his phone, and he starts going through his phone looking for e-mails for a flight itinerary, and he says, "Well, that's weird. I don't have a flight itinerary for this either."

He was my sergeant at the time, so he would have been CC'd on any flight itinerary that was sent out.

- Q Typically a flight itinerary originates from which organization?
- 16 A From state patrol aviation.
- Typically it was Brenda Biscay that would send it out.
- 19 Q And in a typical situation, when it was sent out, how was 20 it sent out, via--
- 21 A Via e-mail.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q Okay. And typically the pilot that was the one who was going to fly that flight, could you tell us whether it was typical to CC that pilot?
- 25 A Yes, sir, that was always done.



- 1 Q How about the sergeants? Would they typically be CC'd?
- 2 A Yes, sir, that was required as well.
- 3 Q Was that done in this case?
- 4 A No, sir.
- 5 Q All right. Tell us what happened next.
- 6 A So Hatteberg is, like I said, looking through his phone.
- 7 He doesn't see a flight itinerary, so I pull out my
- 8 | phone, I'm going through my e-mail, and I say, "I don't
- 9 have one either."
- 10 He keeps asking me, "When were you assigned the
- flight," and I'm like-- you know, at that time I couldn't
- 12 tell him.
- "I don't know."
- I checked the calendar routinely. It's right where
- we sign in and out of work--
- 16 | Q Could you refresh the jury's knowledge of who maintains
- 17 | that calendar and where it sits?
- 18 A Oh, yes, sir.
- 19 I don't think I talked about this yet, but in
- 20 aviation there's a master-- they call it a master
- 21 calendar, and it's a big desk calendar.
- 22 You don't really see them a lot anymore in offices,
- 23 but, you know, it's couple feet by a couple feet.
- 24 It's a large desk calendar, and our schedule is
- 25 maintained on that large desk calendar for flights, and

it's written in pencil so it can be easily changed at any time, but that was-- that was kind of the-- that was where everything that aviation was involved in would be scheduled or was supposed to be scheduled and written on that calendar.

Our requirement as pilots was to check that daily and check that before we went home in the evenings to make sure that-- you know, if there was any changes for that next coming day, and so that's what happened that day, is I checked the calendar and noticed I had this flight.

So after we had this conversation about no flight itinerary, Hatteberg and I walked inside to check the weather for the next morning because, again, I had limitations on my Cessna transport flights, which restricted me from transporting non state patrol employees in instrument conditions.

If there was fog at the Olympia airport, which oftentimes there was, I could not take off from the Olympia airport with those non state patrol, Department of Natural Resources employees.

We went upstairs and checked the weather real quick, and sure enough it was forecasted to have a VFR-- IFR conditions. I think it was either fog or low ceilings that day.

We had to call-- for whatever reason, Hatteberg couldn't adjust to do the flight, so he had to call Sergeant Sweeney at night and ask him to come in at 6:15 or 6:30 in the morning, and he wasn't very happy at all.

He's on the phone talking to Hatteberg explaining that "Ryan has been on that calendar for two weeks. I scheduled him two weeks ago for that flight," and I'm like, "No way have I been on that calendar for two weeks," because there's no way, because all the other flights that were scheduled for that week were in my calendar.

I would enter them into my calendar with sometimes even reminders, and I don't have it, and there's no flight itinerary.

It didn't quite make sense.

The other thing-- and I missed a piece about the scheduling of a flight.

Brenda, once it goes on the master calendar, she puts together a document, a flight sheet, for the pilot to take with him on the flight, and that flight sheet is stored in an organizer not far from her desk, so when we go to do a flight that day, we grab that flight sheet, so we would log our departure times and our arrival times at the airport, and our passengers would be listed on that flight sheet.

1		There was a flight sheet in that organizer, but
2		there was no pilot assigned, which means when that flight
3		got scheduled, there was no pilot assigned to that
4		flight, so the flight itinerary was made, but there was
5		no pilot assigned.
6	Q	Do you know how far in advance of the flight that flight
7		itinerary was made?
8	A	I do not.
9	Q	Okay. Go ahead.
10	A	So Sergeant Sweeney comes in, in the morning, and does
11		the flight.
12		Again, he's not happy because his belief is that I
13		was on the schedule for two weeks.
14		Hatteberg Sweeney does the morning portion of the
15		flight or this IFR conditions forecasted, and then I did
16		the afternoon portion where I picked up the passengers at
17		Darrington I believe it was Darrington airport and flew
18		them back to Olympia.
19		Then I believe it was maybe the following that
20		upcoming Monday I think it was on the 19th let me
21		refer to this.
22		Yeah, it was on the 19th, I get called into Sergeant
23		Hatteberg's office, and "Hey, Ryan, why don't you come in
24		my office here and shut the door."

"Okay."

25

Then he slides me this 095, and I'm reading this, and I'm like, "Uh, Jeff, this is-- I can't believe you're writing me up for this because things-- this is muddy. There was mistakes made during the scheduling of this flight that don't make sense, and how can you tell me that I was scheduled this flight two weeks ago when there's no flight itinerary?"

Just nothing added up.

Jeff agreed, but he says, "You know, well, Sweeney says that you were scheduled this two weeks ago."

I'm like, "Jeff, you're my sergeant. You know what my schedule is. You would have a flight itinerary also," granted everybody looks at the master calendar routinely.

I'm like-- I'm trying to explain to him, "This is not an accurate record of what happened."

So I verbally told him, "I strongly disagree with this."

I signed it, which doesn't admit guilt in any way, it just means that you received it, and I immediately said, "Can I go make a copy of this," and he's like, "Yeah, whatever."

So I walk downstairs to make a copy, and I walked over to Brenda, and I was polite, and I just said, "Hey, Brenda, could you do me a favor and pull up the e-mail communication that you had with Department of Natural

Resources? I have some questions about this flight on the 14th."

She's like, "Yeah," so she pulls it up, and where I was-- I was standing next to her desk on the other side of the master calendar, where I could see her monitor, so she pulls it up, and she says, "Well, the flight"-- I was taking notes, and so I'm writing this down.

She says, "Well, the request came in on the 6th, but then they changed -- made some changes throughout that scheduling," and I look down at the calendar, and there's a hold written next to this flight.

- Q What do you mean "a hold"?
 - I mentioned a minute ago, sometimes when we get a request for a flight from Department of Natural Resources, for example, sometimes the state patrol, we would put a hold on the calendar just to reserve that airplane for that flight, so maybe we just got an initial request that says, "hey, we are still in final stages of confirming this event or this travel, but we want to make sure a plane is going to be available," so we would put a hold on the schedule in that circumstance.

And so I see the hold, and I said, "When did it come off a hold," and she couldn't tell me.

- Q Let me stop you there for a second.
- MR. SHERIDAN: Greg, would you go to

the second paragraph at the bottom? 1 2 MR. GLOVER: Second from the bottom? 3 MR. SHERIDAN: (Inaudible crosstalk.) (By Mr. Sheridan) Will you just read the last sentence, 4 Q 5 Detective, of the second--The last--6 Α 7 Q --sentence of the second paragraph. "Sweeney advised"--8 9 Yes, sir. Α 10 "Sergeant Sweeney advised he assigned and placed 11 this DNR transport flight to you on the master calendar 12 approximately two weeks prior." 13 Okay. All right. Now, can we take a look at 213, 14 Exhibit No. 213, please? 15 Is that in your book, Detective? 16 All right. Open to 213 and tell me if you recognize 17 that. 18 Yes, sir, I do. Α And what is it? 19 20 These are some pictures that I took with my phone of the Α 21 master calendar of the scheduling of the flight on the 22 15th. 23 And do you recognize this to be the calendar page in Q 24 question? 25 Α Yes, sir, it is.

1	MR. SHERIDAN: All right. Plaintiff
2	offers Exhibit No. 213.
3	THE COURT: Any objection?
4	MR. BIGGS: Just when these were
5	taken, if I may, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Go ahead.
7	MR. BIGGS: Yes. Can you tell us,
8	please, Detective, when was this photograph taken on your
9	phone? What is the actual date.
10	THE WITNESS: Probably the 19th when I
11	was talking to Brenda.
12	MR. BIGGS: September 19?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
14	MR. BIGGS: And when was the flight?
15	THE WITNESS: According to this
16	calendar, September 15th.
17	MR. BIGGS: Okay. No objection, Your
18	Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right. Plaintiff's
20	Exhibit No. 213 is admitted.
21	(Exhibit No. 213 admitted into
22	evidence.)
23	MR. SHERIDAN: And we would like to
24	publish.
25	Q (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. So now, I had I had that you

- started to talk about your interactions with Brenda that day, and could you tell us what this calendar means and where we should be looking?
 - A Yes. So under Thursday, the 15th, the third entry down, it says, "Cessna DNR," and right next to "DNR" there's an erased-- well, it looks like a smudge mark probably, but it's an erased word "Hold" on the calendar.
- 8 Q Okay. And-- okay, and what do you make of that?
 - A It tells me that there was most likely a pilot not assigned that flight, but there was a plane reserved for a period of time after receiving the request on the 6th from DNR, but, again, there was no-- there was nothing to show when I was assigned that flight, and so that's what I was trying to determine because my belief was that I wasn't assigned the flight until the day before when I was in Spokane with the chief extended over hours.

I was trying to figure this out, but I couldn't-you know, with this conversation with Brenda, I couldn't
completely determine that there was enough to show that
my belief was true and accurate.

She-- the last e-mail that she pulled up-- there was, I think, four e-mails with Department of Natural Resources, but the last e-mail that she pulled up was an e-mail to Department of Natural Resources at 3:50 something, and she leaves at 4:00 in the afternoon,

- saying that "Ryan Santhuff is going to be your pilot tomorrow morning," with my cell phone number.
- B | Q What day is that? Do you know?
- 4 A This would have been on the 13th before she left work.
- 5 | Q Will you explain to the jury-- you said "pulled it up."
- Where were you standing or sitting where you could see her screen?
- 8 A Well, I was standing just off to her side, but there's a desk in-between us that had the master calendar on it.
 - If Brenda was sitting at her computer, I was standing right here on her left side, and her monitor is angled so I could see the e-mails that she was pulling up, you know, at a slight angle, but I could see what she was referencing as she pulled them up.
- Okay. And tell us, did you see-- the e-mail that said your name, you said it was just before the end of the day, right?
- 18 A Well, it didn't have my-- it had my name as the pilot, 19 but, again, I wasn't on that e-mail.
- 20 Q That's what I wanted to ask.
- 21 Who was copied on the e-mail?
- 22 A There was no one copied on this e-mail.
- 23 | Q So who did it go to?
- 24 A To Department of Natural Resources, I believe
- 25 Commissioner Goldmark and Sandra Kaiser.

11

12

13

14

- I would have to reference the e-mail to be certain.
- 2 | Q Have you ever seen a copy of that e-mail since?
- 3 A No, sir.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4 Q Okay. So did you raise concerns about the 095 through your chain of command?
 - A Yes, I did.

Immediately after I met with Brenda, I walked upstairs to Jeff's office, and I asked him if he looked into this, did he actually do his due diligence to figure out when indeed I was assigned that flight, and he said, "No. I didn't need to because Sweeney said you were assigned this flight two weeks ago," and I said, "I don't think so, Jeff. I just talked to Brenda, and she sent an e-mail to Department of Natural Resources when we were sitting in Spokane waiting for the chief, that I was assigned this flight."

I was explaining this to him, and he says,
basically, "Well, it is what it is, you know. I am not
going to do anything about it, and take it as
constructive criticism that you need to start checking
the calendar and doing an adequate flight assessment
before last minute."

I'm like-- I'm explaining to Jeff, "Jeff, I'm telling you, man, I wasn't assigned this flight."

He just wouldn't-- he wasn't listening to what I was

saying.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I said, "Go ask Brenda for yourself," and he wouldn't do it.

I said, "Jeff, I hope to God"-- you know, I'm just-in the back of my mind, I'm like, "There's something
underlining here that he just doesn't want to listen to,"
and that's how I felt.

I just said, "Jeff, I hope to God you wrote me up because you honestly believe that I didn't adequately do this-- that you honestly believe that I deserve to be written up and Lieutenant Nobach had nothing to do with this," and he wouldn't answer me.

MR. BIGGS: I am going to object, Your Honor. If we're getting ready to talk about what the plaintiff's own thoughts were about whether or not somebody else was involved, that's not admissible.

MR. SHERIDAN: It's responsive--

MR. BIGGS: It's responsive to your

question. It's not admissible, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I am going to sustain the objection.

The jury will disregard the last comment.

I have just been informed that some new people have joined us via Zoom.

I just need to admonish you that you are to comply

Т		with the same orders as if you were in the courtroom.
2		That means that you cannot record the proceedings.
3		We only have one official record, and that is the record
4		that our clerk keeps.
5		Likewise, you are prohibited from taking any
6		screenshots, just like you would not be able to take
7		photos if you are in the courtroom.
8		A violation of these court orders will be basis for
9		being held in contempt and sanctions.
10		Mr. Sheridan?
11		MR. SHERIDAN: Thanks.
12	Q	(By Mr. Sheridan) During your conversation with Jeff
13		Hatteberg, did he tell you one way or the other whether
14		or not Nobach was involved in this?
15	A	He refused to answer that question.
16	Q	All right. So what happens next?
17		We get to around the 19th of September.
18		Did you have occasion to talk to your union
19		representative?
20	A	Yes, sir, I did.
21	Q	Tell us about that.
22		MR. BIGGS: Objection; Your Honor.
23		Once again, we are getting ready to talk about
24		hearsay.
25		What he told somebody else is hearsay.
	I .	

1		MR. SHERIDAN: This is
2		THE COURT: Ask a specific question.
3		MR. SHERIDAN: Your Honor, this is
4		offered for notice because it is Kenyon Wiley who goes up
5		the chain to report
6		THE COURT: Ask the question,
7		Mr. Sheridan.
8	Q	(By Mr. Sheridan) Can you tell us, did you convey your
9		concerns to him?
10		MR. BIGGS: Objection; Your Honor.
11		THE COURT: Overruled.
12		MR. BIGGS: Your Honor, if I may, what
13		Kenyon Wiley testifies may not be hearsay for notice of
14		what
15		THE COURT: I don't want to hear
16		argument in front of the jury.
17		MR. BIGGS: Thank you, Your Honor.
18		THE COURT: Overruled.
19	Q	(By Mr. Sheridan) Did you communicate your concerns to
20		him?
21	A	Yes, sir, I did.
22	Q	And was the subject matter bigger than the 095?
23	A	Much larger than the 095, yes, sir.
24	Q	What subject matter did you convey to him?
25	A	I reported to Kenyon Wiley my concern with the 095 and

why my belief was that this 095 was part of the retaliation towards me and the hostile work environment that I experienced in aviation and that this 095 was a result of that.

Q What else?

A And I explained to him that why I believe that I'm being retaliated against and this hostile work environment exits is because of the reporting of the breast-rubbing incident.

I explained to Kenyon that I reported this-- I explained to him in detail how that was reported, how that-- that Captain Alexander received the report, how Captain Alexander handled the sexual harassment complaint with an 095.

What that 095 did is stop any investigation from happening.

- Q Which 095?
- The 095 that Lieutenant Nobach and Brenda Biscay received, and I think this was touched a little bit on during early testimony, but that 095 protected them.

By issuing them that 095, even though it is a very low level of discipline, it stopped any further investigation from occurring because as a union-protected employee, that was an act of discipline towards them, so the agency could no longer go back and investigate that

- and discipline them for their acts.
- 2 Q Okay. So you communicated that to Kenyon?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 | Q Did you communicate anything else to him?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- I explained to him that there was some other very
 concerning things that had happened years past--
- 8 0 Like what?
- 9 A --in aviation, and one being ordered to delete e-mails to 10 prevent disclosure in a public records request.
- 11 | Q And what year did that happen?
- 12 A I believe 2014.
- 13 Q All right. And did you tell him who you-- who you alleged was behind that?
- 15 A Yes, sir, I did, and I explained at that time what I

 16 recalled of that event in the best detail that I could

 17 provide to him.
- 18 Q All right. And then could you tell us-- did you tell him 19 about any other things that concerned you at the time?
- 20 A Yes, sir.

22

23

24

25

As I explained the retaliation and the hostile work environment that I observed over the-- towards me and the acts of hostile-type work environment that I observed over the years that I was there, I explained those events, and I said that based on those observations and

how I had been treated, that Nobach-- he's retaliatory at 1 2 times. 3 I--MR. BIGGS: Objection, Your Honor. 4 5 Now we're talking about "over the years"--THE COURT: Sustained. 6 7 The jury will disregard that last comment. (By Mr. Sheridan) Let me get you back on track. 8 0 9 Okay. Sorry. Α 10 Did you tell him anything about a King air incident? 11 Yes, sir, I did. Α 12 Tell us what you communicated with him about the King 13 air. 14 I explained to him-- at some point early on in my career Α 15 in aviation the governor's office staff called aviation to request a flight, and I was downstairs talking to 16 17 Brenda for something. 18 Early in my career in aviation, if I wasn't 19 training, I would oftentimes help Brenda with different 20 Sometimes I would be out in the hangar helping tasks. 21 the mechanics. 22 Early on I always had to have an instructor -- a 23 sergeant or a senior pilot to fly with me, and so if I 24 didn't have someone to fly with me and train me that day, 25 then I would often be helping out around the hangar.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

2.2

24

25

This day in particular, I was down helping Brenda with something, and I don't recall exactly what that was, but we were talking down at her desk, and I'm standing on the other side of this master calendar.

Like I explained earlier, her desk, it kind of wraps around, but on the left side where she sits is the master calendar, and you can see it from the other side.

I am standing over there, and we are having a conversation about whatever task I am working on, and the phone rings, and it's the governor's office, and she asks for a specific date, and Brenda identifies that date on the calendar, which shows that there's nothing there as a--

- Q How do you know it shows the -- (inaudible).
- 15 A Like you saw the calendar earlier, Exhibit No. 213, if
 16 you were to look at the calendar and there was
 17 maintenance scheduled or an aircraft was down for
 18 maintenance, it would be-- there would be a line through
 19 the dates that the maintenance was scheduled, and it
 20 would say what the maintenance was and the aircraft that
 21 was down for maintenance.
 - | Q Did you observe the date with your own eyes?
- 23 A Yes, sir.

She opened up the calendar to the day, and she identified the date, and I could hear the conversation--

the one side of her conversation, so she identified the date.

Then she put the governor's office on hold.

She yelled into Nobach's office, which is just around the wall, and his door is open, and she says, "Hey, boss, Governor's office is on the phone and is asking for a flight on"-- whatever date this was.

He said, "Tell him it's down for maintenance," and words to that effect.

I-- she-- this is what she does, she looks at the calendar, she says, "Uh, tell them it's down for maintenance? There's nothing here. There's nothing on the calendar," and he says, "Tell them it's down for maintenance."

She's on the phone, she's got her phone like this, and she looks at me, and she's like, "Okay."

She is kind of shaking her head and whispers, "Okay" to me, and I'm like, "What in the heck is going on?"

Nobach comes out of his office and basically says, "You know what"-- other words, but "Screw him."

We were dealing with some budget issues at the time, from what I recall, and he says this, that "He needs to feel the hit when we don't have a plane available for him for these last-minute flights."

I was blown away.



1		I remember walking up the stairs like, "Unreal,"
2		like we I remember thinking to myself how much of an
3		impact this could have on aviation and the state patrol
4		if something like this got out to the governor's office.
5	Q	So can you tell us what year that happened, and how much
6		tenure did you have in the organization?
7	A	What I recall is it was sometime in 2014, and I don't
8		recall exactly the month.
9		I started in 2014, and it's my belief that it
10		happened in that first six months because that's when I
11		was in the training program and I had the most
12		limitations, which would prevent me from being out flying
13		most of the days I was available to fly.
14	Q	Okay. And now, this is September 2016, right, so
15		presumably a couple years later?
16		Can you tell us why you didn't report it beforehand
17		and why you reported it now?
18	A	I was in a position in 2016, September 2016, where I was
19		really contemplating if I could stay working in aviation.
20		Anyway, I guess back then, in 2014, I had landed
21		my what I felt like was my dream job man so I
22		didn't want to create waves, and I was very concerned
23		about melding with this small group of people and not
24		wanting to not wanting to create waves and affect those
25		relationships.

In September of 2019 (sic.), I had been dealing with this environment for so long, that I knew that things had to change soon, very soon, or I needed to get out of there.

When I called Kenyon Wiley, you know, that was me reaching out for help, and I just felt like those relationships had already been so severely impacted by the reporting of the sexual harassment situation, that what did I have to lose, you know?

Anyway, that's why.

- 11 | Q So you communicated what you just told us to Mr. Wiley?
- 12 A To who? I'm sorry.
- 13 | Q Mr. Wiley, Kenyon Wiley.
- 14 A Regarding the King air flight, yes, sir, I did.
- 15 Q Okay. So now tell us-- just tell us what happened back 16 in 2014 regarding the e-mail destruction incident.
- 17 A I'm sorry, can you say the question again?
- 18 | 0 Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

22

23

24

25

- Tell us what happened back in 2014 regarding the e-mail destruction incident.
 - A Okay. So what I recall is in 2014 Chris Noll and I were assigned -- well, every year I was in aviation, we were assigned to assist with a May Day event. That's a big deal, and oftentimes it involves the state capitol or a large protest in Seattle.

We would typically use the Cessna 206 that had the fleet air camera with tremendous zoom capabilities and also has downlink abilities so we can downlink that video feed from the airplane to people on the ground, so it's a tremendous asset for officers that are doing riot control or, you know, if they work in a command center, we would downlink that image to the commander so we could—it was instant feedback for them where we could watch the riots or property damage take place.

In 2014 there was a protest at the capitol campus, and Chris Noll and I were tasked to assist with that event, if needed.

In 2014 state patrol aviation received a public records request for that event and e-mails pertaining to the planning of that event.

It turns out that Chris Noll and I didn't actually fly that year, but we-- it was-- you know, what I recall, it was like-- that Monday after May 4th that Chris Noll and I are sitting at the computer upstairs-- there's two desktop computers that look out to the runway.

Lieutenant Nobach and Brenda Biscay came upstairs, and they're sitting behind us.

We turn around, we are talking with them, and
Lieutenant Nobach says, "Hey, we got wind that there's
this public records request coming into aviation. I need

you guys to go in and delete the e-mails pertaining to this event," and, you know, at first I'm-- I remember thinking like, "Uh, okay. This is unusual," strange that this request would even be happening, for one.

So Chris Noll had his computer e-mail up.

Lieutenant Nobach identified which e-mail in the inbox
that he wanted removed, and this is Outlook is the e-mail
program that we use.

He identified which e-mail that he wanted deleted. Christ Noll deleted it.

Nobach instructed him to delete everything in the "deleted" file, and he said, "Okay. Now go to your 'recovery' folder and delete everything in there too."

Chris Noll-- we were never instructed on a "recovery" folder from the state patrol. I didn't even know it existed. I had never even heard of it up to this point.

He says, "Okay. Check that box up here on the heading somewhere," and "Okay, this is your 'recovery' folder," so when you delete items out of your 'deleted' folder, they go into this recovery folder, apparently, and I don't know how long they're retained in there, but he wanted everything-- there's a whole-- just like your "deleted" folder, it's a whole bunch of e-mails that you deleted out of your inbox, that's what this "recovery"

folder looked like.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

He wanted everything deleted out of there.

Essentially there was no way for us to pull up these e-mails again. They were gone.

At that time I thought, like, "He's wiping them off the server. How is he doing that or why are we doing this," but that was that event.

Then I had these e-mails as well. I had to delete them the same way, same fashion, and I had to be shown where this "recovery" folder was again, and then what I recall is that later Paul Speckmaier came into the office upstairs, he was required to delete these e-mails, Sborov, and I don't specifically remember Hatteberg deleting the e-mails or being there when Hatteberg had to delete the e-mails, but I would-- it's my belief that they-- we had an e-mail account set up that went to all pilots, and that's why-- since-- since Speckmaier had to delete the e-mails too, yet Chris Noll and I were the ones that were assigned this flight, it's my belief that that e-mail was--

MR. BIGGS: Objection, Your Honor.

What the complainant's belief is, is immaterial.

MR. SHERIDAN: Let me re-ask that

question.

THE COURT: Yeah.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- 2 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) What was your understanding about the
- 3 existence of any larger files?
- 4 | Was there something called a pilots' file?
- 5 A I don't believe so.
- 6 Q We are going to move on then.
- 7 A Okay.
- 8 Q So did you consider this to be a serious thing in 2014?
- 9 A Yes, I did.
- 10 | Q And you did it anyway?
- 11 A I did.
- 12 | Q Why?
- 13 A You know, the same reason that I explained with the governor's thing.
- This was early on, May of 2014. I was new in the section. I had been there for five months, and, you know, again, I didn't want to-- I didn't want to ruin my relationship with people in the section and it affect my
- 19 career in the unit, so--
- 20 Q But in September of 2016 why did you pass that
- information on to Union Representative Wiley?
- 22 A My relationships with those people in the unit and that
- environment that I had when I first started in aviation
- 24 was completely different.
- 25 | Q Okay. Looking back, was it the right thing to do to

- withhold-- to not report the King air event or the e-mail
 destruction event in 2014?

 It was the wrong thing to do, yes.
- 4 Q And can you tell us, what did you put over doing the right thing back in 2014?
- A My career, my livelihood, my ability to provide for my family, my relationships with people.
 - Q All right. So did there come a time that you learned that there had been, on your behalf, a complaint filed that contained the information you just described?
- 11 A Can you say that question again?
- 12 | Q Yes.

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Did there come a time, in September 2016, where you learned that a complaint had been filed on your behalf containing this information we're discussing?

A Yes, sir, there was.

On the 19th of September, when I had this conversation with Kenyon Wiley, it was on the way home from work after being written up by Hatteberg, and I reported these things to him.

I was still very concerned about reporting to the chain of command and internal affairs intentionally refusing the governor's service and the deletion of e-mails.

I said, "Let me sleep on this, man," so I slept on

1 | it.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

I woke up the next day thinking that this-- it needed to be reported, and so the next day, on the 20th, the morning of the 20th, I said, "Go ahead and report it, Kenyon."

He went down to internal affairs, met with
Lieutenant Dan Sharp and Sergeant Bruce Maier, and
reported the sexual harassment incident, the retaliation,
hostile work environment, the intentional deletion of
e-mails on a public records request, and intentionally
refusing the governor a flight for financial purposes.

- Q All right. And to whom did he report it?
- 13 A To Lieutenant, which would be-- the assistant commander
 14 of internal affairs is the person that would be directly
 15 underneath Captain Saunders.
- 16 | O And his name again?
- 17 A Lieutenant Dan Sharp.
- 18 Q Okay. All right. Take a look at Exhibit No. 89, and let me know if you need the book.
- 20 All right. What is Exhibit No. 89?
- 21 A Exhibit No. 89 is an internal incident report, also 22 referred to as an IIR, that would initiate an 23 investigation within the state patrol.
- 24 MR. SHERIDAN: Plaintiff offers
- 25 Exhibit No. 89.



It has already been 1 THE COURT: 2. admitted. 3 MR. SHERIDAN: It's already admitted. 4 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. 5 MR. SHERIDAN: All right. Let's publish if we can. 6 7 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) All right. Great. Let's start at the 8 top. And this document is created by whom? 9 10 On the heading it shows the complaint (inaudible) as Α 11 Johnny Alexander and "Received by Johnny Alexander," so 12 I'm assuming this was filled out by Captain Alexander. 13 All right. Let's look at the summary of allegations. Q 14 It says that "It's alleged on March 30th, 2016 the 15 lieutenant allegedly told Trooper Ryan Santhuff he may 16 retaliate against him because of counseling the 17 lieutenant received in an 095 from Captain Alexander." 18 Is that an accurate statement of your concern? 19 Not at all. Α 20 Okay. Can you tell us what your concern was? 21 Well, let me clarify that again. Α 22 That was a concern that retaliation stemmed from 23 that, but I did not know for certain that an 095 even 24 existed at that point, for Lieutenant Nobach and Biscay, 25 other than what I was told.

- 1 Q And could you remind the jury who told you that there was an 095?
- 3 A Sergeant Hatteberg.
- 4 Q All right. And so you weren't sure there was one, so what was your understanding of what should happen?
- A Well, my concern regarding the retaliation was the report
 of the sexual harassment complaint, but what's
 interesting is that's not listed here at all, and so
 it's-- Alexander covered that up.
- 10 | 0 What?
- 11 A The sexual harassment complaint, and he did not want to
 12 indicate that here, so that's-- that's why this was
 13 written the way it was.
- Q Okay. And then Captain Alexander writes, "It's further alleged that on September 19th, 2016, the lieutenant retaliated against Trooper Santhuff by ordering Sergeant Hatteberg to negatively counsel Trooper Santhuff for not reviewing the master flight schedule."
- 19 Is that accurate?
- 20 A Not completely.
- 21 | O How so?
- 22 A Well, because I didn't know for certain that Nobach 23 ordered Sergeant Hatteberg to do this, but that was--24 that's how I felt that that happened.
- 25 Q And then it says -- the last line is, "It's further

alleged that Lieutenant manipulated the aircraft 1 2. maintenance schedule to purposefully ground the King air 3 aircraft for political reasons, making it unavailable for 4 certain executive missions." 5 Is that an accurate statement? That's fairly accurate, yes. 6 Α 7 Q Now, is anything missing from this summary? The public records report, which Alexander mentioned 8 Α during his testimony. 9 10 The e-mail destruction? 0 11 Yes, sir. Α 12 Okay. And after this IIR was issued, did Captain Alexander talk to you? 13 14 No, sir. Α 15 Before it was issued did he talk to you? No, sir, he did not. 16 Α 17 All right. Q 18 THE COURT: Mr. Sheridan, is this a 19 good time to take our morning break. 20 MR. SHERIDAN: This is, yes. 21 THE COURT: All right. Members of the 2.2 Jury, let's take our 15-minute recess. 23 COURT BAILIFF: All rise. 24 (Jury exits.) 25 THE COURT: Thank you. We will be in

```
recess for 15 minutes.
 1
                                  (Recess 10:12 to 10:29 a.m.)
 2
 3
                       THE COURT:
                                    Thank you. Please be
 4
     seated.
 5
         Can you please bring in the jury?
         All right, and we're back on the record, and we have
 6
     some additional people that have joined the Zoom session,
 7
     and I just want to make sure that you are all aware that
 8
 9
     you are prohibited from recording the proceeding.
10
         The rules, whether-- even though you are watching
     via Zoom, are the same rules as if you were in the
11
12
     courtroom.
13
         We only have one official record, and that's the
14
     record kept by our clerk.
15
         Likewise, you are prohibited from taking any
     screenshots, just like you would not be able to take any
16
17
     photos in the courtroom.
18
         A violation of my court order could be basis for
19
     sanctions and being held in contempt.
20
                       COURT BAILIFF: All rise.
21
                                           (Jury enters.)
22
                       THE COURT: Thank you. Please be
23
     seated.
24
         Mr. Sheridan?
25
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Greg, could you put up
```

207 again? 1 2. We made a presentation error. The card that we showed initially was not the one that shows "Elite" on it, so I just wanted to put it up. 4 5 (By Mr. Sheridan) Can you tell us-- okay. There it is. Q All right. Is that the right picture of the card, 6 the elite card? 7 Yes, sir, it is. 8 Α 9 Okay. Thanks. 0 10 All right. So we were just talking about the IIR 11 that Alexander created, and that was-- was that on or 12 about the 21st? 13 The date on the IIR is--Α 14 Without putting it up--Q 15 Α The date on the IIR is September 21st, yes, sir. 16 About that time, maybe a day later, did there come a time 17 that there was a morning meeting that you had issues 18 with? 19 Well, yes, there was. Α 20 Tell us about the morning meeting around September 22nd. 21 That morning-- we would meet and have these daily morning Α 22 briefings in the pilots' office, and it would include 23 typically the entire staff.

Again, there's only about ten people, plus or minus a couple for attrition or if we hire some, but on average

24

25

it's about ten people in 2016.

There was myself, Sergeant Hatteberg, Jared Elliott, and Anson Statema were waiting for the morning meeting to begin.

- Q Could you tell us the status of the last two persons?
- A They were both fairly new pilots.

Jared Elliott was hired in 2016, and so was Anson Statema.

- Q All right. What happened next?
- A We're waiting for Lieutenant Nobach and Brenda Biscay to come upstairs, and the phone rings.

I answer the phone. It's Lieutenant Nobach, and he asks to speak to Sergeant Hatteberg who was sitting right next to me, so I said, "Hey, Lieutenant wants to talk to you," and I can hear the conversation, and he says, "Hey, why don't you, Jared, and Anson come down to my office," and he says—so he's like, "Uh, okay. We'll be right down."

He gets off the phone. He says, "Hey, Jared and Anson, we are going to go down to Lieutenant's office," and they walk out the door.

I'm sitting there like-- and I had just reported
this to OPS, internal affairs-- these allegations
reported to Kenyon went to internal affairs the day
before this happened, and I'm sitting there, and everyone

- goes down to Lieutenant's office for a meeting, leaving
 me in the pilots' office by myself.
- 3 Q Had you ever missed a morning meeting-- had you ever been excluded from a morning meeting before?
- 5 A No, sir, not like this, no.
- 6 Q All right. And then you'd already received the 095, right?
- 8 A Yes, sir, I had.
- 9 Q All right. Around this same time as the morning meeting
 10 exclusion, did you have any interactions with the
 11 mechanics in the hangar?
- 12 A Yes, sir.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 13 Q Tell us about that.
- 14 A That same morning I walk into the hangar a little earlier

 15 than 8 a.m., my start time, and the mechanics are

 16 standing around talking, which was a normal event.

We would start the morning off, talk Seahawks, just casual conversation in the hangar.

I walk up to the mechanics who are standing there talking, and as soon as I walk up and kind of get into the circle of the communication, they look at each other, and they all-- the three of them split, disperse.

I am standing there with my coffee, like, "Did that really just happen? Okay."

That happened -- I believe that was on the 22nd, as

- well, of September. 1 2 All right. Okay. Let's take a look at the September 3 timeframe on the chart. 4 Can you tell us -- the August, September timeframe, 5 what was your stress level at this time-- fear level? 6 I'm sorry. 7 Α Probably a ten. 8 Okay. All right. And how about stress? 9 A ten. Α 10 And how about humiliation? 11 Probably an eight. Α 12 And how about anxiety? 13 A ten. Α 14 Okay. How about anguish? 0 15 Probably a nine. Α 16 And how about loss of enjoyment of life? 17 Probably a nine. Α 18 Okay. All right. So now we're through September. 19 In October did you attend-- were you interviewed by 20 an investigator?
- 21 A Yes, sir.

23

24

25

The IIR that Alexander had produced started an investigation -- well, if you want to call it that.

It started a review of what happened with an investigator, Bruce Maier with internal affairs.

All right. Can you tell us, what-- were you asked all 1 0 2. the questions that covered all the topics that had been raised? 4 Well, not initially, no. Α 5 Okay. Tell us, what was covered in your interview with 0 Maier? 6 Well, eventually everything was covered that I had 7 Α reported to Kenyon Wiley, so the sexual harassment 8 9 report, I gave him numerous acts of retaliation and 10 hostile work environment against me as examples. 11 I reported the intentional deletion of e-mails to 12 avoid a public records request and intentionally refusing 13 to provide the governor service to push him to fund the 14 aviation section. All right. And let's take a look at Exhibit No. 91. 15 16 I have it. I have it. Α 17 Oh, you have it? Q 18 Yes. Α 19 Great. 0 20 What is 91? 21 THE COURT: That has not been-- oh, it 2.2 has? Okay. 23 (Inaudible crosstalk.) 24 THE COURT: Sorry. 25 THE WITNESS: Exhibit No. 91 is an

investigator's case log, which is supposed to be filled 1 2 out on any complaint received by a supervisor, but it also, as an investigation, is navigated by a detective, 3 4 so I fill these out during my course of business in my 5 current role, and also internal affairs detectives as they investigate a complaint, they would fill this out. 6 7 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. Now-- okay. MR. SHERIDAN: Plaintiff offers 8 9 Exhibit No. 91. 10 MR. GLOVER: That's already in. 11 That's already in? MR. SHERIDAN: 12 Okay. Great. 13 All right. Please publish. 14 THE COURT: It's already published. 15 MR. SHERIDAN: Sorry. 16 (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. And were you shown this during 17 that timeframe? During the investigation I was not. 18 19 I received this through a public records request 20 later. Okay. And on the entry that's dated October 3rd, 2016, 21 0 2.2 if you'll go to the next page, is this a list of the 23 complaints that you had raised in terms of retaliation? 24 Α This is a summary from Bruce Maier of what-- he 25 summarizes what I reported, but I will have to go through 1 them all to verify.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

It might take me some time.

If you would like me to do that, I can.

Q I guess let's just summarize each one.

The first one begins, "Shortly after the office meeting, during an all-sections meeting with all staff, Nobach was conducting sexual harassment training and reading regulations. According to Santhuff, Nobach stared him down."

Is that one of the things you reported?

- 11 A Yes, sir, it is.
- 12 Q Okay. And the next one?
- 13 A "Nobach extended his pilot progress timeline for no
 14 apparent reason. Santhuff said Nobach told him it was
 15 just a timeline thing and nothing more, and Santhuff
 16 accepted it and moved on."
- 17 | Q Okay. And was that one of the things you reported?
- 18 A Not to-- not exactly, but we did discuss the extension of
 19 the pilot progression chart, and that's what that's
 20 referring to.
- 21 | Q Next?
- 22 A "In April 2016, after an evaluation flight for his
 23 right-seat instructor qualification, Nobach failed him
 24 for something he had been told no other pilot had been
 25 required to do.

1		"Nobach then stopped his right-seat training.
2		Santhuff said he believed he was again getting singled
3		out."
4	Q	Okay. Is that an accurate summary of what you told him?
5	A	Not exactly, but it hits on the point, yes.
6	Q	Okay. And how about the next one?
7	A	"April, May 2016, after a training flight with Nobach
8		practicing ILS approaches under the hood, Santhuff
9		requested a debriefing, but Nobach had no time because he
10		was late for a meeting.
11		"According to Santhuff, Nobach told him there was
12		nothing significant to discuss, only minor issues to work
13		on.
14		"Santhuff said later that day Sweeney told him
15		Nobach told Sweeney that Santhuff almost flew the plane
16		into the trees on an approach.
17		"Santhuff stated that did not happen and he had
18		never been told that by Nobach."
19	Q	Okay. And was that an accurate summary?
20	A	Yes, but there was a lot more detail provided too.
21	Q	Let's go to the next one.
22	A	"May of 2016, on another training flight with Nobach,
23		Nobach failed him on several things he did not do and
24		told him it was a check flight.
25		"According to Santhuff, he had not been aware it was

a check flight and had little to no communication with 1 2 Nobach. 3 "Santhuff believed he was again being singled out in retaliation for reporting the incident in Nobach's office 4 in March of 2016." 5 All right. And what's the next one-- oh, was that an 6 0 7 accurate summary? I'd say so, yes. 8 Α 9 Okay. And how about the next one? 0 10 "Santhuff had been scheduled for King air training in Α 11 California during the summer of 2016. They cancelled the 12 training for coverage since another pilot was on FMLA 13 leave. 14 "According to Santhuff, he was still denied the 15 training after the other pilot returned to work, and Santhuff volunteered to attend the training on his own 16 17 time during leave but was not allowed to adjust his 18 vacation time. 19 "Santhuff said he found out later that other pilots 20 had been allowed to adjust his vacation time to accommodate his FMLA training. 21 "Santhuff believed he again had been singled out." 22 23 And was that an accurate summary? Q 24 Α Yes.

Okay. And is that the last one?

- 1 A I believe so.
- 2 | Q Okay. All right. Now, I want you to just look up at the
- 3 upper left-hand corner of any page.
- 4 What do you see there?
- 5 A This is an OPS case number.
- 6 Q Okay. And what does "PRELIM" mean to you?
- 7 A "PRELIM" is an abbreviation for "preliminary," for
- 8 "preliminary investigation."
- 9 Q When you were being interviewed, did you know this was a
- 10 | preliminary investigation?
- 11 A Not when this interview took place, no.
- 12 | Q All right. Did you have an understanding-- you heard the
- testimony of Chief-- of Captain Saunders, retired Captain
- Saunders, in court where he said it's really sort of the
- 15 beginning to decide if you do an investigation.
- 16 Do you recall that?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q Did anybody tell you that Sergeant Maier was not doing a
- 19 full-blown investigation?
- 20 A No, sir.
- 21 | Q And do you know if this case was dismissed at the
- 22 | preliminary investigation phase?
- 23 A Yes, sir, it was.
- 24 | Q By whom?
- 25 A By Captain Alexander at the time.

So to your knowledge, did Maier make any findings of fact 1 Q or assess credibility? 2. 3 He-- the-- he did assess credibility. Α 4 As he conducts these interviews, and he had met with 5 chief, at that time, Captain Alexander, regarding his belief of what occurred -- but his ability to assess 6 credibility was a little bit challenged because he 7 conducted some of these interviews over the phone and not 8 9 in-person. 10 I see. Q 11 Okay. So during this time did you have a meeting 12 with regard-- your chain of command regarding whether or 13 not you were due an investigation and what you should do? 14 I did. Α 15 Tell us what happened in October 2016. Can I make one point about this document here? 16 Α 17 Please, go ahead. Q Okay. So in Maier's timeline, after he interviewed me 18 19 and Hatteberg, he met with Captain Alexander to discuss 20 what I had reported. 21 At that timeframe he interviewed two people--2.2 MR. BIGGS: Objection, Your Honor. 23 That was well outside of his knowledge.

MR. SHERIDAN:

BAByers IIIII & Anderson

Let's pull up a

24

```
THE COURT: Hold on a second.
 1
 2
             Yeah, that's good.
        (By Mr. Sheridan) All right. What page are you looking
    Q
        at?
 4
 5
    Α
        The bottom of Page 4.
            It's Bates stamped 1268.
 6
        All right. Let's look at that.
 7
    Q
            It says -- this is Maier's report.
 8
 9
             "He said he briefed Captain Alexander on the status
10
        of the investigation, " and that's at 1630.
            Oh, and in nonmilitary time, is that 4:30?
11
12
        Yes, sir, it is.
    Α
13
        All right. "And went over the detailed summary of the
14
        Santhuff and Sergeant Hatteberg interviews.
15
            "At this time Captain Alexander requested the
16
        preliminary investigation be completed with the addition
17
        of Sergeant Sweeney as a witness.
            "E-mailed and advised Captain"--
18
19
                           MR. SHERIDAN: I think it's the one
20
        above, Greg. "Saunders."
21
                           MR. GLOVER: Oh, okay.
2.2
                          MR. SHERIDAN: Right there.
                                                        Yeah.
23
            Okay.
24
        (By Mr. Sheridan) All right. Did that concern you?
25
    Α
        Very much, so.
```

1 Q Why, sir?
2 Why, sir?
3 A Because inves

A Because investigations by the state patrol are required to -- investigators are required to interview all witnesses to any investigation.

Captain Alexander was considered the appointing authority to this investigation.

What that does is he has the ability to make a decision on the direction to take an investigation, and Captain Alexander was completely involved in the handling of the sexual harassment situation, which was outside of policy.

The-- which I had just told Bruce Maier that how the sexual harassment situation was handled and how I was ordered not to talk about it outside of aviation, including my union-- so he was aware of these things.

According to this document, it appears that he presented my concerns and my reports to Captain Alexander.

At that timeframe Captain Alexander orders this investigation to be stopped with two more witnesses to be interviewed, so the orders to stop this investigation came before those two witnesses were ever even interviewed or that information was put on the record.

When I met with Bruce Maier, for each one of those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

allegations that I brought forward, those reports of misconduct, I told him exactly who the witnesses were, exactly who to bring in and talk to, so for the public records request— the public records misconduct, I told him exactly who was involved or what I remembered was involved and what I observed, same with the governor's—intentionally refusing the governor's service, and all these acts of retaliatory acts, that I provided to him, which doesn't even touch on all of them.

I told him who he needed to call in and interview, and they didn't bring in any of the other pilots-- any of the other pilots to talk about the retaliation and the hostile work environment or the mistreatment towards me by Lieutenant Nobach.

This was no investigation at all. This was a manufactured investigation by Captain Alexander.

- Q All right. And did you get notice that the investigation had concluded?
- 19 A I did, but that was on-- during Captain Alexander-20 before that, I had sent an e-mail to Investigator Bruce
 21 Maier.
- 22 Q Is that Exhibit No. 98?
- 23 A Yes, sir, it is.
- 24 | Q All right. Let's take a look at 98.
- 25 All right. And this is already admitted and

published.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. And so tell us, why did you write this?

A I had heard that the witnesses that I'd requested to be talked to about my complaints and about the hostile work environment, retaliation, were not being called in for an interview.

My meeting with Bruce Maier was on October 3rd, and at that time I was notified that this was a preliminary--you know, I was a pretty naive trooper in regards to how investigations are supposed to take place in the administrative investigation manual.

I had never really taken the time to review that.

I had never been in trouble, other than some minor 095 counseling-type things as a young trooper.

So I didn't know how things were supposed to really work in regards to investigations and internal affairs.

What my major concern was is that they weren't calling any of these witnesses in for an interview.

I did know that that was required, and so I started to become very, very concerned.

There's a couple other things that raised major alarm here during this interview with Bruce Maier, is when I walked into the interview, he was the only person.

Investigations in the state patrol, down at internal affairs, requires more than one person.

MR. BIGGS: Objection, Your Honor. 1 2 Detective Santhuff just said he didn't know at the time what was involved. THE COURT: Overruled. 4 5 (By Mr. Sheridan) Go ahead. Q Bruce Maier was the only person in this room. 6 7 Now, I had been interviewed as a witness in past cases, misconduct with other employees, and there was 8 9 three people in the room for those. 10 He's the only person in the room. 11 You know, at this time I had trust in the state 12 patrol, and I believed that things were going to be 13 addressed appropriately by our internal affairs section. 14 I fully believed that, and I felt a lot of relief 15 that I was actually down there having this conversation and finally I was going to have some relief at work. 16 17 The other big red flag here is that this 18 investigation was not recorded, which is a standard 19 procedure with all internal affairs investigations. 20 record the -- they record the interviews and they send them out for transcription, and so about ten minutes into 21 this interview with Bruce Maier, I ask him, "Are you not 22 23 going to record this?" 24 He replies, "Oh, oh, we can. Do you want me to go 25 get the recorder out of my desk?"

Again, I trusted him. 1 I trusted that things were going to happen. 2 I said, "Well, you know" -- I felt like this was kind of an inconvenience for him, and I said, "I guess it's 4 fine. We can continue." 5 I wish I would have said, "Let's record this." 6 I wish I would have stuck to my gut on that one. 7 Those are some big concerns. 8 9 As I was -- as time went on, after my interview on 10 October 3rd, I became more and more concerned because I 11 knew they weren't calling anybody else in who witnessed this stuff against me, and I knew that it wasn't on 12 13 permanent record, and I -- that's what this e-mail is. 14 This e-mail is a summary of what was reported to OPS 15 so that I had some sort of proof of permanent record of what was reported to protect me and my career. 16 17 You know, these are pretty serious allegations that 18 I reported to internal affairs and state patrol, and I felt like this was going to all come back on me in some 19 20 way, and this was to protect myself and ask them to please investigate. 21 22 I still have never got a response to this e-mail. 23 Did you -- tell us who you sent the e-mail to. 0

I sent it to Bruce Maier on October 20th.

Okay. And we-- during the testimony of retired Captain

24

Saunders, we showed him that document, and he admitted 1 2 that he received it and reviewed it. Did he ever tell you that he had received and reviewed it? 4 5 Α No, sir. I had never had conversation with Captain Saunders 6 7 regarding this issue at all. All right. So let's take a quick look at this Exhibit 8 0 9 No. 98. 10 On the second paragraph you write, "At the beginning 11 of our meeting on October 3rd, you asked me if I knew why 12 we were having the meeting, and I told you I believed it 13 was regarding the deletion of e-mails to avoid pending 14 public disclosure. 15 "You advised I was incorrect and the meeting was 16 about two issues filed in the IIR. The first was 17 indicating that Nobach retaliated against me, and the 18 second about Lieutenant Nobach intentionally refusing to 19 provide the governor with transport, " et cetera. 20 Did you believe that this investigation would 21 include the e-mail analysis, e-mail destruction analysis? 22 Absolutely. Α Just because the IIR that was initially filed didn't 23 24 include these items -- at any time during an investigation

they can amend what they investigate and expand the scope

of an investigation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

That's exactly what I expected to be done.

- Q Okay. And were you thinking still that it was-- it was a real investigation as opposed to preliminary?
- A Well, I believe Maier may have told me, during this interview, that it was a preliminary, but I didn't quite understand at that timeframe what that really meant because of my naiveness in regards to what occurred regarding how investigations are supposed to be conducted.
- Q All right. And you heard retired Captain Saunders say that you don't typically interview people during a preliminary.

Did you know that at the time?

15 A No. No, I didn't.

I didn't-- again, at the time I didn't really know what a preliminary was compared to a full administrative investigation, and I thought, based on the--

Q Let's go to the first whole paragraph on the second page.

Here you write, "Although not associated with the IIR, we also discussed further unethical and potentially criminal behavior regarding deletion of e-mail to avoid pending public disclosure request."

You say, "I explained an incident," and then you go on to explain.

- Did you get-- did anybody contact you in 2016 regarding this allegation?
- 3 A 2016? No.
- 4 | Q Okay. Let's go to the last paragraph, if we can.
- 5 A Well, there's an exception to that.
- There was a meeting with Captain Alexander at aviation regarding that.
- 8 | Q Okay. We'll get to that.
- 9 A Okay.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

10 | Q Okay. Let's look at the last paragraph.

And you write, "Yesterday my union representative advised me he spoke to Captain Saunders, and he didn't believe any of the topics we discussed were going to be addressed.

"If true, why? Unethical, dishonest, and potentially criminal behavior needs to be addressed to uphold the reputation of you, me, and the rest of the employees in the agency."

Did Sergeant Maier talk to you about this?

- A I have never talked to him regarding this e-mail or received a response.
- Q All right. You wrote, "I do understand a couple of these topics are very sensitive in nature and could be very damaging to the agency's reputation.
 - "It is not my intention to create damage, as I love

this agency and the aviation section, but my conscience is weighed by "-- (inaudible).

Then you write, "I respectfully request the office of professional standards to investigate why the sexual harassment complaint was not handled per policy, hostile work environment, retaliation, intentionally refusing of Governor flight for political reasons, and the public disclosure violation."

Do you know whether or not this line resulted in another investigation?

11 A Yes, it did.

1

2.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 12 | Q And what investigation was that?
- 13 A This e-mail was forwarded up the chain of command to
 14 Assistant Chief Randy Drake who was Captain Alexander's
 15 direct report supervisor.
- 16 Q And what did you learn about how long it took him to make a decision?
 - A Well, let me finish your first question.

So the investigation that stemmed from this had to do with the complaint that Captain Alexander did not investigate the sexual harassment complaint, so that was what Assistant Chief Randy Drake initiated, was-- well, I don't even know if I want to call it an investigation.

He wrote an investigative case log summarizing the report that he received of the sexual harassment

complaint, who he received the report by, and the-- what he believed was how the situation was handled by Captain Alexander, and he completed an IIR, which, again, I know we kind of beat this up a little bit during Alexander's testimony, but that is what would be required to be done on a complaint that comes into a supervisor, an IIR and investigative case log.

That's what Assistant Chief Randy Drake does.

The IIR that he completes and he puts down the summary of the allegation is just that Alexander failed to investigate a sexual harassment complaint.

It doesn't go to preliminary investigation. It doesn't go to any investigation.

He rejects the complaint.

- Q Do you know how many days passed between the time that he got it and he rejected it?
- 17 A It was within ten days.
- 18 | Q All right. Were you interviewed on that issue?
- 19 A No, sir.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 20 Q All right. And how did you learn that Chief Drake-21 Assistant Chief Drake dismissed it within ten days with
 22 no investigation?
- 23 A I received a letter from Captain Saunders in OPS or
 24 internal affairs saying that the allegation was dismissed
 25 or was unfounded or something along those lines.

I later did a public records request for this case as well, and I received all of the documents that they produced and the investigative case log by Assistant Chief Randy Drake, and so I reviewed those.

There's some other review of documents that pertain to that case that I received as well.

- Q All right. And I can't remember if I asked you whether anybody told you to stop doing an investigation.
- A Yes, sir.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I was told to-- during this October timeframe, after I met with Bruce Maier on October 3rd, Captain Alexander asked my sergeants to tell me that I need to stop doing my own investigation within the aviation section.

At that same timeframe, I was informed that Brenda Biscay was ordered to lock all cabinets that we would routinely access during our daily jobs where our flight sheets were held, the daily schedule or where all our master calendars were contained, and also our training records.

I can't remember what I needed to access, but I went to go open a drawer, and now it's locked, and in the almost three years that I was there, prior to this, that has never been locked, so--

- Q Did you ask why it was locked?
- 25 A I did.



I asked her, and she just implied-- she just said that they-- that she was told to lock all cabinets in the office, and that was-- that was basically it.

She didn't give me a reason behind it.

- Q All right. And did there come a time that you attended a meeting where— with all of the aviation employees where Alexander was talking about e-mails?
- A Yes, sir.

Around the same timeframe, after I met with Bruce
Maier, they-- Alexander comes in and holds an all-section
meeting, and he advises everyone that he's aware that we
were ordered in the past to delete e-mails, and we are
not to delete e-mails anymore, and it is his
understanding that we were instructed in the past to
delete the governor's schedule.

You know, I-- he also advises everyone that there's a big investigation going on by internal affairs, which he's not supposed to do that.

What happened is-- Anson Statema and Jared Elliott, who I-- I didn't want to involve them in any of this. I didn't want to talk to them about all this stuff that was going on. Now they're questioning me about, "What's he talking about? What's going on? What's the investigation about?"

You know, anyway, I just took that as an act of

	verbat	im Record of Proceedings, Vol VI - September 15, 2020 Page
1		singling me out even further within the section.
2		Anyway
3	Q	Okay. All right. Towards the end of October 26th, did
4		you attend a meeting with Alexander and Hatteberg after
5		the OPS preliminary investigation was commenced?
6	A	I did.
7	Q	And tell us what happened at that meeting.
8	A	On October 21st, I went down to Captain Alexander's
9		office, again with this time it was just Sergeant
10		Hatteberg, and Alexander advises me that the
11		investigation was finished, it was done, and that there
12		was things that I told internal affairs that he's not
13		happy about.
14		He proceeds to tell me that and he's frustrated,
15		and, you know, he wasn't yelling, he wasn't I could
16		tell by his tone of voice and his body language, he was
17		frustrated with me.
18		So he tells me that if I want to stay in aviation, I
19		need to stop interrogating employees, I need to stop
20		making people feel uncomfortable in the workplace, and I
21		need to let everything go that's happened in the past.
22		I'm like, "You have to be kidding me right now."
23		Nobody has ever said anything to me like that in
24		regards to interrogating employees or if I ever felt that

I've ever made somebody feel uncomfortable like that at

work.

I listen to Captain Alexander until he finishes, and I said, "Captain, you have got me all wrong as an employee, and I think it's pretty clear where this information is coming from," and I turn to Sergeant Hatteberg, sitting off to my left, and I said, "Sergeant Hatteberg, have you ever heard of me interrogating employees or making people feel uncomfortable in the workplace," and he said, "No, I have not."

I am like, "Captain Alexander, I think you're misinformed on what's really going on," and I explained to him that I have tried for months to try to resolve a very uncomfortable work environment between Lieutenant Nobach and I.

I had met with him numerous times to try to resolve these issues, and it hasn't worked.

I explained to him that every time I left those meetings, including the one on May 20th at his office, that I felt like something was going to be better, and it wasn't days later, we were right back in the hole that I thought we had dug out of.

I said, "I can't keep doing this."

I just said, "I can't keep doing this," and I explained to him that "For that reason, I've got to leave-- I have to-- I have to get out of this

environment," and so he does-- like he testified to, he tries to tell me that "Well, that's not what I'm telling you, Ryan. I want you to stay in aviation. We need you as a pilot," and I'm thinking to myself, "Man, he just doesn't get it. I've tried to explain this to him. He's received numerous reports of retaliation and hostile work environment on my sergeants."

I go to him on May 20th and I report this stuff, he ignores it, he never follows up with me after that meeting on May 20th, not once, and now here it is October 21st, and he's telling me that if I want to stay in aviation, I have to let everything go that's happened in the past and basically put up with it.

I couldn't do it. I couldn't keep doing it.

He said, "Take the weekend to think about it," and so I did. I agreed to that.

I think I-- I had Monday and Tuesday off, and so I told-- I think it was on Wednesday morning, the first thing in the morning, I sent-- I will have to look at the e-mail.

I sent an e-mail that I wanted an immediate transfer out of aviation and an exit interview with human resources.

O Okay. Let's look at Exhibit No. 100 and 101.

Tell me what they are.



Well, 100 first. 1 Α 2 Exhibit No. 100 is the e-mail that I sent to Jeff 3 Hatteberg, and that was on Monday, October 24th. 4 MR. SHERIDAN: All right. Let's offer Exhibit No. 100. 5 6 THE COURT: Did you say 100 or 101? Say again. 7 MR. SHERIDAN: 8 THE COURT: Did you say 100 or 101. 9 100. We are going to MR. SHERIDAN: 10 go to 101 next. 11 MR. BIGGS: 100, no objection, Your 12 Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 14 No. 100 is admitted. (Exhibit No. 100 admitted into 15 16 evidence.) 17 (Inaudible crosstalk.) 18 (By Mr. Sheridan) Go ahead and read to the jury what you 19 wrote. 20 "Sergeant Hatteberg, I request to be transferred out of Α 21 the aviation section to FOB"-- which stands for "field 22 operations bureau" -- "as soon as possible. I also 23 request an exit interview/meeting with human resources." All right. And now take a look at Exhibit No. 101 and 24 25 tell me what that is.

_	A	This is an outline that I quickly tried to draft before I
2		met with human resources, Captain Travis Mathesen, and
3		Lieutenant Matagi, during the exit interview.
4	Q	Okay. And did you hand this to anyone at the meeting?
5	A	No. I read some of this and referenced it, and I checked
6		it off as I went through.
7		I never did finish it because I tried to draft this
8		before our meeting.
9		I think it was at like 10 a.m. in the morning or
10		something, so I tried to
11		MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. We'll accept it
12		as a work in progress and still offer Exhibit No. 101.
13		MR. BIGGS: Your Honor, this exhibit
14		is hearsay.
15		MR. SHERIDAN: Your Honor, this
16		exhibit is
17		THE COURT: I don't want to hear
18		argument in front of the jury.
19		Members of the Jury, I am going to excuse you for a
20		few minutes while we address this.
21		COURT BAILIFF: All rise.
22		(Jury exits.)
23		THE COURT: Thank you. Please be
24		seated.
25		All right. This is self-serving hearsay.
	i .	

1 What are you--2. MR. SHERIDAN: On the judge's 3 statement of evidence, it was not objected to. That's 4 why I was offering it. THE COURT: I did notice that it was 5 not objected to. 6 MR. SHERIDAN: If they don't object, 7 that's it. 8 9 That's where you make your objections--10 MR. BIGGS: That's not accurate, Your 11 We can still make an objection (inaudible). Ιf 12 it was an oversight through hundreds of exhibits, I think it's still not admissible. 13 14 MR. SHERIDAN: I shouldn't have the burden after they've said it's not-- they weren't 15 16 objecting. 17 I've been following the joint statement throughout, and so have they, as far as I know. 18 19 MR. BIGGS: Your Honor, you have 20 authority to correct the record and to handle things such 21 as this if you choose to do so. 22 THE COURT: I am going to-- we are not 23 going to be done with Detective Santhuff this morning, 24 so-- and I don't think you are even going to be done with 25 him this morning.

```
I am going to reserve ruling on that because I do
 1
     think it's self-serving hearsay, but I was also-- I did
 2
 3
     notice that Defense had not objected, so let me think
 4
     about that.
 5
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. All right.
                                                          Is
     it all right if he refers to it.
 6
                       THE COURT: That's fine, as long as
 7
     it's not referring to statements that -- for instance -- so
 8
 9
     it starts with "I am an honest, hard worker.
10
     integrity is beyond reproach." That's not something that
11
     he should be testifying about--
12
                       MR. SHERIDAN: All right. Okay.
13
                       THE COURT: So I don't know exactly
14
     what you are talking about-- (inaudible crosstalk).
15
                       MR. SHERIDAN: With the Court's
     permission, I will just have -- if you'll just tell that
16
17
     you are reserving on the objection.
18
                       THE COURT: Yes.
19
                       MR. SHERIDAN: And I'll just make a
20
     quick reference to this, and then we'll go on to the
21
     meeting because what he says at the meeting is what
2.2
     really counts, right.
23
                       THE COURT: --(inaudible) you were
24
     going to go to a meeting. Okay.
25
                       MR. BIGGS: Your Honor, he should not
```

1 be referring to documents unless he needs recollection refreshed. 2 3 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Sheridan is saying that he's not going to be addressing this at all. 4 5 MR. BIGGS: He just said he's going to ask him to refer to it a little bit. 6 7 MR. SHERIDAN: Well, I--THE COURT: If he needs to refresh his 8 9 recollection, he can. 10 He's not going to be able to testify about things 11 that are-- he's not going to read from it. 12 MR. BIGGS: That's what I--13 MR. SHERIDAN: Of course. 14 MR. BIGGS: He has to lay a foundation for recollection refreshed. 15 16 THE COURT: Right. 17 Mr. Sheridan, I didn't want to say this in front of 18 the jury, but try to not move. 19 I know that it's very difficult for attorneys to not 20 move, but please pretend you're in federal court. 21 MR. SHERIDAN: Sure. 22 Am I getting in the way of the screen. 23 THE COURT: No. 24 I'm just worried about you getting to close to some 25 of the jurors, especially Juror No. 12.

1		MR. SHERIDAN: Oh, certainly.
2		THE COURT: That's why.
3		MR. SHERIDAN: It's actually when I'm
4		on the emotional-harm chart
5		THE COURT: A couple of times you have
6		moved.
7		Anyway, please be cognizant of that.
8		MR. SHERIDAN: Yes, of course.
9		THE COURT: Okay. We can bring in the
10		jury.
11		COURT BAILIFF: All rise.
12		(Jury enters.)
13		THE COURT: Thank you. Please be
14		seated.
15		I am reserving on my ruling to Exhibit No. 101.
16		MR. SHERIDAN: Fair enough.
17	Q	(By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. Let's go ahead and talk about
18		your exit interview.
19		Approximately when was it?
20	A	It was the Wednesday of that week after I sent the
21		e-mail, so it was just within days after I asked for the
22		exit interview with human resources.
23	Q	All right. And did you bring 101 with you to the exit
24		interview?
25	A	Yes, sir, I did.

All right. Okay. And who was present when you were at 1 Q the exit interview? 2. 3 Captain Travis Mathesen and Lieutenant John Matagi. Α And you? 4 0 5 Α Yes, sir. And where did the exit interview occur? 6 7 Α In Captain Mathesen's office in human resources in our old headquarters building. 8 9 All right. And can you tell us, what happened? 0 10 Who spoke first and what was said? 11 Well, Mathesen first was telling me how unusual it is Α 12 that a current employee would ask for an exit interview. He said, "That doesn't happen," and this was the 13 14 first time that this had happened. Typically the exit interviews would be for an 15 16 employee that would be leaving the state patrol. 17 I said, "Well"-- I thanked him, and I explained, "well, there's obviously a reason why I'm here," and I 18 19 reported to him how the last year had gone in aviation or 20 the last six months, I guess, after February 26th. 21 I explained to him the report of the sexual 22 harassment incident with Jim and Brenda. 23 I explained to him--24 0 Hang on.

What kind of detail did you give him?

- 1 A As much detail as I provided here in this testimony in this trial.
 - Q So you actually sort of reenacted the event?
- 4 A Yes, I demonstrated it.
- I typically do because I have a hard time, I guess,

 putting into words on what exactly happened.
- 7 Q What, if anything, did he do after you explained to him 8 the incident?
- 9 A He was extremely shocked actually.

When I first brought up the fact that there was a sexual harassment report, he said, "When did that happen," like he was unaware.

He was unaware.

There's no question in my mind, he was understand aware that there was a sexual harassment report.

- Q Did he do anything?
- A He got up from his desk or this table in his office, and he walked over to his desk by his computer and grabs a yellow notepad, and he said, "When did that happen?"

He starts taking notes about when the sexual
harassment incident took place and who was there and, you
know--

- 23 | Q Did you mention Nobach and Biscay by name?
- 24 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 25 | Q Do you know if he wrote it down?



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 A He did.
- Well, I assume he did because he was taking notes as
- 3 I was talking this whole time.
- 4 Q Do you have any sense that he had heard this before?
- 5 A I fully believed he had not based on his reaction that
- 6 day.
- 7 | Q Okay. All right. And after you described the first--
- 8 the breast-rubbing event, tell us what happened next.
- 9 A I explained the retaliation, the environment in aviation.
- 10 I listed off a number of different examples of
- 11 retaliatory events I experienced.
- I talked about how I'd reported the public records
- misconduct, the intentional deletion of e-mails on a
- 14 public records request.
- I also talked about intentionally refusing the
- 16 governor service.
- 17 | Q Was he writing while you were doing this?
- 18 A Yes, sir, he was.
- 19 Q All right. Did you talk to him in detail about how
- 20 Nobach and Biscay treated you after it became obvious
- 21 that you made that report of the breast rubbing?
- 22 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 23 Q What did you tell him?
- 24 A I talked about the uncomfortable environment.
- I talked about meeting with Lieutenant Nobach, and

- Sergeant Hatteberg having an emotional breakdown that day.
- $3 \mid Q$ Did you talk about whether or not-- strike that.
- 4 Did you talk about initiating a meeting with Nobach?
- 5 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 6 Q What did you tell him?
- 7 A I told him about meeting with Lieutenant Nobach and 8 putting everything out on the table that day, and I
- 9 talked-- I tell him how angry Nobach got and threatened
- me and others in the aviation section, to hold us
- accountable for this if he's going to be held accountable
- 12 for it, that comment that he made in the meeting.
- 13 Q Did you talk to him about your work environment and how
- 14 it changed?
- 15 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 16 Q And what did you tell him?
- 17 A I talked to him about how the training had changed, the
 18 relationship between Lieutenant Nobach and I became very
 19 tense, uncomfortable.
- I talked to him about the nonstandard flight training.
- I mean, I talked to him about almost every-- in regards to the environment, everything that I've explained here in my testimony.
- 25 Q Okay. Now, you heard him testify-- he was the first

1 witness.

2.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You heard him testify that HR's job is to protect the employee.

Did he do anything to protect you?

A Not at all.

That is their responsibility and their requirement, specifically a person named Debb Tindall. She is retired, but back then it was her responsibility.

They are supposed to reach out to an employee that reported a hostile work environment or retaliation routinely, and at a minimum-- if I recall, the policy says that at a minimum once a month, and they're required to put that into a log, a case log basically, that is now electronic-- at some point between 2016 and now, it became electronic.

I believe it was in 2016 as well.

That never happened.

I never received any follow-up. I never talked to Debb Tindall.

I never talked to anybody at human resources after making the complaints of retaliation, hostile work environment, and after I met with Captain Matheson, I never heard another word from him again about this either.

Q And you saw during his testimony we showed him that



- 1 Exhibit No. 225 that had the case number on it.
- Was it your understanding he was going to open a
- 3 | case or not?
- A He told me-- well, he told me in this meeting that he was unaware of the sexual harassment report, and he said-- he said, "You know, there's always two sides to a story."
- 7 True story.
 - He said he's going to look into this and he's going to get back to me.
- 10 | Q Did that happen?
- 11 A Never.

- 12 Q All right. So when you left from your exit-- is there
- anything else about your exit interview that troubled
- 14 you?
- 15 A No.
- I wasn't troubled, I don't think, by that interview
 at all, other than not getting any feedback after-- as he
 told me he would, but during that meeting I didn't feel
 like there was anything that troubled me, that I recall
- anyway.
- 21 Q All right. So let's talk about through October now of 22 2016.
- What was your fear level through October?
- 24 A Probably a ten.
- 25 | Q Okay. How about stress?

- 1 A A ten.
- 2 0 How about humiliation?
- 3 A The humiliation really increased after I left aviation, I
- quess, so-- but for the-- so it kind of changed.
- 5 I would say probably about an eight for the month.
- 6 0 How about anxiety?
- 7 A A ten.
- 8 Q How about anguish?
- 9 A I would probably say nine.
- 10 | Q And how about the loss of enjoyment of life?
- 11 A A nine.
- 12 | Q All right. So what was your last day in aviation?
- 13 A That Wednesday.
- 14 Right after I met with human resources for the exit
- interview, I went to aviation and turned in all my gear.
- 16 | O Okay. Did you talk to Sweeney?
- 17 | A I did.
- 18 | Q All right. And did he raise any concerns?
- 19 A He did.
- We had a conversation about me leaving, and he just
- 21 kept repeating, "This is not right. This is not right."
- 22 That's what he kept saying to me over and over
- 23 again.
- 24 | Q All right. Okay. Where were you assigned after you
- 25 | left?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Field operations on patrol in Olympia.
- 2 Q Okay. And who was in your chain of command?
 - A Well, Chris Noll, who I had flown with as a trooper pilot had promoted as sergeant and left aviation around the September 2016 timeframe, and he was now a sergeant in Olympia.

There's four sergeants at the Olympia APA (phonetic), area patrol.

When I left aviation, Sergeant Noll's detachment was the only detachment they had vacancies, so I filled a position in his detachment.

Now Sergeant Noll is my direct report or my supervisor.

- 14 | Q Did that concern you?
- 15 A I did have concern because Chris Noll and I were friends.

 16 We were very close. We flew a lot together.

I was concerned more for him because of the challenges it can be, especially for a new supervisor, to supervise friends and potentially have to discipline a friend.

I had actually asked to go to a different detachment when I transferred out of aviation, but I was told that they felt my leadership and my experience would help Chris Noll as a new sergeant and learning that role.

Q Who told you that?

- 1 A Lieutenant Tom Martin.
- 2 0 Okay. And where was he in that chain of command?
- 3 A He was Chris Noll's immediate supervisor.
- 4 | O Got it.
- In January 2017, did you seek to meet with anyone in
- 6 the chain of command coming up from aviation?
- 7 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 8 I asked to meet with Chief Batiste directly.
- 9 Q What was the purpose of your request?
- 10 A I wanted him to know exactly what was going on within the
- 11 state patrol and my experiences within the agency.
- 12 | Q Were you permitted to meet with him?
- 13 A Not entirely, no.
- 14 | Q What happened?
- 15 A I-- Chief Batiste has always said that if it's a big
- issue that you feel that it needs to be addressed to him,
- that he will open his door for that meeting.
- 18 | Well, this was a big issue for me, and I felt like
- 19 these were some big issues for the state patrol, major
- 20 issues, and I told Lieutenant Martin that I-- I made this
- 21 request to Lieutenant Martin, that "I want a meeting with
- 22 Chief Batiste."
- He said, "Well, you should probably go through the
- chain of command."
- I told him, "Well, Chief Batiste always says if it's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a major concern, you can go directly to him, and that's what I prefer to do."

He says, "Well, let me talk to Captain Hall," who is the current captain of Tacoma, which oversees the Tacoma patrol area, Pierce County, and Thurston County patrol area.

He was in my chain of command.

I hear back that Captain Hall suggests that I go through the chain of command, and then I agreed.

I was-- I met with Captain Hall through this chain of command. I met with Captain Hall.

Lieutenant Martin already knew a lot of the details of what had happened in aviation, and so I met with Captain Hall for about three and a half hours one night, and I explained to him, in detail, the sexual harassment situation, the retaliation, the hostile work environment.

I give him examples.

Then the public records misconduct, going and intentionally deleting certain e-mails on a public records request.

I explained to him the intentional holding -- refusing the governor a flight, all of this stuff.

You know, he's shocked.

I mean, this is a shock-- these events are shocking to anybody, and he was shocked that this was-- this had

happened.

I don't know what he was told when I transferred out of aviation underneath his chain, and I know that there's conversations between him and Alexander, but certainly he wasn't told what I told him that day, and so he shared some experiences that he's had with the state patrol to me that weren't pleasant for him, and we had a very candid conversation that day.

Then he arranged-- well, I left that meeting, and I'm driving back to Olympia where I live, and he calls me, and he tells me that he talked to Captain Sass-- I'm sorry, Assistant Chief Sass.

This is Captain Hall's supervisor, his immediate boss.

He tells me that Sass basically doesn't want to get involved in this and suggests that I meet with Assistant Chief Randy Drake who, again, is in charge of the special operations bureau, which oversees internal affairs and state patrol aviation.

I explain to him on the phone that I think that--well, it wasn't just a thought.

He was completely involved in the mishandling of the sexual harassment report. He knew how that was handled outside of policy.

He knew about these other reports.



I didn't-- I just-- I felt he was part of this 1 2 problem of how this was all covered up. I'm explaining to Dan Hall on the phone that I 3 don't-- I would just rather go meet with Chief Batiste 4 5 then. He said, "Well, you know, I think there's 6 information that he could share with you that would be--7 you know it might make you feel better or may be 8 enlightening on what his take on this is." 9 10 I was like, "Well"-- finally I said, "Okay. I'll do 11 it." 12 So that meeting with Captain -- with Assistant Chief 13 Randy Drake was on January 30th of 2017 now. 14 Potentially it was the 1st of February, but it was 15 one of those two days. 16 0 All right. Did you get a negative performance evaluation 17 after this? 18 Yes, I did. Α 19 And who signed it? Q 20 Sergeant Jeff Hatteberg. Α All right. And did you seek to have it changed? 21 Q I did. 22 Α 23 We met for an hour, maybe two, and discussed this 24 job performance appraisal. A JPA is what it's referred 25 to as.

1 | Q What was the outcome?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A I specifically asked him to remove the 095, which had docked my score from the JPA, and he refused.

I explained to him that it's-- I wasn't assigned that flight like they are alleging happened, and that I said that "This 095 should not be in my record. It should not affect my JPA," and he refused to even address it.

I asked him during that meeting if he ever asked Brenda about the scheduling of that flight, and he said no, he didn't.

He never looked into the details of that 095 ever, and yeah, I was frustrated.

I was angry about it, and he refused to make any changes to the narrative or remove the 095 from my record.

- 17 | Q All right. Did you grieve the 095?
- 18 A I did.
- 19 Q With your union?
- 20 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 21 | Q All right. And did it get changed?
- 22 A No, sir.
- 23 | Q Did-- your union can escalate from different levels,
- 24 | correct?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q And what happened at the various levels?
- 2 A Step one of the grievance process-- there's three steps.

Step one is the initial step where I filed a grievance, and typically it would be your immediate captain or the appointing authority, your chain of command that would handle that grievance.

I met with Captain Hall and discussed the parts of the collective bargaining agreement, which is the agreement our union has with the State, that I believed were violated.

I think there was maybe three different sections that I noted in the grievance.

I explained how I felt each one of those areas I had grounds for a grievance.

That was denied.

- 16 | O That's level one?
- 17 A Yeah, that's step one.
- 18 | Q What happened next?
- The denial -- we got sent an e-mail to President Merrill,

 Jeff Merrill of the union, and we had to file step two in

 a certain amount of timeframe. I don't know how many

 days, 15 or 20 days, and that timeframe got missed.
- 23 | Q By whom?
- 24 A Well, to move it to step two-- I mean, the union was
 25 handling this with me or helping me handle this, and so I

wasn't aware of the timeline processes within the 1 2 collective bargaining agreement in this timeframe, and-well, the union didn't even address it with me, or the 3 agency, the denial of step one. 4 5 All right. So procedurally--Q Procedurally, I missed that opportunity to move it to 6 Α step two. 7 Before you left, what was your plan? 8 0 9 What was your plan for flying? 10 Let's say you could fly to retirement. What did you hope to do? 11 12 I wanted to be either a corporate -- my thought was just Α 13 to be a corporate pilot. 14 A lot of state patrol employees, pilots, that have 15 left are-- were very, very well trained, a lot of flight time, a lot of great flying experience in the northwest 16 in inclement weather, which is very valuable experience, 17 18 and then we have that law enforcement side to us too, the 19 security aspect. 20 There's a lot of opportunities as a pilot in the corporate world that can be very lucrative, and that was 21 22 what my goal was. 23 That was my plan. 24 So let's say some billionaire has got a jet, right? 25 How hard would it be for you to go-- let's assume

you also made -- you kept going to King air schools and 1 2 made-- became a command pilot and flew the twin-engine King air. You had said something about it being a turbo. 4 5 Does that involve being a jet? Well, it's a turbine engine. 6 Α It's a jet-fuel-powered turbine engine that turns a 7 propeller. 8 It's referred to as a (inaudible)--9 10 How big of a deal would it be to go from flying that 0 plane to flying corporate jets? 11 12 Well, you have to receive specific training in that jet, Α 13 and be type rated. 14 Typically you would end up going to FlightSafety 15 typically or a company like that and get type rated in 16 that aircraft and learn those systems to get -- you know, 17 to be able to fly that aircraft. 18 Was that your plan? 0 19 Yes, sir, that would have been my plan. Α 20 All right. At what age would you have begun at? 21 (Inaudible) --would have retired at 51, at 25 years of Α 22 service with the state patrol. 23 And how many years to 51? Q 24 At that timeframe? 25 Yeah. 0

- 1 A Make me do math.
- 2 Man, I don't know. I would have to figure it out.
- $3 \mid Q$ Okay.
- 4 A It was--
- 5 Q Okay. Let's just finish the year here.
- 6 On a scale-- let's get through 2016.
- 7 On a scale of one to ten, what was your fear level,
- 8 | through December?
- 9 A Through December? A seven.
- 10 0 How about stress?
- 11 A A seven as well.
- 12 | 0 How about humiliation?
- 13 A A nine.
- 14 Q Okay. How about anxiety?
- 15 A Probably an eight.
- 16 0 Okay. How about anguish?
- 17 A A nine.
- 18 | Q How about loss of enjoyment of life?
- 19 A Probably a nine.
- 20 | Q All right. So I am going to carry this over.
- In 2017 were you happy with the job you were doing?
- 22 A I was.
- 23 | Q Where were you assigned?
- 24 A Olympia patrol area as a patrol officer on the highways.
- 25 | Q All right. And were you still having effects in 2017

- 1 | from what had happened to you at aviation?
- 2 A I was, yeah.
- 3 | Q Okay. So for the months through -- from January through
- 4 December, how would you rate your level of fear at that
- 5 time?
- 6 A It changed.
- 7 In 2017 there was some things that happened
- 8 regarding the public records misconduct throughout the
- 9 year, so in the beginning I would say it was probably
- 10 maybe a seven.
- 11 Q How about through the year?
- 12 A Maybe the second quarter of the year would be probably
- about a seven.
- 14 I mean, it maintained pretty close to the same--
- 15 0 A seven?
- 16 A Yeah--
- 17 | Q Through the year?
- 18 A I would say so. That's fair.
- 19 Q How about stress; again, caused by what happened in
- 20 aviation, not stress in your life?
- 21 A Maybe a five.
- 22 | Q Okay. And did that continue through the year?
- 23 A I would say that's an average number, yeah, I do.
- 24 | O And how about humiliation in 2017?
- 25 A Probably a seven.

That improved some through time. 1 2 You know, when I first came out of aviation, everyone was asking me, "What happened," you know, "What 3 4 happened, Ryan," and there was rumors that I was a 5 failing pilot, and so, you know, of course I felt necessary to tell the story, right? 6 7 Q Did you carry it -- from January through December, how would you say it went? 8 9 Should we say -- I think you said a seven, the 10 humiliation at the start--11 It probably put it down to maybe a five by the end of the Α 12 year. 13 Okay. All right. How about anxiety? Q 14 I would say probably a six throughout the year. Α 15 How about anguish? Probably a seven average throughout the year. 16 Α 17 Did I just say "anguish"? 18 Yes, "anguish." Α 19 All right. How about loss of enjoyment of life? Q 20 I would say an eight. Α 21 Eight? Q 2.2 From January through December? 23 I would say that's an average accurate number, yes. Α Okay. All right. How about in 2018, the effects of 24 25 having worked there in terms of fear?

- 1 A Maybe a four.
- 2 Q And did that carry through the year?
- 3 A Yeah, I would say that's an average number throughout the
- 4 year.
- 5 | Q All right. How about stress?
- 6 A I would say probably about the same.
- 7 | Q How about humiliation?
- 8 A Maybe a five.
- 9 Q And throughout the year?
- 10 A Throughout the year, yeah.
- 11 | Q All right. How about anxiety?
- 12 A Maybe a four throughout the year.
- 13 | Q Okay. How about anguish?
- 14 | A I would say probably a five throughout the year.
- 15 | Q And how about loss of enjoyment of life?
- 16 A Six. Six throughout the year.
- 17 | Q Okay. Let's do the same thing for 2019.
- 18 | Fear in 2019?
- 19 A Probably a four.
- 20 Q Okay. And how about from January through December?
- 21 A I would say the average throughout the year would be
- 22 four.
- 23 Q Okay. How about stress?
- 24 A Probably about the same.
- 25 Q Okay. How about humiliation?

- 1 A Probably a five throughout the year.
- 2 Q Can you tell us whether people were still talking about--
- 3 A Oh, yeah.
- 4 At this point I changed positions, you know. I
- 5 changed from a trooper on the road and people already
- 6 knew what had happened in aviation, now I'm a detective,
- 7 so there's a whole bunch more people asking, "Hey, man,
- 8 whatever happened to you and aviation," and I have to
- 9 tell it all over again.
- 10 Q How about anxiety?
- 11 A Probably a four.
- 12 Q Okay. How about anguish?
- 13 A Probably a five throughout the year.
- 14 | Q Okay. How about loss of enjoyment of life?
- 15 A Probably a six.
- 16 0 How about through the year?
- 17 A Through the year.
- 18 | O And how about in 2020, your fear rate?
- 19 A Well, I would say those numbers would carry over from
- 20 2019 until-- I actually prepare for depositions and
- 21 getting those continued and--
- 22 | Q I don't want you to consider stress from being in
- 23 litigation--
- 24 A Understood.
- 25 | Q --as opposed to stress from thinking about the particular

1 events. 2 I understand. Α 3 I understand--4 How about in 2020? Q Should we run through it again? 5 6 Fear? Α 7 Q Fear? Probably a five. 8 Α 9 Okay. Through the year? Q 10 Yeah. Α 11 Okay. And stress? Q 12 A four. Α 13 Through the year? Q 14 Yeah. Yeah. Α Humiliation? 15 Q 16 Probably a six. Α 17 Through the year? Q 18 Yes, sir. Α 19 Okay. Anxiety? Q 20 Maybe a four. Α 21 Four through the year? Q 22 Yeah-- yes, sir. Α 23 Okay. Anguish? Q 24 A six, through the year. 25 Did you miss aviation? Q

Let me ask you a different way. 1 2 Did you miss flying? 3 Yes, sir, I do. Α 4 Do you miss it today? 5 I do, very much so. Α Are you going to miss it tomorrow? 6 I will. 7 Α It's still a passion of mine. 8 9 You know, I still-- I haven't flown since I left 10 aviation, but I do want to continue flying again as the 11 financial means become available. 12 It's just been-- I've had a lot of large expenses 13 since I've left aviation that I can't--14 You have a lot of expenses? 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 If you could go back to aviation with protections, would 17 that be something you would consider? The question in (inaudible) would be "protection." 18 Α 19 That would be up to the Court. 20 I would consider that, yes, sir. Α 21 MR. BIGGS: Your Honor, this is not 2.2 proper. 23 THE COURT: I am going to sustain that 24 objection. The jury is to disregard that last question and 25

1 response. 2. MR. SHERIDAN: I apologize. 3 Yes, Your Honor. (By Mr. Sheridan) Okay. If you could go back and be in 4 O 5 a safe place, would that be something you would want to do, or at least consider? 6 7 Α Yes, sir, I would consider that. Okay. What's -- in 2020, what's your loss of enjoyment of 8 life? 9 10 Probably a six. Α 11 Okay. And does that go through the year? 0 12 Yes, sir. Α 13 All right. Do you anticipate that after this trial is 14 over, you will still feel some of these things? 15 Α Absolutely, yes, sir. 16 How long do you think you'll be feeling those things? 17 I don't know. Α 18 Okay. All right. Let's get some--0 19 THE COURT: It is close to noon. 20 MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah. 21 THE COURT: All right. Members of the 2.2 Jury, you have an extra five minutes today for lunch, so 23 we'll be in recess until 1:30. COURT BAILIFF: All rise. 24 25 (Jury exits.)

1 THE COURT: All right. Please be 2 seated. 3 All right, so with respect to Exhibit No. 101, how 4 did you rely on this exhibit, Mr. Sheridan? 5 I know that you said that relied on it from this joint statement --6 7 MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah, I used it as my 8 outline for questioning him about the meeting. 9 THE COURT: Is that it? 10 MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah. 11 THE COURT: What's the prejudice if I 12 don't allow this exhibit? 13 MR. SHERIDAN: I think this is totally 14 up to your discretion. I was kind of sticking with the joint statement 15 16 because if we let either our side or their side be able to walk away from those, then all the work we did to set 17 objections or not is gone to waste, but there's no 18 19 prejudice, Your Honor. I got in the testimony I wanted. 20 THE COURT: All right. And this is 21 one of the reasons why I had asked the parties to please 22 let me know which ones that we're truly objecting to and 23 not just marking everything, just so I could be prepared. 24 Based on that, that there is no prejudice and the representations that this was an oversight, I will not 25

```
1
     admit it, so I will sustain the objection and I will make
     a record of that in front of the jury.
 2.
 3
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                       That's fine.
 4
         How about we just withdraw it?
 5
                       THE COURT: You can withdraw, that's
     fine.
 6
 7
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                      Let me do that.
                       THE COURT: All right.
 8
 9
         I have to also tell you, I just received, so maybe I
10
     can ask Defense-- I just received briefing on a
11
     Plaintiff's motion in limine, which I'm not going to have
     time to look at over the lunch hour because I have other
12
13
     things that I need to do, and I assume Defense has not
14
     had a chance to look at it because --
15
                       MR. BIGGS: I haven't even seen it,
16
     Your Honor.
                       THE COURT: It's a Plaintiff's motion
17
     in limine regarding admission of Exhibit No. 19 and
18
     Exhibit No. 215, so, again -- and apparently this has
19
20
     something to do with a witness this afternoon.
21
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Right, we were going to
22
     do our offers of proof--
23
                                   He's not-- oh, that's the
                       THE COURT:
24
     one where you are going to do the offer of proof?
                                       (Inaudible crosstalk.)
25
```

```
1
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                      That one.
 2.
                       THE COURT: Okay. So I just briefly
 3
     looked, and Defense did not object to 215, so is that
     still an accurate -- are you still not objecting to that?
 4
 5
         And so the only issue is No. 19?
 6
                       MR. BIGGS: Just one second, Your
 7
     Honor.
         Right. 215, there's no objection.
 8
 9
                       THE COURT: Okay. So the only issue
10
     is 19?
11
                       MR. BIGGS: Right.
12
                       THE COURT: I will look at that, and I
13
     will-- so since we need to do the offer of proof, then
14
     let's reconvene at 1:20.
15
         Is ten minutes enough?
16
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah, and we have the
17
     expert coming at 1:30, so that will be fine, yes.
18
                       THE COURT: Okay. Does that work for
19
     defense?
20
                       MR. BIGGS: Yes, 1:20, Your Honor.
21
         Yes, I'm sorry.
2.2
         I was just thinking about the withdrawing it. I
23
     don't think you can withdraw an exhibit once it's been
24
     handled--
25
                       THE COURT: I think you can.
```

```
1
                       MR. BIGGS: I would prefer to just
     have it denied, since that's how-- the ruling was
 2
 3
     reserved.
 4
                       THE COURT: It was reserved.
 5
                       MR. SHERIDAN: We control our
     exhibits.
 6
 7
         We would like to withdraw it.
                       THE COURT: All right. And I do think
 8
 9
     that Plaintiffs can withdraw the exhibit, and we have a
10
     record, so--
11
                       MR. BIGGS: All right.
12
                       THE COURT: My only-- I would just
13
     like the jury to know.
14
         I want the jury--
15
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah, I would rather--
16
                       THE COURT: That's fine. You can say,
17
     "We withdraw Exhibit No. 101." That's fine. I just want
     to make sure that the jury knows what happened with
18
19
     Exhibit No. 101.
20
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Fair enough. Okay.
21
         1:20?
22
                       THE COURT: Yes.
23
         Does that work for Defense as well?
24
                       MR. BIGGS: Yes.
25
                       THE COURT: 1:20?
```

1	MR. BIGGS: That's fine. Thank you.
2	THE COURT: So we'll be back at 1:20.
3	COURT BAILIFF: All rise.
4	(Lunch recess 12:00 to 1:26 p.m.)
5	COURT BAILIFF: (Inaudible.)
6	Please be seated.
7	THE COURT: All right. So I looked at
8	Exhibit No. 19, and you were planning on offering this
9	with your 3:15 witness?
10	MR. SHERIDAN: Yes, that's correct.
11	THE COURT: What's the name of the
12	witness?
13	MR. SHERIDAN: Hendricks.
14	THE COURT: Hendricks, all right.
15	Defense, you indicated you had an objection?
16	MR. MARLOW: Yes, Your Honor.
17	Since we object to this Exhibit No. 19, it's more of
18	the dirt-throwing that we've been talking about
19	throughout this trial.
20	That's all 19 is.
21	Quite frankly, this witness, Mr. Hendricks, I kind
22	of think, you know, within the bounds of the rules, we
23	could probably just stick right to his testimony, being
24	that the admissible testimony is actually that he filed a
25	public records request in 2014 for the May Day flights,

1 maybe even 2015--THE COURT: These e-mails had nothing 2. 3 to do with that though. 4 MR. MARLOW: Well, the e-mail-- the No. 215--5 6 THE COURT: Oh. 7 MR. MARLOW: That does have to do with 8 that. 9 (Inaudible crosstalk.) 10 MR. MARLOW: 19, as I said, is simply 11 more of the character stuff that Your Honor has already 12 properly disallowed. 13 It's just more of the same. 14 It's more dirt-throwing at Lieutenant Nobach. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. MARLOW: I don't think it's admissible for those very reasons that we have already 17 been over ahead of (inaudible). 18 19 So I'll renew my objections and address it if you 20 wish for me to, but what I was thinking is we certainly 21 stipulate to Mr. Hendricks filed these public records 2.2 requests in 2014 and 2015 and stipulate to the 23 admissibility of 215, which is the other exhibit they 24 were planning on having through this witness, and we wouldn't need this witness to come in. 25

1 THE COURT: Mr. Sheridan? MR. SHERIDAN: Well, you know, we need 2. the testimony about Exhibit No. 19 because the whole 3 4 point of this exercise is that Nobach is embarrassed. 5 THE COURT: I'm sorry, what's the relevance of these e-mails about some--6 MR. SHERIDAN: For flirtacious 7 behavior with Biscay? 8 9 THE COURT: How is that relevant? 10 MR. SHERIDAN: Because it explains his 11 behavior after that fact. 12 Remember, that one of our claims is the e-mail 13 claim, and he says -- what he says in response to the 14 e-mail investigation in 2017, "I had no -- I had no reason 15 to care. I had no reason to hide them, "but, in fact, he 16 does care because they're embarrassing and they were 17 posted on the web. THE COURT: Are these e-mails from 18 19 Exhibit No. 19 e-mails that have to do with the public 20 disclosure request of the e-mails that he told people to 21 delete? 2.2 MR. SHERIDAN: These e-mails-- so what 23 happens -- so the question that Defense will ask in 24 closing, "Why in the world would he do this? Why would 25 he tell the group to delete their e-mails for this "--

1 THE COURT: Mr. Sheridan, please 2 answer my question. 3 Are these e-mails related to the e-mails that Lieutenant Nobach told people to delete? 4 5 MR. SHERIDAN: No, they're not related in the sense that these are the subject of an earlier one 6 7 that got put up on the web. 8 So why does he have a motive to destroy documents 9 the next time that the same guy asks? Because the last 10 time that he didn't destroy documents, he put stuff up on 11 the web that was embarrassing to him. 12 THE COURT: Again, this is 404(b) 13 evidence that should have been brought up before. 14 I mean, this is an improper bad act that -- I mean, the admissibility of this, if I hear you correctly, is to 15 16 show that Lieutenant Nobach, from what I gather here, was flirting and had so many inappropriate comments with 17 18 other people. 19 What is the relevance of that for the issues before 20 this trial? 21 MR. SHERIDAN: Because it shows his 22 motive at every turn. 23 Remember, we have three different investigations --24 well, four. 25 The investigation regarding the e-mails happens in

2017, and in that— in his response to that, he says, "I had no motive to hide anything," but the answer is of course he did. He had been burned by giving up e-mails in the earlier request that wound up on the web, so these flirtatious things— it connects all the dots.

Why is he so nuts about denying a relationship? Why is he so retaliatory when Detective Santhuff goes and confronts him with all of that behavior that so far the jury hasn't heard, right? Which is that— "You know what we're all talking about, how you leave for hours and how you go down for coffee, and the people in the public are concerned about how it looks," which is, again— as I said, it's improper governmental action for him to do that, right?

It's wrong.

It's a violation of their internal processes.

That Exhibit No. 222 that you wouldn't let us put in is an example of that exact action where somebody gets fired for doing exactly what he said.

It helps the jury understand why he is so vicious about his retaliation--

THE COURT: So what's your offer of proof with this witness.

MR. SHERIDAN: So this witness will take the stand to basically say that "I'm the guy who

released Exhibit No. 19. I'm the guy who obtained Exhibit No. 19 through a Public Records Act, and I'm the guy who put it up on the web, and it's up on the web."

Nobach, in his deposition, admitted that he knew it was up on the web and there was a public records release, so all of this stuff is— it shows his mens rea, if nothing else.

It shows that this guy has been burned and he ain't going to let it happen again.

He has so much power, that he tells his entire group to destroy the e-mails for the next time this guy requests it, and, by the way, once he gets to take the stand again, Detective Santhuff will testify that even though they didn't fly that day, they were on standby that day, so that request that was destroyed, it wasn't just for the day. It was for the things related to it that went back in time.

For whatever reason, we don't actually know why he wanted it destroyed, but he did, and it makes sense, it's logical, and it connects the dots that he did it because he got burned once with these embarrassing e-mails that are now on the web for everybody to see, like his wife, and-- and keep in mind that it's connected to-- he's asking-- he's saying, "I would like to date."

He is doing the same exact behavior in 2014 that

1 Santhuff is confronting him with and then being retaliated against. 2. 3 THE COURT: And that's the reason why-- well, one of the reasons why it's improper 4 5 character evidence that you are trying to get in here. You are trying to show that because he acted this 6 way with Ms. Biscay, this is the way that -- I'm sorry, 7 because he acted this way in the past, he's acting this 8 9 way with Ms. Biscay as well. 10 MR. SHERIDAN: I didn't formulate it 11 as you do, Judge, that it's character evidence, but on 12 that formulation I would agree with you that his 13 character is in play, that who he was in 2014 and got 14 burned, he doesn't want to get burned again, so he's 15 telling people to destroy e-mails. 16 When one of his subordinates dares to confront him 17 on the same problem, he retaliates viciously. 18 THE COURT: I'm sorry, did Detective 19 Santhuff confront him about the e-mails--20 MR. SHERIDAN: He confronted him about 21 the behavior with Biscay, and that's contained in there. 2.2 I think we've outlined it in the brief, is he's talking 23 about dating Biscay. 24 He's talking about going out with Biscay, so -- I

mean, he says in his deposition he admits to all of this.

25

It connects the dots, Judge. 1 If you don't let us put this in, the jury gets to 2. 3 argue in closing, "Well, he had no motive to destroy the 4 e-mails, so don't believe all those witnesses because he had no motive." 5 This is the motive, right? 6 I mean, how many times do we see that in a case 7 where there's somebody actually going out there and 8 9 getting burned by allowing a Public Records Act 10 disclosure? 11 I mean, that's the connection. 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Marlow, 13 what's your response to the connection that because he 14 had been burned in 2013-- 2013? 15 When was this public disclosure request? 16 MR. SHERIDAN: The Public Records Act request, they elicited this, I believe, early 2014. 17 18 THE COURT: No, no, no. These-- the one-- Exhibit No. 19, when is that 19 20 request? 21 MR. SHERIDAN: I think-- I don't have 2.2 the date of the request, but I have-- if you look at the 23 e-mails on 19, they actually talk about they're being 24 assembled in early 2014, so I'm going to guess that the

PRA request was either late 2013 or early 2014.

25

1 THE COURT: So the fact that -- let me 2. ask you: 3 If the defense is or if Lieutenant Nobach has said "I had no reason to ask for e-mails to be deleted," then 4 wouldn't this be admissible? 5 MR. MARLOW: That's not what 6 Lieutenant Nobach, I don't believe, will be testifying 7 8 to. 9 I think-- I mean, by way of foreshadowing, 10 Lieutenant Nobach will be testifying that he was not 11 advising people to delete any particular e-mail because 12 of a public records request but rather to clean their 13 e-mail out because it was backlogged and they were not 14 receiving new e-mails, so he's instructing them how to clean the e-mails out. 15 16 Is that correct, Lieutenant? LIEUTENANT NOBACH: That is correct. 17 18 MR. MARLOW: So essentially, Your 19 Honor, this is simply another attempt to get character 20 evidence in and to malign Lieutenant Nobach's character 21 again. 2.2 With regard to the public records request, whether 23 it was made in response and things like that, we have 24 offered a stipulation to stipulate to that very fact so we wouldn't have to have the witness come in via Zoom, 25

and to the admissibility of 215, which is the state patrol's response so that request.

THE COURT: Well, the issue, as I understand it, is that Mr. Sheridan is anticipating that your client, the defense, will say he had no reason to delete these e-mails because-- "I mean, what would be the incentive."

They are entitled to say, "Well, the reason why he deleted the e-mails and the reason why this happened is because he had been embarrassed in the past."

I mean, that's their argument.

MR. MARLOW: If we say that, if Lieutenant Nobach says that.

What I'm telling you, by way of offer of proof, and Lieutenant Nobach has confirmed, that's not what we're going to be saying.

He's going to be saying the reason this e-mail deletion issue-- they refer to it as the "e-mail deletion in response to a public records request," which we deny, was cleaning out e-mails so they could receive additional e-mails.

They were, at that point in time, getting a lot of e-mails with large attachments because of the video files and things, and it was clogging their e-mail system.

They couldn't receive new e-mails during a period of

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

24

25

time where things were difficult.

They cleaned the e-mails out for that reason, so that's what they're going to say.

If we get up there and Lieutenant Nobach says something different than that, then perhaps this witness might have some relevance in that aspect, but until that happens, this witness does not have any relevancy and isn't admissible under 404(b).

MR. SHERIDAN: He already said it. He said it in 2017 when he was interviewed by the person who was Randy Drake's brother who was doing the interview, and he said to him at that time, "I had no motive," so they didn't know in 2017-- when they did the interview, they didn't know what we know.

We didn't know that the public records request burned him in-- the request before this, by this guy Hendricks, burned him.

He already said it, and so we get to cross him on that.

He can't--

21 THE COURT: I need to know two things:

When was this public disclosure request made?

MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. I--

THE COURT: And when was the incident where he-- where Lieutenant Nobach told people to delete

```
1
     e-mails?
         It's been testified sometime in February, but I
 2.
 3
     don't know when.
 4
                       MR. SHERIDAN: So the first request
 5
     that produced Exhibit No. 19 was either the very end of
     2013 or the very beginning of 2014, because if you look
 6
     at Exhibit No. 19, it has him forwarding the embarrassing
 7
     e-mails to Brenda, because Brenda is producing them,
 8
 9
     right?
10
         That's what's happening.
11
          He knows it's going to be produced, but he doesn't
12
     know it's going to go on the web.
13
         The--
14
                       THE COURT: Where do you get that?
15
         I don't see--
16
                                       Let me pull up 19.
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
17
                       THE COURT: So I see about 29,000
18
     results-- (Inaudible crosstalk.)
19
         March 16th, 2020--
20
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                       Yeah.
21
         And it was published online, Hendricks will say, on
2.2
     February 23rd, 2014.
23
         And so the destroyed-the-e-mails thing--
24
                       THE COURT:
                                    When?
25
                       MR. SHERIDAN: --happens around May
```

```
1
     Day of 2014, so that's the timeline.
 2.
                       THE COURT: So when-- I'm sorry, when
 3
     was this published?
 4
                        MR. SHERIDAN: Exhibit No. 19 was
 5
     published online on February 23rd, 2014, and the request
     to destroy e-mails was around May Day, right? Because
 6
     it's the May Day e-mails, so I'm going to guess the 3rd
 7
 8
     or the 4th of May.
 9
                       THE COURT: All right. So I am going
10
     to reserve ruling on this until there is -- until
11
     Lieutenant Nobach testifies, and then if he's going to
12
     testify with what-- with the offer of proof, as presented
13
     by Mr. Marlow, this is not admissible.
14
         If there's any other testimony that would seem to
15
     indicate that he had no reason to delete e-mails, then
16
     this would be admissible.
17
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                      Okay. All right.
18
                       THE COURT: So what-- would you agree
19
     to stipulate the other part of the testimony of -- is it
20
     "Ericson"?
21
                                       "Hendricks."
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
2.2
                       THE COURT: "Hendricks," and to
23
     Exhibit No. 215?
24
                       MR. SHERIDAN: I think, if I
25
     understand you right -- I don't think I get to raise this
```

1 issue at all, do I. 2. THE COURT: What do you mean? 3 MR. SHERIDAN: I am thinking I 4 shouldn't be calling Hendricks until rebuttal. 5 There's no reason to call him because I'm not going to get in-- I can't broach the subject matter, right? 6 7 THE COURT: Not on this, not on Exhibit No. 19. 8 9 You can either call him for 215 or you can agree to 10 stipulate his testimony, and then depending on the 11 testimony of Lieutenant Nobach, then you can call him in 12 rebuttal, if it goes there. 13 MR. SHERIDAN: But just to make sure I 14 get this right, I'm not permitted to ask Hendricks if he 15 released the production that he got in early 2014 on the 16 web involving aviation? 17 THE COURT: Correct. 18 Then I'm not going to MR. SHERIDAN: 19 call him now. 20 I will wait until he testifies, because otherwise 21 the jury is going to wonder why I'm calling him at all. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So you don't want 23 to do the stipulation either, you just want to wait. 24 MR. SHERIDAN: No. 25 THE COURT: That's fine.

```
1
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                      Either we're in or
 2
     we're out.
 3
          I don't think halfway-- (inaudible).
 4
         The other exhibit doesn't tell us anything.
 5
                       MR. MARLOW: Your honor, just so I'm
     not later accused of sandbagging, you know, rebuttal
 6
     would not be your case in chief, so I'm just making sure
 7
     you understand that you won't have this witness or 215 or
 8
 9
     the stipulation in your case in chief, just making
10
     certain that everyone is understanding that.
11
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                      That's what it sounds
12
     like, right?
13
                       THE COURT: Correct.
14
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                       That's the Court's
15
     ruling.
16
                       MR. MARLOW: It's your decision.
17
                       THE COURT: Well, no. No.
18
          If you want in your case in chief the stipulation up
19
     to e-mail 215, that's fine.
20
                       MR. SHERIDAN: But 215 doesn't matter
21
     if it's not connected to 19.
22
                       THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's your
23
     choice.
24
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                      Yeah.
25
                       THE COURT: So you can call him on
```

```
1
     rebuttal if you want.
 2
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                      Okav.
 3
         Can I have a moment on 215?
 4
                       THE COURT: That's fine.
 5
         Does that mean that we don't have a Zoom witness
     then?
 6
 7
                       MR. SHERIDAN: That's what it means.
                                      (Inaudible crosstalk.)
 8
 9
                       MR. SHERIDAN: We will take a
10
     stipulation to the admission of 215.
11
                       THE COURT: Okay. So do you want to
12
     work on that? I mean, we don't have to do that right
13
     now.
14
                       MR. SHERIDAN: (Inaudible) -- agree to
     the admission of 213-- 215.
15
16
                       MR. MARLOW: We'll work on it, Your
17
     Honor.
18
                       THE COURT: All right.
19
                       MR. SHERIDAN: I will have my staff
20
     contact Hendricks to say, "Don't come," and I guess the
21
     IT guy should not come either.
22
                       THE COURT: Oh, so we had--
23
                                       (Inaudible crosstalk.)
24
                       COURT BAILIFF: I will call off that
25
     and admit three more people from the waiting room.
```

1	THE COURT: Any new people?
2	(Inaudible crosstalk.)
3	COURT BAILIFF: Are we ready for the
4	jury?
5	(Inaudible crosstalk.)
6	THE COURT: We are going to call
7	you're calling Dr. Torelli?
8	MR. SHERIDAN: That's correct,
9	THE COURT: And then you are
10	re-calling your client?
11	MR. SHERIDAN: Yes.
12	Can I also point out that we thought you know how
13	we tried to set up that vice president at the end of the
14	day and the defense said, "We haven't talked to her"?
15	She, it turns out, is a high-level State employee.
16	She's a vice president at Evergreen, so we can't talk to
17	her, and we have I sent an e-mail to the other side
18	because they seem to forget that their staff was working
19	on producing her
20	THE COURT: Who are you talking about?
21	I'm sorry.
22	MR. SHERIDAN: Say again.
23	THE COURT: Who are you talking about?
24	Which witness.
25	(Inaudible crosstalk.)

1 MR. SHERIDAN: Ms. Kaiser, she's the vice president. She's the one that I'm trying to fill 2 3 out the bottom of the hour with at 3:00, 3:30. And so she's a short witness, but it turns out that 4 5 she is-- that we served the subpoena, the defense accepted the subpoena, and their people worked with my 6 staff on getting her produced. 7 The defense now says, "You get her," and we are, 8 9 under Wright versus Group Health, we are not even allowed 10 to talk to her because she's a State employee, still 11 employed. 12 Certainly we can't compel her. 13 We gave the subpoena to compel to the other side, 14 and they accepted it on her behalf. 15 MR. BIGGS: I will just ignore the part again about the slight, but we accepted --16 17 THE COURT: What is that. 18 MR. MARLOW: My hand. My apologies. 19 (Inaudible.) 20 MR. BIGGS: We accepted subpoenas on a 21 number of witnesses that were nonemployees, to help 2.2 Mr. Sheridan, and he sent a subpoena to us and a letter, 23 and the letter says, "Our office will contact you to 24 schedule your actual testimony." That is; his office would make that contact and schedule it. 25

1 We passed that through, as we agreed to do, and then if they haven't contacted the witnesses, we are not aware 2 3 of that. 4 I assumed that when he's talking about certain 5 people, that he has talked to them. We're doing our best to keep people lined up, but 6 it's secondary to what he's told these witnesses in his 7 8 letter, that they would be advised of when they were 9 needed. 10 We're trying-- if we hear back from people, we have 11 said-- someone told us that they are not available on 12 certain dates and so on. We are happy to try to 13 coordinate this, but this is the first time we're hearing 14 about that we're supposed to be handling this, because his letters to all these witnesses said he, his office, 15 16 would coordinate that. 17 THE COURT: And she's not a WSP 18 employee? 19 MR. BIGGS: She is not. 20 THE COURT: I know we talked at the 21 beginning that you were going to work on coordinating 22 those witnesses? 23 MR. BIGGS: Correct. 24 THE COURT: So can you, obviously not 25 right now-- but we are not going to have her today.

1	Can you facilitate can you reach out to her and
2	coordinate the testimony?
3	MR. BIGGS: Yes, we can do that. Yes.
4	MR. SHERIDAN: Thanks, Your Honor.
5	MR. BIGGS: We'll need to know when
6	they anticipate calling her so we can tell her.
7	THE COURT: Which is not going to be
8	this afternoon now, but okay.
9	Which that may actually work out so we can continue
10	the Detective Santhuff in one
11	MR. SHERIDAN: Thanks, Your Honor.
12	COURT BAILIFF: Are you ready for the
13	jury?
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	COURT BAILIFF: All rise for the jury.
16	(Jury enters.)
17	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be
18	seated.
19	(Inaudible crosstalk.)
20	THE COURT: Everyone that is on Zoom,
21	please make sure that you're muted.
22	Thank you.
23	I also wanted to inform those people that are
24	appearing or watching the proceeding via Zoom, you are
25	expected to follow the same rules that apply in the

1 courtroom. That means that you cannot record the proceeding. 2 We only have one official record, and that is the record kept by our clerk. 4 5 Likewise, you are precluded from taking any screenshots, just like you are prohibited from taking 6 7 photos in the courtroom. A violation of my order would be basis for being 8 9 held in contempt and sanctions. 10 Members of the jury, we will be taking a witness out 11 of order. Mr. Sheridan, could you please call your next 12 13 witness? Thanks, Your 14 MR. SHERIDAN: Yes. 15 Honor. 16 Your Honor, we are withdrawing Exhibit No. 101 from 17

consideration, and I will go get Dr. Torelli.

THE COURT: Sometimes, Members of the Jury, it is hard for the parties to coordinate scheduling with witnesses, so that's why we are taking a witness out of order, but Detective Santhuff will retake the stand and continue.

I didn't want you thinking that you didn't have the opportunity to ask any questions if you had any.

(Inaudible crosstalk.)



18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1		////
2		PAUL TORELLI, having been duly sworn
3		by the Honorable Mafe Rajul,
4		testified as follows:
5		////.
6		THE COURT: All right. Please have a
7		seat, and I'm going to ask you to please remove your face
8		covering so that the jury can watch you when you testify.
9		Mr. Sheridan?
10		MR. SHERIDAN: Thanks, Your Honor.
11		DIRECT EXAMINATION
12		BY MR. SHERIDAN:
13	Q	Good afternoon.
14	A	Good afternoon.
15	Q	Please state your full name for the record.
16	A	Paul Andrew Torelli.
17	Q	And, sir, can you tell us with whom you're employed?
18	A	My own firm, my own consulting firm, Quantitative Social
19		Science.
20	Q	All right. And can you tell us what you do for a living?
21	A	I'm an economic and statistical consultant; specifically,
22		I'm a forensic economist.
23	Q	All right. And could you explain to the jury, what is a
24		forensic economist?
25	A	Well, it's applying economics and also some statistics to

law, to litigation and lawsuits. 1 It typically involves performing valuations, 2 calculating economic loss. 3 Okay. And can you tell us, are you also a labor 4 Q 5 economist? Yes, that's right. 6 Α 7 So I'm an economics Ph.D. That's my background. When I was in school, my focus was in labor 8 9 economics, and then once I left school in 2005, I've been 10 working as a forensic economist and testifying expert, 11 which is what I'm doing right now. 12 All right. Do you have a Ph.D.? O 13 I do. Α 14 And tell us about your educational background. 0 15 Sure. I will just go over my background quickly. Α I was born and raised in Seattle. 16 17 I started college in 1996 at the University of 18 California at Berkeley. 19 I finished in four years on time in 2000 with a 20 bachelor's degree. I double majored in economics and mathematics. 21 22 I finished as the top student in the economics 23 department, along with one other student, Leslie, who is 24 actually a professor of economics at Occidental College 25 in L.A. now.

Then after graduating from college at UW Berkeley in 1 2 2000, I went straight to graduate school. I had a full scholarship through the National 3 4 Science Foundation, entering the economics Ph.D. program 5 at Harvard, so I was at Harvard from 2000 to 2005. I received my master's degree in economics in 2003 6 and my Ph.D. degree in economics at Harvard in 2005. 7 All right. And can you tell us, what was your Ph.D. 8 0 9 thesis in? 10 It was three papers, which is typical in the economics 11 field, and I think it was entitled, "Three essays on labor economics and public policy." 12 13 All right. And tell us about your work life. Q So since 2005, I've been a forensic economist, primarily. 14 Α 15 I also have done research. I've published a couple articles with co-authors as 16 17 well as a book on the "Economics of Globalization." first edition came out in 2013. The second edition came 18 19 out in 2017. 20 It's a mini textbook you can find on Amazon. I've also done a bit of teaching. 21 2.2 And where have you taught? 0 23 Seattle U, so I've done-- I taught an intro business Α 24 statistics course at Albers, the Seattle U business 25 school, on three different occasions.

- 1 Q All right. And have you been retained by our firm to do some work in this case?
- 3 A Yes, that's correct.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- 4 Q And tell us what you were asked to do.
- 5 A I was asked to calculate a couple things, so I submitted two reports.

The first report, I calculated Mr. Ryan Santhuff's lost earnings working as a pilot, beginning in the year 2032 after he put in his 25 years with the Washington State Patrol, so it's beginning in 2032 and going through retirement at the age of 65.

There's a mandatory retirement age of 65 for pilots now.

The first report was on his lost earnings, and the second report I submitted calculated his lost pension.

- Q Okay. All right. And what documents did you review?
- 17 A Standard case files, so the complaint.

I spoke with Mr. Santhuff on the phone back in February, as I was putting together my first report.

I did some research on my own.

I would just say that -- the standard case files.

- Q All right. And is this the type of information considered by forensic economists on this subject matter?
- 24 A Yes, that's right.
- 25 | Q All right. And if you give opinions today, will you

- promise to do so on a more likely than not basis with a reasonable degree of economic certainty?
- 3 A Yes, I will.
- 4 Q All right. Why don't you tell us, after you were
 5 retained-- oh, before I get to that, what's your hourly
 6 rate?
- 7 A 425.
- 8 Q And have we worked together before?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 | Q And about how many times?
- 11 A Maybe about 15 different cases over the last seven years or so.
- 13 Q All right. In this market, is there anyone else that you know of, in this labor market, that has the degrees that you have up at Harvard?
- 16 A So my labor market, meaning forensic economists in
 17 Washington state, let's say, no.

There's a few other economics Ph.D.s, but I'm the only one in Washington, and I believe Oregon too, with an economics Ph.D. from Harvard who works as a forensic economist.

- 22 Q All right. Now, tell us what you did and why.
- 23 A Sure.

18

19

20

21

24

25

So let me turn to the first projection here showing Mr. Santhuff's lost earnings in front of me, but I can

tell you, it's a lost earnings calculation.

There's two basic ingredients to start out with, two scenarios, let's say.

The first scenario is the hypothetical but-for scenario, and by "but-for," that means except for the alleged retaliation that Mr. Santhuff experienced and his moving to-- out of the pilot section of the Washington State Patrol-- so in the but-for scenario, none of that happened, there's no conflict with his superior, and no demotion, et cetera.

In the but-for scenario, Mr. Santhuff would continue working as a pilot through putting in his 25 years with the Washington State Patrol, and then, starting in the year 2032, he would move to the private sector and work as a pilot.

Q Did you make any assumptions regarding whether he would be a command pilot at the Navy-- at the aviation unit?

A I did.

And that actually -- that comes into play with the pension calculation specifically, but -- well, first we'll go over lost earnings.

The but-for scenario is he would have been a command pilot with the Washington State Patrol.

He would have gained more and more experience, essentially through his 40s, and that experience would

have been valuable in the private sector.

Again, it's my understanding his intention, starting in the year 32, after leaving the Washington State Patrol, is he would work as a pilot in the private sector, potentially for an ultra-wealthy individual or for an airline, such as American Airlines or Delta, and then continue working through age 65.

Q Okay.

A So that's the but-for scenario, the except-for scenario.

And then the other scenario is the actual scenario, what his actual situation is.

It's my understanding that he's working as a detective, a narcotics detective, I believe, with the Washington State Patrol, and his earnings are approximately 90,000 a year.

The actual scenario, I'm going to project the \$90,000 a year current earnings forward under an appropriate earnings growth rate.

It's a contrast between the but-for earnings as a pilot, which are higher, and a contrast between the but-for earnings and the actual earnings continuing on as a detective for the Washington State Patrol.

- Q Okay. And tell us what you did in that regard.
- A Well, so it would probably be helpful to pull up one of my lost earnings projections, just to be concrete.

Let's pull up Table 2. 1 Q Sure. 2. Is that the right one? You may want to start with Table No. 3. 3 Α Okay. Table No. 3. 4 0 5 MR. SHERIDAN: Greq, go ahead. Yeah, Table No. 3 is, I 6 THE WITNESS: 7 would say, more of a preliminary table, which I can explain. 8 9 It looks a little bit blurry, but maybe that's just 10 me. 11 MR. SHERIDAN: Can you sharpen that, 12 Greq, a little? 13 THE WITNESS: Well, I'll continue. 14 So Table No. 3, you'll notice the first couple columns, "year" and "age," so the loss-- lost earnings 15 cover January 2032, meaning just after Mr. Santhuff puts 16 17 in 25 years, and that would have happened December 6th, 18 2031-- so I'm assuming he would have become a pilot in the but-for earnings column, Column 1 there, and he would 19 20 continue working as a pilot through age 65, which is July 21 2046. 22 Mr. Santhuff was born in July 1981. 23 I'm applying what's essentially an average earnings 24 growth rate-- well, first let me mention, I'm ignoring 25 inflation here.

- 1 | Q (By Mr. Sheridan) And why is that?
 - A I think these earnings figures some ways into the future are difficult to properly interpret when you're including inflation, so really just for ease of interpretation.

It really doesn't affect the results too much.

I'm assuming in 2032 that Mr. Santhuff would have been earning \$190,000 as a pilot in the private sector, and that was Mr. Santhuff's estimate of what he thinks he would be able to earn.

- 10 | Q When was that made in the process of your work?
- 11 A At the very beginning.
- 12 | Q Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 13 A So just-- I received a bunch of case files, and it
 14 included a cover letter from your law office stating that
 15 Mr. Santhuff's estimate is that working as a pilot post
 16 his WSP career, he would be able to earn \$190,000.
- 17 | Q Okay. And what did you do next?
- 18 A I projected it forward under a two percent real earnings
 19 growth rate.
- 20 Q And why two percent?
- 21 A It's an average based on any number of historical 22 statistics I've looked at.
- I will give you an example.
- 24 There's a study released a few years ago by a Ph.D. 25 economist who had access to millions of Social Security

earnings histories of Americans, and they tracked 1 earnings growth rates from 1978 to 2011. 2 The average earnings growth rate was two percent a 3 year in real terms, so what does that mean if you're 4 5 including inflation? Well, inflation is expected to be about two percent 6 a year going forward, and that's largely due to Federal 7 Reserve policy. 8 9 If you include inflation at two percent on top of 10 real earnings growth at two percent, you'd have a four percent overall earnings growth rate. 11 12 That's another way to think of it. 13 Again, you know, my reading of the historical 14 statistics -- some of them even dating back to the 1790s 15 -- is that real earnings growth rates have averaged about one and a half to two percent a year. 16 17 I think with this plaintiff, he is a career-oriented individual, so two percent a year would be appropriate. 18 19 That's the basis of that parameter. 20 All right. And what should we look at next? 21 Α Sure. 22 So Column No. 2, that's his actual earnings as a 23 detective, so there's a couple rationale for that. 24 The first is what if-- I took his current earnings 25 level of about \$90,000 a year and ran it forward for

about 12 years at the two percent-- again, the two percent real earnings growth rate.

That leads to earnings in the year 2032 of \$114,142. About 115,000, let's say.

Then the other piece of information I pulled up from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and I'll discuss that a bit more in a minute, so as I often do in these-- in putting together these calculations and reports, I pulled up the Occupational Employment Statistics, which the Bureau of Labor Statistics produce. They come out every year, an updated version.

The nice thing about the OES, Occupational Employment Statistics, is that it gives you the average earnings in a given geographic location for a given occupation.

That's why it's called "Occupational Employment Statistics."

According to the current OES data for the Seattle,
Tacoma, Bellevue area, among first-line supervisors of
police and detectives, the average earnings are \$115,850,
so that's-- that explains the assumption there that Ryan
would start-- in the year 2032 he would be at \$115,850
continuing on his detective work, let's say, after
leaving the Washington State Patrol, potentially working
for law enforcement or perhaps a private agency.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A And then going forward, again, under the two percent real earnings growth rate.
- 4 Q Okay. And what did you calculate next?
- 5 A Column 3 is lost earnings, which is straightforward.
 - It's just Column 1 minus Column 2.
 - It's a substantial loss in each year.
 - Column 4, it says, "PDV," and that stands for "present discounted value."
 - So present discounted value is a reduction for all future lost earnings -- and in this table they're all future lost earnings, right?
 - We are going from the year 2032 through July 2046.
 - It's reducing the lost earnings under a given interest rate or discount rate.
 - When I calculate present discounted value, I turn to the current rates on TIPS -- treasury, inflation, protected securities -- which are an inflation hedge.
 - I consider them to be the safest, most risk-free investment that a plaintiff could make, and that, I would say, is the industry standard for forensic economics.
 - It's typically a risk-free interest rate that we'll turn to for a discount rate.
 - This was-- when I put together my report, March 4th of this year, the real interest rate amongst the TIPS

bonds, long-term TIPS of either 10 or 30 years, was 0.5 1 2 percent, half a percent. If you're thinking-- if you're including inflation 3 4 of two percent, that would be equivalent to a 2.5 percent 5 interest rate. In Column 4 I reduce the lost earnings figures to 6 present value, to present discounted value, under a real 7 interest rate of 0.5 percent. 8 Let's be concrete. 9 10 If you-- for the year 2032, if the plaintiff were to 11 receive \$69,842, then in 12 years time, if that money is 12 invested at a 0.5 percent interest rate, he'd have 13 74,150, which is what you see in Column 3. 14 Again, it's-- a present discounted value is a 15 reduction based on an appropriate discount rate. 16 Okay. And using Ryan's number of 190, what did you 0 17 calculate to be the lost wages as a result? 18 The total lost earnings in this Table No. 3 come to 19 \$1,128,687 in present discounted value. 20 All right. Now, after you did some research on salaries 0 for pilots, did you come up with a different calculation? 21 I did. 22 Α 23 Once I dug into the case files and did some research 24 on my own-- I specifically turned to the Occupational

Employment Statistics from the Bureau of Labor Statistics

- for the average pilot earnings in the local area.
- 2 Q And what did you learn?
 - A Well, the bottom line is that they're about \$240,000 a year, specifically-- well, just one second.

So the occupational grouping is airline pilots, co-pilots, and flight engineers. That's the most appropriate grouping, and it's the largest amongst the Occupational Employment Statistics, but when I was putting together-- sorry, can we go to Table 2 actually?

10 Q Yes, please.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A So Table No. 3, that's based on Mr. Santhuff's estimate of 190,000 as a pilot, but Table No. 2, thank you, is based on my own research and digging into Bureau of Labor Statistics data.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics data, specifically the Occupational Employment Statistics for Seattle, Tacoma, Bellevue area pilots, is that they average 240,000-- \$240,290 per year.

My assumption here, in Table No. 2, is that
Mr. Santhuff, just after leaving the Washington State
Patrol in the but-for scenario, would have been able to
make the average for pilots in the greater Seattle area.

I will mention, the numbers don't differ very much for Washington state.

The corresponding number for pilots in Washington

state is about -- is 234,000, so it's in line. 1 Typically Seattle area figures are a little bit 2 higher than state-wide figures. 3 4 That's the explanation for the but-for earnings in 5 the year 2032. Then going forward, again, I applied the two percent 6 real earnings growth rate through a retirement at age 65, 7 so that's Column 1. 8 9 Column 2 is exactly the same as in the previous 10 table. 11 He would be at about 115,000, 116,000, just 12 continuing on his career working as a detective. 13 Okay. And please continue. Q 14 Α Sure. 15 So Column 3 is the lost earnings. Again, it's Column 1 minus Column 2. 16 17 The loss is greater in this table, given the higher level of but-for earnings in 2032, and then just like in 18 the previous table, Column 4 shows the present discounted 19 20 value of the lost earnings under the 0.5 percent real discount rate, and so the lost earnings in Table No. 2 21 here come to \$1,894,185 in present discounted value. 22 23 Okay. And those are lost earnings had he not been-- had Q 24 he not moved out of aviation?

Yes.

Α

- Did you also do a calculation 1 Okay. All right. Q 2. regarding lost retirement benefits? 3 I did, and it's specifically his lost pension income post Α retirement, so after age 65 and going through his life 4 5 expectancy. His life expectancy is to age 78.4, which would be 6 December 2059, and this is a pension-- lost pension 7 calculation under a defined benefit pension system. 8 9 That pension system is the Washington State Patrol 10 retirement system, Plan 2.
- 11 | Q Would it help to put up Table No. 4 at this time?
- 12 A I think it would, yes.
- So I'll just continue on.
- 14 | Q Please.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A It's called a defined benefit pension because the payout is well defined according to a formula, and it's a rather simple formula.

In this system, after putting in your 25 years of service with the Washington State Patrol, you receive a pension benefit of 50 percent of your average final salary, and your average final salary, just by definition, is your average earnings at the end of your career, the last five years.

Okay. So the basis here is a comparison between Ryan continuing on, going from 90,000 a year with a two

percent growth rate, and then getting up to about 115,000, let's say.

Now, the contrast is I'm assuming in the but-for scenario Ryan would have gotten a 15 percent pilot incentive pay, so his earnings in his Washington State Patrol career would have been higher by 15 percent working as a pilot.

That's the basis of it.

It's a rather modest contrast or earnings difference.

In the but-for scenario, his average final compensation or average final salary, sorry, towards the end of his career, would have been 123,741, so half of that comes to \$61,870, which is what you see at the beginning of Column 1 there.

Now, you'll notice that the number is the same, and that's because I'm ignoring inflation.

Under this pension system the recipient receives cost-of-living adjustments, so essentially if inflation is 1.5 percent, then your pension income gets bumped up by 1.5 or two percent, let's say.

Again, I'm ignoring inflation, so I'm just keeping it constant in each and every year through the end of his life expectancy.

Column 2 is the actual pension, and that is based on

into the pension system.

8.45 percent of their earnings into it.

50 percent of his average final salary, assuming he 1 doesn't get that 15 percent pilot incentive bonus, so 2 that's why 50 percent of that 15 percent, when you're 3 107,000 or so, is about 7,000 or 8,000-- \$8,000 a year, 4 that's the loss there in Column 3, but just to explain 5 the actual pension amount, the actual scenario, when he's 6 not a pilot, his average final salary would be 107,601, 7 and half of that is 53,800. 8 9 Column 3 is the lost pension income in each year of 10 8,070. Again, Column 4 is the present discounted value 11 reduction under a real discount rate of 0.5 percent. 12 13 MR. SHERIDAN: Greg, will you go 14 towards the bottom of that? Thanks. 15 (By Mr. Sheridan) All right. And what is your calculation that that leads to? 16 17 Well, the lost pension income post retirement through Α 18 life expectancy comes to \$199,137 in present discounted 19 value, but there's one last step to do with this 20 calculation, which is apply an offset, a reduction again. 21 The idea is with that extra 15 percent he would have 22 earned, he would have actually contributed some of it

Now, individuals in this pension system contribute

23

24

That's what partially funds it, of course. 1 What I did is I calculated the extra earnings he 2 would have had based on the 15 percent bonus incentive pay, and then I took 8.45 percent of that. 4 5 To be precise, his additional earnings over the 2017 through 2031 period would have been about 215,000, so 6 7 8.45 percent of that is \$18,160. That's the own-contributions offset. 8 9 It's money that he would have had to contribute in 10 the but-for scenario, but now he doesn't have to. 11 The lost pension income minus the uncontributions offset gives you the total loss pension net of own 12 13 contributions, the bottom line pension loss, and that is 14 \$180,976 in present discounted value. 15 All right. Anything else to say? Not at this time. 16 Α 17 Okay. No further MR. SHERIDAN: 18 questions. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Any cross? 20 MR. MARLOW: Yes. Thank you, Your 21 Honor. 2.2 CROSS-EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. MARLOW: 24 Good afternoon, Doctor. 25 How are you today?

Good. 1 Α 2 How are you? 3 I'm doing well. Q 4 My name the Scott Marlow. I'm one of the attorneys 5 representing the defendant in this matter. 6 Pleasure to meet you. 7 Α You too. I am going to speak a little loud. I am not meaning to 8 9 yell at you, but I need to make sure the jurors in the 10 back can actually hear me, so please take no offense. I have a few questions for you. 11 12 You indicated that you formed your own company, and 13 your company is focused on trial testimony or preparing 14 for trial testimony; is that correct? 15 Is that fair to say? 16 Α No. 17 You do analysis for legal cases? That's right, that's primarily what my consulting firm 18 19 does. 20 Okay. And are you paid solely on an hourly basis, that 425, or do you sometimes get a percentage of the cases 21 22 themselves? 23 It's hourly. Α 24 There's no contingency fee basis. 25 No contingency fees? 0

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q Okay. And you indicated you worked with Mr. Sheridan
- 3 approximately 15 or so times since the founding of your
- 4 company?
- 5 A I would say so.
- 6 I think it dates back to 2013, so a couple times a
- 7 | year he'll have a case that requires a lost earnings
- 8 and/or lost pension calculation.
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A And he asks me to write a report.
- 11 0 I understand.
- 12 And then do you often have a chance to come in and
- 13 testify as well?
- 14 | A You know, I wouldn't say oftentimes.
- The vast majority, when I'm retained, I will submit
- a report, but usually cases settle, so if the case goes
- 17 to trial, then yes, I will testify at trial.
- 18 I would estimate I work on between 50 and 100 cases
- 19 a year, and I will do maybe five or six trial
- 20 testimonies.
- 21 Usually under ten.
- 22 | Q Do you work on cases with attorneys throughout Washington
- 23 state?
- 24 A I do.
- 25 | Q And do you go outside of Washington state?



1 | A I do.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 Q Okay. Tell me about some of those that you have been on outside of Washington state.

What state have you been hired (inaudible) --

A I've been retained on Oregon cases in the past, Idaho cases, a federal case in Colorado starting up-- the attorneys are in Washington, DC.

I testified, actually, in Washington, DC December of last year in a child sex abuse case against the Mormon church, and that was under West Virginia rules because the plaintiff was in West Virginia, but the attorneys were based in Washington, DC and Idaho.

I have-- most my practice is Washington state, but, you know, I had a Las Vegas, Nevada case too a few years ago.

Maybe ten percent are outside of Washington state.

- Q But your firm is solely focused on that economic-- you refer to it as "forensic economist for litigation purposes"?
- 20 A Not solely. That's just the majority of my work time.
- 21 | Q Okay. What else does your firm focus on?
- What else does your firm do?
- 23 A I've done public policy consulting in the past.
- 24 | O I see.
- 25 A A little bit.



- I may in the future. 1 2 It's tough to say. Again, I have done some teaching in the past, but I'm not doing that now. 4 5 I understand. 0 Now, the present -- "PDV" is "present daily value"? 6 "Present discounted value." 7 Α Present discounted value, is that the same thing as 8 9 actual present value? 10 I think so, or net-- there are other terminologies. Α 11 It's just I tend to use the one that was used in 12 school. 13 Okay. Q
- 14 A Economics courses.
- 15 Q Okay. But "present value" is a term of art in your field; is that correct?
- 17 A Well, I've heard "present cash value," terms like that.
- 18 Q Okay. And in your opinion then, PDV is the same or equivalent to present value?
- 20 A Yes.

24

- Q Okay. Why don't we talk about some of the assumptions you made in coming up with the numbers you have.
 - Now, you assumed that if in your but-for scenarios, that if Mr. Santhuff stayed with the state patrol's aviation section, he would become a command pilot; is

- 1 that correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay. And that's part of that 15 percent bump he gets 4 for a pilot?
- 5 A That's correct.
- It's my understanding that in the Washington State

 Patrol aviation section, command pilot rank comes with a

 15 percent specialty pay bonus.
- 9 Q Okay. And that 15 percent factors into your calculations 10 for Table No. 4, the pension shortfall, as well as 11 essentially the salary that offsets, correct?
- 12 A Well, it's the foundation of the pension loss calculation 13 in Table No. 4.
- 14 The lost earnings is different.
- Okay. But the lost earnings would be impacted by how much he would be making as a command pilot, correct?
- 17 A I think potentially, but I didn't-- I didn't perform lost 18 earnings calculations for the years prior to 2032.
- 19 0 I see. Okay. That makes sense.
- So with regard to after 2032, in your but-for scenario-- I'm sorry, in your actual scenario, this is the scenario where Detective Santhuff just remains a detective, correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q Okay. Did you ever take into consideration whether or

- not there was any sort of promotional opportunity that he might be able to take advantage of if not inside the aviation section?
 - A Well, the earnings growth rate of two percent-- real earnings growth rate of two percent, I think it does incorporate the occasional promotion.
- $7 \mid Q \quad Mm-hm$.

5

6

10

- 8 A Not every year, but maybe something like once a decade, 9 but I did not-- I applied the two percent growth rate.
 - I did not specifically assume he would have gotten a promotion to Position X at Year Y paying Amount Z, right?
- 12 Q Understood, understood.
- 13 Are you aware of, say, how many sergeant positions 14 there inside the aviation section?
- 15 A I don't know.
- Okay. So promotional -- would you think it would be fair to say promotional opportunities within a very small unit of, say, ten to 11 folks, would be lesser-- the promotional opportunities would be lesser, than if you're in a much larger group of people, say, with, you know, a thousand or more people?
- 22 A I think that if all is equal, I think that sounds right.
- 23 Q I mean, part of your expertise is in labor economics, 24 right?
- 25 A Right.

Q So if you have the opportunity— if Detective Santhuff was outside of aviation, he could be potentially promoted to a sergeant or promoted to a lieutenant or make captain or make assistant chief.

He could even become chief.

Those opportunities probably wouldn't be afforded to him within the aviation section because it's so small.

Do your calculations of -- take into account any of those possibilities for promotion that would exist outside of aviation?

A Not specifically.

Again, in the actual scenario, I have him going from \$90,000 a year, currently, up to about 115,000 in the year 2032, but I'm not specifically assuming one or multiple promotions to, let's say, sergeant or some other higher-paying positions.

- Q Okay. So in your but-for scenario, you assume he's going to progress and accelerate and become a command pilot, correct?
- 20 A Correct.

1

2.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 21 Q But in your actual circumstance, you don't give him that
 22 same sort of acceleration or growth of his job. He
 23 doesn't get promoted to a sergeant, lieutenant, captain,
 24 or anything like that?
- 25 A Well, no, but I'm not speculating about, say, a sergeant

promotion. 1 2 Again, he's out of the pilot section now, so he's not able to get that 15 percent incentive bonus. 3 But he would only get that 15 percent incentive bonus if 4 O 5 your assumption that he would make command pilot or your speculation that he would make command pilot was correct, 6 right? 7 I think that's right. 8 Α Again, the pension loss calculation is based on 9 10 missing out on that 15 percent pilot bonus. 11 Right. 0 12 Now, with regard to the pension calculation, do you 13 have any idea what the potential provisions would be if 14 he made lieutenant rank or above? 15 Well, it's the formula that you would apply, right? 16 Does that formula apply to lieutenant rank or above, 17 command rank or above? 18 I don't know if it's different for that group. Α 19 Your calculations wouldn't take that into account, right? 0 20 Well, I utilized the standard formula. Α 21 With regard to--0 2.2 MR. MARLOW: Can you put up Table 23 No. 2, please?

(By Mr. Marlow) We are going to work through our

Q

24

25

(Inaudible crosstalk.)

technical difficulties and have one of your tables up 1 2. here shortly? 3 Great. Α So Table No. 2 is -- I'm sorry, I'm actually on Table 4 5 No. 3. My apologies. We'll get to Table No. 2 in a moment. 6 7 Table No. 3 is lost earnings when he starts off as a pilot at \$190,000, correct? 8 9 Correct. Α 10 And that's at age 51 after he retires from state patrol? 11 Right, starting in 2032 just after his retirement from 12 the Washington State Patrol. 13 Okay. And that \$190,000 figure, Mr. Santhuff told you 14 that or Detective Santhuff told you that? 15 Α Right. 16 That's based on his opinion back as of January, 17 February of this year. 18 Okay. And do you have any idea what went into his calculations of "I could make \$190,000"? 19 20 Do you have any idea what his basis for that was? 21 I think it's based on, you know, people that he knows or Α 22 he's heard about, things like that, but I shouldn't say 23 more than that.

He could explain it himself.

25 | Q Sure.

You have no idea whether those assumptions or people 1 2. he knows are, frankly, and I mean no offense, but better pilots than him? 4 Α I don't know. 5 Okay. Do you have-- did you know that prior to leaving aviation, Trooper Santhuff -- at that point in time 6 Trooper Santhuff still had IFR qualifications, even on a 7 Cessna 182? 8 9 I'm sorry, what qualifications? 10 IFR. 0 11 IFR, okay. Α 12 Do you know what I mean by that? 13 I don't. Α Instrument ratings, flying a plane just by instruments. 14 Q 15 Were you aware of that? 16 I was not. Α 17 Do you think that someone that -- especially in the Pacific Northwest where our weather is bad and sometimes 18 19 you have to fly in instruments, that someone that was not 20 even qualified to fly a Cessna 182 on instruments could make \$190,000 a year? 21 2.2 MR. SHERIDAN: That's not the 23 testimony. 24 THE COURT: Overruled. 25 MR. SHERIDAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Well, I'm a little bit 1 2 confused by the hypothetical because, again, the idea was 3 in this but-for scenario, is that Mr. Santhuff would have 4 continued working as a pilot, you know, in 2017, 2018, 5 2019, 2020, and then on to the next decade, and he would have accumulated more flight time and just more training 6 essentially. 7 (By Mr. Marlow) Understood. 8 0 9 Do you know how long Trooper Santhuff was actually 10 in aviation prior to his leaving? 11 Did he begin in 2012? Α 12 It's in the complaint. I forget the exact--13 He was there for a couple years, maybe more? Q 14 I think so. Α 15 Okay. During that period of time, if he was unable to 16 remove the IFR restrictions on a Cessna 182, what would make you think he would progress to where-- continue to 17 18 progress to be a command pilot? Well, this is getting outside my expertise level. 19 Α 20 Understood. 0 21 That's kind of granular. Α 22 I am trying to get at your assumption that he would make 23 command pilot, and the value of that assumption is what 24 I'm trying to get at. 25 Α Okay.

- 1 Q If he's not a good pilot, if he's an okay pilot, if he plateaued at a certain level, could that impact his potential to make a command pilot?
- 4 A I suppose it could.
- Okay. And if he doesn't make command pilot, would your calculations of that extra 15 percent bump, both in pension as well as the financial offset, be flawed?
 - A Well, the pension calculation, as I explained, it's literally derived from that 15 percent incentive bonus.
- 10 | Q For being a command pilot?
- 11 A Sorry?

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 | Q For being a command pilot?
- 13 A That's right, yeah.
 - The lost earnings in Table No. 2 and 3 is a little bit different.
 - I'm just assuming he would have continued on accumulating experience as a pilot for Washington State Patrol and then would have been able to transition to a private sector job.
 - I'm not making specific assumptions whether he would have had the command pilot bonus though.
 - Q Okay. But you're assuming that he would have been able to transfer out and get a job, in his ideal of 190,000, in your ideal in Table No. 2, if I remember correctly, of 240,000.

- He would have been able to walk out of the state patrol and get that job, essentially the next day, for purposes of this calculation?
- 4 A I think there is a little bit of additional training, 5 but-- less than a few months, let's say.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A But yes, that's right.
- 8 Q So when you look at the OES, the-- can you tell me that term--
- 10 A "Occupational Employment Statistics."
- 11 | Q Thank you.
- 12 "Occupational Employment Statistics"?
- 13 A "Statistics," yes.
- 14 Q When you look at that for the pilots, co-pilots, and
 15 flight engineers in this area, what sort of planes are
 16 they flying?
- 17 A I think there is a variety.
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A I don't know the full universe though that that would comprise of.
- The sample would cover all individuals in those categories.
- Q Okay. In your training and experience as an economist, something if it's more rare has greater value, right?
- 25 A I think that's right.

- Q Okay. So if you have the skill set that would allow you to fly a 747 for Delta or United, you're going to get paid more than someone who can't fly a Cessna 182 in bad weather?
 - A So you're getting at the skill level of the pilot as well as whether they can pilot big commercial airplanes.
- 7 Q Correct.
- 8 A Right.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Well, you know, I'm an economist, so I didn't weigh into the specifics there, but I assume that Mr. Santhuff would have continued on in the pilot section and improved his skills and received more training, et cetera, so that he would have been able to pilot the larger twin-engine aircrafts.
- Q But that, again, is an assumption on your part that despite reality that he seemed to plateau or didn't progress that much while in aviation for the period of time he was there, you are assuming that that would have changed and now he would have progressed had he stayed, correct?
- 21 A In my calculations, yes, and I'm not weighting into that 22 argument, whether he plateaued or not.
- 23 Q Okay. I understand that.
- But your calculations assume that he did not or that he wouldn't have?

He would have stayed and flourished? 1 2 Α I think that's correct, yeah. 3 (Inaudible crosstalk.) (By Mr. Marlow) So with regard to actual earnings as a 4 Q 5 detective, this is Table No. 3, so the Column 2 here is how much he's actually making as a detective, and this is 6 progressed forward from-- at the two percent? 7 Right, 90,000 a year now at the two percent real earnings 8 Α 9 growth rate, which is a statistical average, I would say, 10 but that's what the Column 2 is. 11 Right. Q 12 So now if he had promoted and perhaps become a 13 sergeant or become a lieutenant, that would have 14 impacted -- assuming they get paid more, that would have 15 impacted the Column 2, correct? 16 It could. Α 17 I mean, I'm not-- again, I'm utilizing an average--18 a statistical average earnings growth rate. I'm not specifically assuming he would have made a 19 20 high-ranking sergeant position in the year 2040, which 21 pays, I don't know, 150,000 or whatever it would be. 2.2 Right. 0 23 But, again, this is standard methodology by a forensic Α 24 economist.

Q

I understand.

I'm just trying to point out that it's based on an 1 2 assumption, and your assumptions -- in your field you have to make a good deal of assumptions, correct? 4 I think that's right. Α 5 Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to get at is: Q Would it be fair to say that some of your 6 7 assumptions that you make with regard to increasing the amount of money he would make as a pilot and things, 8 9 those assumptions increase the value, and the assumptions 10 you are making with how much he would make as a detective 11 seem to decrease that value. 12 Does that seem like-- am I understanding that 13 correctly? Just mathematically, the higher the but-for earnings in 14 Α 15 Column 1, all else being actual, the higher the loss 16 figure is. 17 In Column 2, the higher the actual earnings as a 18 detective or sergeant or some other position, the lower 19 the lost earnings would be. 20 Exactly. Q 21 I guess what I'm getting at is you are assuming he 2.2 makes command pilot, and that adds to his value for 23 purposes of Table No. 4, the pension and things. 24 You are assuming he's going to start off at 190,000

or, in your calculation, 240,000.

You are assuming he has the average skill set of pilots within this OES for this area, correct?

A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q All those assumptions push those numbers up in Column 1; whereas your assumptions with regard to Column 2 keep those numbers low.

You assume he doesn't get promoted, you assume just his salary goes on at two percent per year.

If those assumptions were flipped on their head, that impacts Column 3, correct, lost earnings?

- 11 A Well, if you change any of the numbers in Columns 1 or 2,

 12 that's going to affect the lost earnings in Column 3,

 13 just mathematically.
- 14 O And then Column 4 as well?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 0 And the bottom line?
- 17 A That's right.
- Q Okay. So if, say, he had been promoted to sergeant, and we've already discussed there's much more opportunities outside aviation than within aviation, and that is an assumption I asked you to make, and you indicated based on your labor background that would generally be a good assumption--
- 24 A That's fine.
- 25 | Q If he promotes to sergeant or he promotes to lieutenant,

and his salary is dramatically different, that would have 1 a dramatic impact on the bottom line, correct? 2. 3 Yes, so you could make adjustments to, let's say, Column Α 4 2, and that would affect the bottom line, but, again, I 5 made assumptions that I thought were reasonable based on the case files and then my research. 6 7 Q I understand. (Inaudible crosstalk.) 8 9 (By Mr. Marlow) I want to look at Table No. 2, which is 0 10 the table you did a little research -- you came up with a 11 new starting salary, that being 240,000-- 240,290-- wow, 12 that's hard to say. 13 So, this is based upon the average of the OES in 14 this-- is it the Seattle metropolitan area? Seattle, Tacoma, Bellevue area. 15 Α 16 Seattle, Tacoma, Bellevue area. 0 17 So would you say that out of Seatac -- Seatac is in 18 that geographic area, so that the OES for pilots, 19 co-pilots, and flight engineers is impacted by the fact 20 that we have aviation, large aviation hubs, like Delta, United, American, at Seatac? 21 Well, I would think so, but I think it's a matter of 22 Α 23 supply and demand, so is there sufficient supply of

pilots to take care of the demand from all these airlines

and Seatac, et cetera.

24

- I think there's a-- I think-- I don't "think."
- 2 There is a pilot shortage these days, and I think that is
- 3 contributing to, you know, high earnings of pilots--
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A --locally.
- 6 Q And I didn't mean to cut you off, but the rarity equals
- 7 | value, right?
- 8 A Right.
- 9 Q So there's a pilot shortage, as you just said, and that
- 10 is based on what?
- 11 Where did you find that?
- 12 A A number of documents I came across when I was putting
- together my report.
- 14 There was a good Forbes article actually, but there
- were a few studies, I think, as well.
- 16 | O And the Forbes article, those studies, when are those
- 17 from?
- 18 A Sorry?
- 19 | Q When are those from, the Forbes article and the studies?
- 20 A 2018, 2019.
- 21 | Q Okay. Are you aware that there was a-- that the 911
- 22 event, September 11th event, had a rather dramatic impact
- 23 on the airline industry?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q Okay. And it took a while for the airline industry to

recover after that; is that fair to say? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Okay. And there was a -- not a glut of pilots there for a period of time after 911 because people weren't flying 4 5 and airlines had actually had to cut back, simply for having to ground their airplanes for four days? 6 Right. 7 Α I think temporarily that's correct. 8 9 Correct. 0 10 Now, do you have any studies post COVID-19? 11 You know, that's a good question, and I think it's just Α 12 too early, but the pandemic has hurt the industry. There's less air travel, but I think that's--13 14 drawing a parallel from 911, I think that's going to be 15 temporary though. 16 Okay. Are you aware that the federal government, both 0 17 the CARES Act as well as the federal subsidies that the 18 federal government is paying to airlines currently, their 19 requirement is that those airlines do not fire or layoff 20 people? 21 Were you aware of that? 22 That sounds correct, I think so. Α 23 Okay. Were you aware that airlines, such as United, are 0 24 indicating that --

MR. SHERIDAN:

25

Your Honor, I am going

1 to object based on a motion in limine that we filed. I apologize. I don't 2 MR. MARLOW: 3 understand the objection. 4 MR. SHERIDAN: Not in evidence and being referred to. 5 THE COURT: All right. Maybe right 6 7 now would be a good time for our afternoon break. It's ten to 3, quarter to 3, so let's take our 8 9 afternoon break. 10 COURT BAILIFF: All rise. 11 (Jury exits.) 12 Thank you. Please be THE COURT: 13 seated. 14 What motion in limine are you referring to? 15 MR. SHERIDAN: The one--16 THE COURT: Hold on. Sorry. I 17 thought the door was already closed. 18 MR. SHERIDAN: The one that we did on 19 evidentiary issues. 20 The second part of the motion pertained to the "Are 21 you aware or "Did you know," that type of 22 cross-examination, so they're allowed to cross-examine on 23 issues like-- on things like publish it-- publications 24 and stuff, but when they start throwing out lesser 25 known-- I didn't object about the 911 stuff because--

```
1
                       THE COURT: What is your objection
 2.
     though?
 3
         Is it form of the question?
 4
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Yes. It's a 611
 5
     objection.
 6
         When a lawyer starts a sentence with "Did you know"
     or "Have you heard," if it's not something already in
 7
     evidence, it's objectionable under 611.
 8
 9
         When you are crossing an expert, you can cross an
     expert on (inaudible) material, but we don't know if
10
11
     there's any validity of the things he said once they are
12
     not something that is obvious to all of us. That would
13
     take (inaudible) judicial notice of, and it's improper.
14
                       THE COURT: All right. You have made
15
     your objection. I am overruling the objection, and we'll
16
     be back in 15 minutes.
17
                                  (Recess 2:47 to 3:02 p.m.)
18
                       THE COURT:
                                   Thank you. Please be
19
     seated.
20
                                      (Inaudible crosstalk.)
21
                                                (Jury enters.)
2.2
                                   Thank you. Please be
                       THE COURT:
23
     seated.
24
         We stand for you out of respect.
25
         All right. That last objection is overruled.
```

1 | MR. MARLOW: Thank you, Your Honor.

Q (By Mr. Marlow) Doctor, so we were speaking about the impact that COVID-19 has had on the airline industries.

You indicated to me that you were aware, essentially, that layoffs and cuts at airlines had been placed on hold by the CARES Act and federal funding; is that correct?

A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q Okay. Now, that CARES Act funding and the federal funding, et cetera, expires at the end of this month.

Is that your understanding?

A I think that's right.

Q Okay. You need to make sure to speak into the microphone so everyone can hear you.

So would it surprise you to know that -- or had you heard that airlines are announcing substantial personnel cuts if the funding from the federal government or the bailout money from the federal government isn't continued?

A It would not surprise me.

Q So figures that, you know, have been out there in the news, United is speaking of 30 percent, American, I think, was actually higher than that, some of the local airlines are indicating— local regional airlines are indicating that they will simply go bankrupt.

Does that seem logical to you, given your training 1 2. and experience? 3 I think in general it sounds logical. Α Okay. And that's because people aren't traveling by air 4 5 anymore? Right. 6 Α I think that's the underlying issue. 7 Now, do you have any way of knowing what the long-term 8 0 9 impact of COVID-19 would be on the airline industry? 10 I'll put it this way: 11 What if businesses get used to meeting via Zoom and, 12 you know, executives are no longer flying all over the 13 place to business meetings? 14 I understand your point, and I think a similar point 15 could be raised with respect to the commercial real estate market, just more working at home, let's say. 16 17 Yeah. Q 18 But, again, I think the downturn and the sharp downturn in air travel is ultimately going to be temporary. 19 20 I think the long-term trends in air travel, which 21 are essentially that there's more and more-- the volume of air travel goes up every decade, let's say. 22 23 Right. Q 24 I think those are driven by economic factors and

increasing globalization, and I-- my personal belief is

- that air travel -- that the volume of air travel will 1 recover, gosh, within five or ten years, let's say. 2 3 Okay. I mean, in your research for this case, did you Q come across the notion that airlines expected a 50 4 5 percent decade-over-decade increase in passengers? Does that sound familiar to you? 6 Well, is this from a pre-pandemic--7 Α This is actually pre-911. 8 9 Okay. Α 10 Does that seem reasonable to you? 11 Well, I'd have to see it, but I think this general trend Α 12 would go back well before 911, and by "trend," I mean 13 increasing air travel within the country but also 14 internationally. 15 What exactly is a trend, Doctor? 16 Α A pattern. 17 We're talking about increases -- you know, 18 year-to-year increases or could be decreases too. 19 Would you agree with me that a trend is, you know, an 0 20 observed phenomenon over time, given other things staying the same, would that (inaudible) be a trend? 21
 - Typically if you're looking at some (inaudible) series of data, such as the volume of air travel, it's not an all-else-equal sort of analysis.

That's a little bit different.

22

23

24

1		You can perform statistical modelling that would try
2		to do that for you, but
3	Q	One of your assumptions, you indicated, was it was your
4		personal opinion that you think the airlines are going to
5		bounce back.
6		One of your assumptions is that they do, right?
7		I should say "one of your assumptions in your
8		calculations."
9	A	Well, "bounce back," what does that mean?
10		Is it going to be 100 percent of the pre-pandemic
11		levels or 90 percent?
12		It's tough to say, but I don't think the currently
13		depressed volume of air travel is going to persist for
14		the rest of the decade.
15	Q	But when it does go down, as it's going down now and
16		potentially, at the end of the month, if the airlines
17		don't get additional bailout money and start laying off,
18		what impact would that have?
19		Let's say 30 percent United lays off 30 percent of
20		their pilots. What impact would that have on the supply
21		of pilots?
22	A	Well, I don't the supply probably wouldn't change that
23		much.
24		It would be a diminishment of demand.
25	Q	Diminishment of demand, my apologies.

I was not getting my economics correct, so thank you 1 2. for the correction, Doctor. The demand would what? 4 Well, the demand for pilots and others on the plane, Α 5 employees, would decline. Would decline? 6 0 And if the demand for pilots and other employees on 7 the plane declines, then the salary paid to retain those 8 9 pilots would also decline. 10 Is that fair to say? 11 Well, it would put downward pressure on earnings of Α 12 pilots and others, but, again, I think this is a 13 temporary issue. 14 Okay. Would it also put additional pilots that had been 15 rendered unemployed -- they would now be in the employment 16 market, correct? 17 Sorry, do you mean the laid-off pilots would be Α 18 unemployed--19 The laid-off pilots would be in the employment market, Q 20 correct? 21 Α Sorry? 2.2 The laid-off pilots would then be in the employment 23 market. 24 You would no longer have a job as a pilot, so you 25 would be looking for a job as a pilot?

- 1 A They would be unemployed looking for work, sure, that happens.
- 3 Q And those would be people who would be competing with 4 Detective Santhuff for pilot positions, correct?
 - A Well, if it was the year 2021 and not 2032, quite possibly, but, again, my lost earnings projection is at least a decade into the future.
- I think the volume of air travel will recover by
 then.
- 10 | Q And you base that thought on what?
- 11 A The reading I've done, the research I've done in this
 12 case, and just, in general, following--
- 13 Q You told us earlier that research and reading you'd done
 14 was all pre-COVID-19 articles, correct?
- 15 A Well, when I was putting together my report, which is
 16 dated March 4 of this year, but I have been following the
 17 news a bit as well since then.
- 18 Q Okay. That's just your personal opinion that you think
 19 things will bounce back?
- 20 A I do, and remember, I wrote a book on the economics of globalization too.
- 22 | Q Right. And so that makes you--
- 23 A I think I spent a lot of time thinking about these issues.
- 25 You know, the pandemic is putting a hamper on

5

6

globalization certainly, but I don't think that's 1 2 necessarily going to continue forever. Okay. As far as the other impacts of the pandemic, you Q know, we selected this jury via Zoom. 4 5 I personally had never done that before. It worked out pretty well. 6 That could be the new way to select juries. 7 I wouldn't need to travel to the courthouse. 8 9 If companies are getting used to Zoom meetings and 10 things, would that potentially decrease business travel? 11 It could. It could. Α 12 How much do you think airlines -- if you know, airlines make of their bottom line revenue on business travel? 13 14 I don't know. Α Okay. Would you think it would be more than vacation 15 16 travel, which is planned well ahead of time versus last-minute business travel, which would be more 17 expensive? A plane ticket you buy six months in advance 18 19 or one you buy the day you're leaving? 20 I think business travel has better margins for the Α 21 airlines, in general. 22 "Better margins" meaning they can charge more? Q 23 Yeah, more profitable. Α 24 Are you aware of within the airline industry, how one

becomes a pilot, co-pilot, or flight engineer, what the

- 1 path is to get there?
- 2 A Well, I included a bit of information on my report
 3 concerning the 1,500-hour rule for pilots, things like
- 4 that, but the specifics, I'm not the one to ask.
- 5 | Q Okay. Do you think that you-- do you think that when
- 6 Trooper Santhuff-- Detective Santhuff leaves in 2032 to
- 7 become a pilot, that he goes and becomes an American
- 8 Airlines 747 pilot?
- 9 A I think he'd first have to-- likely first have to start
- as a co-pilot, not the captain in command, per se, but I
- think he would be in a favorable position, at the age of
- 12 50, 51, given his experience, to move up the ranks
- 13 quickly, relatively quickly.
- 14 | Q Okay. And, again, you are assuming that that experience
- 15 is positive?
- 16 A Right. Yes.
- 17 | Q Okay. And you're not taking into account whether or not
- 18 his experience as a pilot, whether he plateaued or
- whether he wasn't as good as other pilots?
- 20 A Yeah, again, I'm not factoring that in specifically here.
- 21 The but-for scenario is based on the assumption that
- 22 Mr. Santhuff would have continued as a pilot with the
- 23 Washington State Patrol for more than a decade.
- 24 | Q Okay. Did you do any research to determine whether or
- 25 not other state patrol pilots left and walked into



- 1 | \$240,000-a-year jobs?
- 2 A You know, that's a good question.

I wish I could obtain information or a data set on that issue.

One, the Washington State Patrol pilot group, it's not that large. It's less than ten people, I believe, and then beyond that, it's not feasible for me to go and collect the data or call people, for a number of reasons.

- Q Okay. So you don't have any access to that data?
- 10 A No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 11 Q And Plaintiff wasn't able to help you with access to that
 12 data or anything like that?
- 13 A No.
- The idea of having the plaintiff go and collect that data, it sounds a little iffy to me.
- 16 0 Okay.
- 17 A Instead, I think he's testified on this issue.
- Q Okay. And do you have any idea what the FAA requirements would be to-- the difference between FAA requirements for flying a Cessna 182 and flying something like a 747 would
- 21 be?
- 22 A Again, the specifics, I'm not the one to ask or answer.
- Q With regard to Table No. 2, which we have up there, essentially this applies for Table No. 2 or Table No. 3.
- The only difference here is essentially our

1 start-off number, right, the starting earnings number?

- A That's right, in the but-for scenario, right.
- Q In the but-for scenario, right.

Thank you for the correction.

The other numbers, Column 2 is the same, and then 3 and 4 is influenced by Column 1, correct?

A That's right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q Okay. So one starts at 190,000, one starts at \$240,290.

9 Would you agree then that Column 2, if it went up,
10 say, promotion to sergeant or something along those
11 lines, a lieutenant or captain, that would have an impact
12 on Column 3, which then would have an impact on Column 4,
13 correct?

A Well, that's exactly right.

That's the hypothetical we were going through maybe
20 minutes ago, where the Column 2 number, if you
increase them, based on, I don't know, some promotion,
right, to sergeant, let's say-- if the numbers in Column
2 are larger, then the bottom line loss would be smaller.

I see. And so Column 1 then as well would be impacted by things such as COVID-19, things such as the skill set of Trooper Santhuff, as well as things that you weren't able to take into account by just getting the OES for this area, such as whether or not he's going to be a pilot, co-pilot, or flight engineer?

Well, again, I relied on the present-day average for 1 Α 2 pilots in the local area based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics data. 3 4 I didn't set out to perform a full model projecting 5 pilot earnings growth over the next 12 years or so. I think there's a number of possibilities. 6 Another possibility would be the pandemic is going 7 to essentially scare young people away from being pilots, 8 I think for obvious reasons, but if air traffic does 9 10 recover, then what you would find is you have an even worse pilot shortage in five or ten years, which of 11 course would put upward pressure on pilot earnings. 12 13 Okay. You bring up an interesting point. Q 14 Were you aware that after 911 Trooper Santhuff gave 15 up his dreams of flying for a period of time? I think that's what it says in the complaint, yes. 16 Α 17 I think that's what happened to him after 911. 18 Okay. And essentially that was, from my understanding, a 0 19 financial decision on his part. 20 It was like, "If the airline industry is this volatile, I don't want to be a part of it." 21 2.2 What's to keep him from saying that "COVID-19 is a 23 volatility which I'm not going to deal with"? 24 So given the but-for scenario, let's say, you are saying 25 that he may have dropped out of being a pilot?

Q Right.

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 A You know, I don't know.

He would be the one to ask about that.

- Q Okay. So if there's changes to these columns, like we've discussed, and some of the assumptions that go into these columns are wrong, that has a direct impact on the bottom line, correct?
- 8 A Mathematically, yes, it does.

You know, I take issue with saying my assumptions are wrong.

I think potentially you could make adjustments to the assumptions or the numbers.

- Q I apologize. I meant to say "if" your assumptions are wrong.
- 15 | If I said (Inaudible crosstalk.)
- 16 A It's just the terminology I think I'm a bit sensitive to.

Again, I tried to do my best in terms of making reasonable assumptions for this case, but, yeah, as we've been discussing, you could potentially make adjustments in the Columns 1 and 2, if need be.

- Q Okay. As we discussed previously, those assumptions you made, while reasonable, were pre-COVID-19 as well as assumptions that seem to bolster the numbers in Column 1 and deplete the numbers in Column 2, correct?
- 25 A Well, I did write my first report basically pre-COVID-19,

- but I don't think I am cooking the books here, so to
 speak, no.
 - Q With regard to Table No. 4, and that's the pension table, that would, again, be dramatically impacted should he get a raise or a promotion outside of— in the actual earnings as detective column, if he gets a raise to a sergeant, lieutenant, captain, that would have a dramatic impact on his final five years of salary, right?
- 9 A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 10 Q Okay. Which would then have a dramatic impact on his pension?
- 12 A Right.
 - So, again, the pension loss is based on a 15 percent incentive bonus that he's not going to get.
 - That's the assumption.
- 16 Q Well, I understand it's based on that Column 1, if you will, on Table 4-- the Column 1 in Table No. 4 is 15

 18 percent higher essentially, right?
- 19 A Right.
 - Q So what I'm getting at is I understand that's 15 percent higher, which 1 is based on the assumption he's going to be a command pilot, which we don't know, but it's also based on-- Column 2 is based on the idea that he is not going to-- not Column 2, but his earnings would stay at that 90,000 with a two percent increase, so if he gets a

- promotion or something like that, that would have an impact on his pension, correct?
- 3 A Yes, a promotion would affect the earnings, which would affect the pension.
 - Q His pension ultimately is under the WSP 2 pension plan, which we don't know whether applies for command rank or lieutenant-- (inaudible)

You don know that, correct?

- 9 A I am not aware of any specific differences.
- 10 Q Okay.

5

6

7

8

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 11 A It's a defined benefit pension where the formula to 12 calculate the pension income is straightforward.
- Q Okay. But if his final five years were as a sergeant or as a lieutenant or a captain, if his final five years were—his highest five years were greater, then the 15 percent bump he would get from command pilot, he would actually end up better off as far as pension from not staying in aviation, correct?
- 19 A That's a possibility, I suppose.
 - Q It's a mathematical thought-- I mean, if he stays in aviation, and he gets this bump of 15 percent because he's command pilot versus he's not in aviation, so he has a greater chance, as we've discussed already, a greater chance for advancement, and gets a raise that's greater than 15 percent, then his pension is better outside of

1	aviation, correct?
2	A In that hypothetical, I think it sounds like it would be.
3	MR. MARLOW: Okay. Doctor, thank you
4	very much. I have no further questions.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Sheridan?
7	MR. SHERIDAN: No questions, Your
8	Honor.
9	THE COURT: Members of the Jury, do
10	you have any questions for Dr. Torelli?
11	We do. If you could please pick them up, Madam
12	Bailiff.
13	(Inaudible crosstalk.)
14	THE COURT: All right. I have a few
15	questions for you.
16	I will ask all of the questions, and then I will
17	give the lawyers the opportunity to ask follow-up on all
18	of them rather than doing one at a time.
19	MR. SHERIDAN: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: All right. And if you
21	need me to repeat it, please let me know.
22	In the Column 2, actual earnings forecast, is there
23	any historical precedent that could impact earnings to be
24	lower, such as State budget cuts or pension reforms?
25	THE WITNESS: I think in general the

answer is yes.

2.2

So in Column 2, which is Mr. Santhuff's earnings from the year 2032 to the year 2046, after he's left the Washington State Patrol, you know, I had in mind that he might be working in the private sector. He could be working for local governments, et cetera, so there's always some role for economic fluctuations or budget cuts, et cetera.

It's just very hard to project those out specifically when we're thinking 12 to 26 years into the future, so that's why I tend to turn to averages, and not just-- I am thinking of the earnings growth rate average of about two percent of (inaudible) inflation, averages that are calculated not just over five years or ten years, but many decades and over many eras of American history even, if that answers the question.

Again, it's difficult to project out how a Washington State budget cut would affect a specific individual's earnings in the year 2036, but, again, that's why I typically rely on averages, as do other forensic economists.

THE COURT: All right. Are the OES tables an average or median?

THE WITNESS: They're an average.

Yeah, they're not the 50th percentile or the median,

1	which is, by definition, just based on one individual.
2	The OES numbers are averages, which are based on
3	data from all surveyed respondents.
4	THE COURT: And a follow-up to that:
5	Do they contain data on what percentage of the
6	population makes near that amount?
7	THE WITNESS: Sorry, on what percent
8	of the population makes almost that amount?
9	THE COURT: Do they contain data on
10	what percentage of the population makes near that amount?
11	THE WITNESS: No. That would be a
12	little bit too much to ask, I think, of these OES
13	statistics that are available online.
14	It would be interesting, perhaps helpful, to have
15	the full distribution of earnings for these local pilots,
16	let's say, but it's not easily available online.
17	THE COURT: Is the pilot starting
18	salary at age 51 based on certification for turbo
19	propeller planes or is jet engine certification required
20	as well?
21	THE WITNESS: I don't know the
22	specifics.
23	THE COURT: And last but not least,
24	why didn't you trend the pilot earnings from 2020 to
25	2031?

THE WITNESS: Well, you could.

So basically add some growth rate, right, of the average pilot earnings locally.

Now, the way I did it, it just assumes that the pilot earnings of about 240,000 today would just grow along with inflation, not more, not less.

You know, I felt it was easy to comprehend the way I did it, and it's a little bit-- it's not clear to me what an appropriate growth rate would be for local pilots in terms of their average earnings.

You saw the little bit of back and forth about how the economic climate is going to affect pilot earnings.

You can make a case that there will be-- the pilot shortage will get worse.

You can make a case that there isn't going to be enough volume of flight to justify the amount of pilots we already have.

Now, again, I think that the volume of flight will get back to pre-COVID-19 levels in four or five years, most likely, but, you know, on that front, one thing I will say is another interpretation of Ryan Santhuff's \$190,000 estimate would just be that it would be a bit less than the average, let's say, coming in, and then there would be some growth.

However, you know, when I thought about it, once the

case files came in and I looked at the Bureau of Labor 1 Statistics data, it did seem reasonable that 2 3 Mr. Santhuff, at that stage of his career, about the age of 51, with all that experience, would be able to make 4 5 the average of pilots, more like 240,000. I think the Table No. 2 number is preferable, the 6 one where I assume he would have been at the average of 7 about 240,000, but I didn't want to complicate things too 8 much already by making some model with growing pilot 9 10 earnings, if that answers the question. 11 THE COURT: Any follow-up, 12 Mr. Sheridan? 13 MR. SHERIDAN: None from Plaintiff, 14 Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Marlow? 16 MR. MARLOW: No, Your Honor. Thank 17 you. 18 THE COURT: May this witness be 19 excused? 20 MR. SHERIDAN: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: All right. Dr. Torelli, 23 you are excused. 24 Mr. Sheridan, there is an exhibit over there. 25 (Inaudible crosstalk.)

1		MR. SHERIDAN: Right here?
2		THE COURT: Yes.
3		MR. SHERIDAN: That's yes. That's
4		264, Your Honor.
5		THE COURT: Okay.
6		MR. SHERIDAN: It goes in the book.
7		I will go see if Ms. Kaiser has come.
-		
8		We heard she's on her way.
9		THE COURT: Oh, okay.
10		(Inaudible crosstalk.)
11		////
12		SANDRA KAISER, having been duly sworn
13		by the Honorable Mafe Rajul,
14		testified as follows:
15		////
16		THE COURT: Please have a seat.
17		I am going to ask you to please remove your mask so
18		that the jury can see your face while you testify.
19		THE WITNESS: Okay.
20		THE COURT: Thank you.
21		DIRECT EXAMINATION
22		BY MR. SHERIDAN:
23	Q	All right. Good afternoon.
24	A	Good afternoon.
25	Q	Please state your full name for the record.

- 1 A My name is Sandra Kaiser.
- 2 Q All right. And where do you currently work?
- 3 A I am the vice president for college relations at the
- 4 Evergreen State College in Olympia, Washington.
- 5 | Q And how long have you been doing that?
- 6 A I've been doing that since January 2017.
- 7 Q And in 2016, where did you work?
- 8 A I was working at the Department of Natural Resources in
- 9 Olympia.
- 10 | Q And what did you do there?
- 11 A I was a communications director and a strategic advisor
- 12 to the commissioner for public lands.
- 13 | Q All right. Did you have occasion to arrange flights
- 14 | during that time?
- 15 A I did not arrange flights, but I often participated in
- them and acted as trip director when I was there.
- 17 MR. SHERIDAN: All right. And, Your
- 18 Honor, with your permission, I am going to try to find
- 19 Exhibit No. 217.
- 20 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. SHERIDAN: Thank you.
- 22 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) I think this book has Exhibit No. 217
- in it, and if you will go ahead and open to that page.
- 24 A Okay.
- 25 | Q All right. And this purports to be an e-mail, dated

1		September 2nd, 2016 from you to Gene Flank, Kelly Masigi,
2		Katrina, Osborn, and others. (All phonetic)
3		Do you recognize this e-mail?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	All right. And is this an e-mail that was created and
6		kept in the usual course of business over at Natural
7		Resources?
8	A	Yes.
9		MR. SHERIDAN: Plaintiff offers 217.
10		THE COURT: Any objection?
11		MR. MARLOW: No objection, Your Honor.
12		THE COURT: Exhibit No. 217 is
13		admitted.
14		(Exhibit No. 217 admitted into
15		evidence.)
16		MR. SHERIDAN: May we publish?
17		THE COURT: You may.
18	Q	(By Mr. Sheridan) These e-mails tend to be reading from
19		the bottom to the top, so I want to start there.
20		Okay. And can you give us an overview of what it is
21		that is being accomplished in these e-mail
22		communications?
23	A	Well, the page here, it looks like a typical back and
24		forth we may have had with a region manager about putting
25		together the elements of official travel for the

- 1 commissioner.
- 2 Q All right. And who was the commissioner at that time?
- 3 A That was Peter Goldmark.
- 4 | 0 All right. And let's take a look at the e-mail that is
- 5 dated, it looks like, the 2nd at 8:25, and it's from
- 6 Kelly Masigi to (inaudible) and others.
- 7 A So perhaps that's on the previous page?
- 8 Q Yeah, that's on the second-- in the lower right-hand
 9 corner we have Bates stamps.
- 10 It's 5434, in the lower right-hand corner.
- 11 A I'm sorry, I'm not finding that.
- 12 | 0 So--
- 13 A I have 5433-- and 5434, is that it?
- 14 0 Yes, please.
- 15 A Gotcha.
- 16 0 I am starting right in the middle there.
- It says, "According to the latest list I have from
- them, they can fly to Darrington in a Cessna."
- 19 Can you give us some context to that?
- 20 A That would have been from the commissioner's executive
- 21 assistant who was the direct liaison with the state
- 22 patrol when there was official travel required, and she
- was evidently just informing us that that was the type of
- 24 plane that we would use in that particular situation.
- 25 | Q All right. And can you tell us whether or not it was

- typical for you to identify, at some point, who the pilot would be?
- 3 A Usually after the patrol had accepted the assignment -4 of course the governor would always take precedence -5 they would usually give us the name of the pilot and kind
 6 of as a confirmation that someone has been assigned, and
 7 this is how we understand this trip will take place.
- 8 Q Okay. And looking at 217, can you tell if there's a
 9 pilot assigned at that time?
- 10 A From this communication? No.
- 11 | Q Okay. And that's as of the 2nd of September, correct?
- 12 A Looks like it.
- 13 Q All right. Let's take a look at 218 now, if we can.
- 14 A Okay. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q All right. And would you take a look at this and tell us, the top e-mail, what is that?
- 17 A This is an e-mail to my colleagues.
 - We are evidently updating the schedule that we're planning for the commissioner, and I am indicating to my colleagues that this particular volunteer, who would have been someone-- a member of the public working as a volunteer for the department, would have been honored at an event here.
 - Q Okay. And can you tell me, what's the date of this communication?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	A	This is September 6th, 2016, at 5:25 p.m.
2		MR. SHERIDAN: All right. Plaintiff
3		offers Exhibit No. 218.
4		THE COURT: Any objection?
5		MR. MARLOW: No objection, Your Honor.
6		MR. SHERIDAN: May we publish?
7		THE COURT: Exhibit No. 218 is
8		admitted.
9		Yes.
10		(Exhibit No. 218 admitted into
11		evidence.)
12		MR. SHERIDAN: Yes. Okay.
13		It's late in the day.
14		Let's get that up on the screen, Greg.
15		Okay. Very good.
16	Q	(By Mr. Sheridan) So this is September 6th.
17		Can we go to the second page of the exhibit that
18		begins with the red letters, "Draft"?
19	A	Mm-hm.
20	Q	Can you tell me what this represents?
21	A	This represents a notional schedule for the commissioner
22		of public lands, and basically what we had probably as of
23		that moment, 5:30 that day.
24	Q	Okay. So I notice I note that on your draft you are
25		putting the September 15th as the date.
	l	

- 1 Was that the travel date?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q All right. And-- but the date of your e-mail was the 6th, right?
- 5 A Yes.
- So looking at the-- I guess the third bullet or whatever that is down, it says, "Wheels up via WSP Cessna from Olympia to Skagit Regional airport," and then it says, "Pilot TBD."
- 10 What does that mean to you?
- 11 A That means we have not yet been told by the Washington
 12 State Patrol who would be flying us.
- 13 Q And so is it fair to say that this draft was made on or about the 6th?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. Now, let's look at 219.
- 17 Tell me what this is.
- 18 A I'm not sure because it just says, "Latest schedule," so

 19 I would assume it is indeed that, but the attachment is

 20 not here.
- 21 Q Okay. No attachment?
- You don't have an attachment in yours?
- Do you have Bates Stamp No. 5438 in the lower
- 24 right-hand corner?
- 25 A I have 5438.



I just have a cover e-mail that says, "Latest 1 2 schedule," but the attachment, which would have been the schedule, is not here. 3 4 May I turn the page? It may be behind-- yeah, there 5 we qo. Oh, thank goodness. 6 7 Α I don't want to turn any pages I'm not supposed to turn here. 8 Okay. All right. So now what's the date of this e-mail? 9 0 10 I would say-- if I see the previous page, it's probably Α 11 that it's September 12th. 12 MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. Greg-- can we 13 offer this and publish it? 14 THE COURT: Any objection? 15 MR. MARLOW: No objection to either, 16 Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Exhibit No. 219 is 18 admitted, and you may publish. 19 (Exhibit No. 219 admitted into 20 evidence.) (By Mr. Sheridan) All right. So this is an e-mail 21 0 2.2 that's dated September 12th and is, again, from you to 23 the same group of people, and it is about the travel to 24 Region 9 or 9/15, right? 25 Α Yes.

- 1 0 And that's Exhibit No. 219.
- 2 MR. SHERIDAN: Now, Greg, if you will
- 3 go to the second page.
- 4 Q (By Mr. Sheridan) And this is the-- so this is as of
- 5 what date?
- 6 This draft is as of the 12th, right?
- 7 A Okay. I'm getting confused here.
- 8 There's no third page to this particular part, so
- 9 there's just the trip schedule and there's no page beyond
- 10 that.
- 11 | Q That's quite all right.
- 12 A Okay.
- 13 | Q But the e-mail that attaches the trip schedule is dated
- 14 the 12th?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 | Q That's three days before travel, right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. Now, let's look
- 19 at that second page, Greg, if you would.
- 20 | Q (By Mr. Sheridan) Look at the 8:35 a.m. timeframe for
- 21 this travel.
- 22 Would you just read to the jury what it says?
- 23 A "Wheels up via WSP Cessna from Olympia to Skagit Regional
- 24 airport. Pilot not yet assigned."
- 25 | Q So what does that mean to you in terms of who would be

- 1 | the pilot?
- 2 A It means I didn't know yet who the patrol would be
- 3 assigning us.
- 4 | Q All right. Now, did there come a time that you were told
- 5 | who would be the pilot?
- 6 A You know, I don't remember that because that would have
- been the work of my colleague, Kelly Masigi, who was the
- 8 direct liaison.
- 9 0 Fair enough.
- 10 A And I always knew the patrol would be there to help us,
- if they agreed to take the trip.
- 12 I just didn't know who the pilot would be
- 13 necessarily.
- 14 | Q I am going to show you Exhibit No. 220, which I hope will
- be an example of when you know who the pilot is, okay?
- 16 So please take a look at 220.
- 17 | A Right. The cover-- there's a cover e-mail.
- 18 | Q And this is a September 7th date?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q All right. And this is from you to who?
- 21 A This is to the deputy supervisor, who is the number two
- 22 ranking official at the Department of Natural Resources.
- 23 | Q All right. And what's the travel date in this particular
- 24 | example?
- 25 A Well, this would be for September 8th.

So you've got a flight on the 8th and on the 15th, 1 Okay. Q 2. right? 3 Yes. Α 4 And in this particular case-- let's look at the draft--0 5 MR. SHERIDAN: Oh, we didn't offer it yet, right? 6 220 we would like to offer. 7 Any objection? 8 THE COURT: 9 No objection to admission MR. MARLOW: 10 or it being published, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Exhibit No. 220 is admitted, and you may publish. 12 13 (Exhibit No. 220 admitted into 14 evidence.) 15 MR. SHERIDAN: Thank you. 16 (By Mr. Sheridan) So look at the first page real guick. 17 Okay. Α And just to nail down the date, this is September 7th, 18 19 MR. SHERIDAN: And now let's go to the 20 second page, Greg. 21 (By Mr. Sheridan) And this is -- so this is another 0 2.2 itinerary for a flight that's going to go on the 8th, and 23 can you tell us, looking at the -- looking at the draft, can you tell us if you know who the pilot is? 24 25 Α Yes. At this point we know it's Trooper Ryan Santhuff.

And is that typically how your draft 1 All right. 0 2. itineraries go, once you know that the pilot has been picked, you put it in the draft? 3 4 I would put-- always put as much detail as possible in Α 5 the trip draft, and it was always useful to have the name of the pilot in case the trip needed to change at the 6 very last minute, so you could reach the pilot directly. 7 I note that you have a phone number too? 8 Q 9 Α Yes. 10 No further questions. MR. SHERIDAN: 11 THE COURT: Any cross-examination? 12 MR. MARLOW: Very brief, Your Honor. 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. MARLOW: 15 Ms. Kaiser, how are you today? 16 Α I'm good. Thank you. So would it be common for you to-- for state patrol, you 17 18 would arrange a flight, if you are aware, for the pilots 19 to change or you to get late notice of who the pilot 20 might be? 21 Well, yes, you know, because the governor always took Α 22 precedence, and his needs would be dynamic, of course. 23 Other agencies' needs would be dynamic as well, and ours would be too, so we would always leave it to state 24 25 patrol, once they agreed to fly us, to give us a pilot,

1		so we might not know, as you saw, until the last minute					
2		who that was, but sometimes we might know in advance					
3		more in advance.					
4	Q	So it wouldn't be uncommon to not know until the last					
5		minute?					
6	A	Right.					
7	Q	Or it wouldn't be uncommon for who they told you to					
8		perhaps change; is that a possibility as well?					
9	A	Yeah, it would not be uncommon.					
10		MR. MARLOW: Thank you very much,					
11		Ms. Kaiser. Have a good day.					
12		THE WITNESS: Sure.					
13		MR. SHERIDAN: Nothing further, Your					
14		Honor.					
15		THE COURT: Any questions from the					
16		jury for this witness?					
17		No.					
18		THE COURT: May Ms. Kaiser be excused?					
19		MR. SHERIDAN: Yes, with our thanks.					
20		MR. MARLOW: No objection, Your Honor.					
21		THE COURT: All right. You are					
22		excused.					
23		I am inclined to just let the jury go since it's 10					
24		to 4.					
25		MR. SHERIDAN: Okay. Thanks, Judge.					

1	THE COURT: All right. Members of the						
2	Jury, you are excused for today. We will see you						
3	tomorrow at 9:00.						
4	COURT BAILIFF: All rise.						
5	Have a good afternoon.						
6	(Inaudible crosstalk.)						
7	THE COURT: Enjoy your evening.						
8	(Jury exits.)						
9	THE COURT: All right. So we'll be in						
10	recess until tomorrow at 9:00 or not.						
11	Please have a seat.						
12	MR. SHERIDAN: Okay.						
13	THE COURT: Mr. Biggs?						
14	MR. BIGGS: Yes. We have a witness						
15	issue.						
16	We got word that I have to find my note.						
17	Chris Noll has notified us that he's got some kind						
18	of daycare issues tomorrow and wants to be moved to						
19	Thursday.						
20	THE COURT: Has what?						
21	MR. BIGGS: He has some kind of						
22	childcare issues and wants to be moved to Thursday, which						
23	given that we haven't even started cross-examination of						
24	Plaintiff						
25	MR. SHERIDAN: So that's okay with us.						

I was going to send an e-mail out as soon as I can. 1 I'm suggesting that we finish him in the morning and 2 3 as long as their cross goes, and then we stack our 4 witnesses in the afternoon because most of them are 5 short. THE COURT: That's very optimistic of 6 7 you. 8 MR. SHERIDAN: Thank you. 9 THE COURT: I say that because you 10 thought that he was going to be on the stand one day, and 11 it's been now a day and a half, and we have not even 12 gotten to cross yet. 13 MR. SHERIDAN: Yes. 14 THE COURT: I mean, it's up to you if 15 you think you're going to be done tomorrow morning. 16 MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah, we are close to 17 done, and I'm going to also propose to admitting some 18 exhibits to speed it up-- I'll tell opposing counsel what 19 exhibits with him I'm trying to get in so that we can see 20 if we can just agree and just zoom through the document 21 piece of the case, and then, you know, we'll be done 22 sooner. 23 THE COURT: Okay. And along the same lines, if there is any objection, if you could please let 24 me know ahead of time so that I can look at it. 25

```
1
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Yeah. I think Mark is
     working on this now (inaudible).
 2
 3
         And then we'll just see if we can negotiate
     overnight so that you know.
 4
 5
                       THE COURT: Okay. All right.
     Anything else?
 6
 7
                       MR. BIGGS: So we're going to take the
     witnesses in the order you listed them?
 8
 9
                       MR. SHERIDAN: I've got to go back
10
     because now we're moving people around, so I need to have
11
     my staff check to see who's available and who's not, but
12
     Santhuff is definitely the morning, okay?
13
                       THE COURT: I am quessing the
14
     afternoon, but that's just me.
15
                                      (Inaudible crosstalk.)
16
                                   How long do you think your
                       THE COURT:
17
     cross will be?
18
                       MR. BIGGS: Well, I'm not sure I am
19
     ever going to get to cross.
20
         We are still on direct exam.
21
                       THE COURT: I know, but do you think
22
     it's going to be five minutes or do you think it's going
23
     to be half a day?
24
                       MR. BIGGS: I think it's going to be a
25
     couple of hours.
```

```
1
                       MR. SHERIDAN: We could bring people
 2.
     at 3.
 3
                       THE COURT: I doubt that we'll have
 4
     anybody else tomorrow, but--
 5
                       MR. SHERIDAN: All right.
 6
                       THE COURT: But you could surprise me.
 7
                       MR. SHERIDAN:
                                       Let's hope so.
 8
                       THE COURT: So we told the jury that
     we would be done by the 24th, so I think-- I'm hoping
 9
10
     that we're still on schedule.
11
                       MR. SHERIDAN: Well, we called the
12
     people they probably would have called, so I think we're
13
     pretty-- (Inaudible crosstalk.)
14
                       THE COURT: All right. All right.
15
         I want to just -- we can go off the record. I just
16
     want to make sure that we have all the exhibits that have
17
     been--
18
                             (Court recessed at 3:52 p.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

			С	E	R	Т	I	F	I	С	A	Т	E
STATE	OF	WASHI	NGT	ro1	,))	SS	5.					
COUNTY	Z OE	KING			Š)		- •					

I, TERILYNN SIMONS, Certified Court Reporter in the state of Washington, in the County of King, in Seattle, Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington:

That the foregoing proceeding was transcribed, from an audio recording received from trial court, to the best of my ability, subject to the quality of audio recording, or was transcribed under my direction;

That I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel of participant and that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the action or the outcome herein;

That this certification applies only to the original and copies supplied under my direction and not to any copies made by other parties;

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 22nd day of September 2020.

Terilynn Simons, CCR, RMR, CRR, CLR Certified Court Reporter No. 2047

_							
•	095 5:6	1630 75:10					
	1	16th 16:22 136:19					
\$1,128,687 158:19	<u>'</u>	1790s 155:14					
\$1,894,185 160:22	1 4:17 153:19 157:6 160:8,16 162:15	17th 21:5 23:15					
\$114,142 156:3	180:15 181:4,11 196:6,20 198:20,23 199:16,17,21	182 174:8,20 175:16 178:3 195:20					
\$115,850 156:20,22	1,500-hour 194:3	19 40:12 122:18 123:5,10 125:8,17, 20 126:10 127:3,19 130:1,2 132:19,					
\$18,160 164:7	1 4:21	23 136:5,7,16 137:4 138:8 139:21					
\$180,976 164:14	1.5 162:20,21	190 158:16					
\$190,000 154:7,16 173:8,13,19 174:21 204:22	10 92:9 158:1 218:23	190,000 159:12 176:23 180:24 196:8 1978 155:2					
\$199,137 163:18	100 90:24 91:1,2,5,6,8,9,11,14,15 166:18 190:10						
\$240,000 159:3	101 90:24 91:6,8,10,24 92:12 96:15,	1981 153:22					
\$240,000-a-year 195:1	23 121:3 124:17,19 145:16	1996 147:17					
\$240,290 159:18 196:8	107,000 163:4	19th 36:22 40:10 45:17 58:17 61:15					
\$61,870 162:14	107,601 163:7	19th 36:20					
\$69,842 158:11	10:12 63:2	1:20 123:14,20 124:21,25 125:2					
\$8,000 163:4	10:29 63:2	1:26 125:4					
\$90,000 152:17 155:25 171:13	10:30 31:18	1:30 11:11 120:23 123:17					
	11 170:18	1st 108:14					
	115,000 156:4 160:11 162:2 171:13						
(inaudible) 112:9 140:14 167:4	116,000 160:11	2 2 153:1 155:22 157:6 159:9,12,19 160:9,16,21 161:10 162:25 172:23 173:4,6 176:14,24 179:5,10,15 180:17 181:5,11 182:4,9 195:23,24					
	11:00 31:18						
	11th 183:22						
"as 91:22	12 95:25 156:1 158:11 197:5 202:10						
(inaudible) 94:23	123,741 162:13	196:5,9,16,19 198:20,24 199:23,24 200:5 201:22 202:2 205:6					
as 117:25	1268 75:6	2.5 158:4					
happens 136:25	12:00 125:4						
in 48:9	12th 213:11,22 214:6,14	20 110:22 196:16					
locally 183:5	13th 42:4	2000 147:19 148:2,5					
sentence 39:7	14th 28:18 29:24 31:8,9 38:2	2003 148:6					
would 112:21	15 3:1 63:1 110:22 150:11 162:4,6	2005 147:9 148:5,7,14					
	163:2,3,21 164:3 166:3 169:3,8,9 172:3,4,10 176:6,9 186:16 199:13,	2011 155:2					
0	17,20 200:15,21,25	2012 175:11					
0.5 158:1,8,12 160:20 163:12	15-minute 62:22	2013 132:14,25 136:6 148:18 166:6					
095 5:7 27:18 28:4 29:15 37:1 43:4	150,000 179:21	2013 132:14					
46:22,23,25 47:1,3,14,15,17,18,20, 21 60:17,23 61:2 66:6 78:14 109:2, 6.12.15.17	15th 21:5 39:22 40:16 41:4 211:25 216:1	2014 12:4 48:12 52:7,9,20 53:16,19, 21 54:10,13 57:8,15 58:2,5 125:25 126:22 130:25 131:13 132:17,24,25					

136:6,22 137:1,5 138:15

Page 224Index: \$1,128,687..2014

6,12,15,17

Verbatim Record of Proceedings, Vol VI - September 15, 2020 Page 225Index: 2015..607

3:15 11:13 125:9 **2015** 23:22 24:6 126:22 **219** 212:16 213:17,19 214:1 **2015--** 126:1 21st 64:12.15 88:8 90:11 **3:30** 142:3 **2016** 4:13 5:10,24 6:6,9 21:5,6 23:15 **220** 215:14,16 216:7,11,13 **3:50** 41:24 24:10,24 52:14,18 57:20 58:13 222 129:17 **3rd** 69:21 78:7 80:10 81:11 86:11 60:14 61:15 65:1,7 69:21 70:22 137:7 71:7,22 72:5,11 74:15 83:1,3 **225** 102:1 101:14,16 102:22 104:5 113:6 207:7 **226** 18:23 208:1 211:1 **227** 18:22 **2017** 5:15,23 105:5 108:13 113:21, **4** 15:14 75:5 157:8 158:6 160:19 25 114:7,24 127:14 129:1 135:10 **22nd** 64:20 66:25 161:11 163:11 169:10,13 180:23 148:19 164:5 175:4 207:6 **234,000** 160:1 181:14 192:16 196:6,12 199:3,17 **2017--** 135:13 218:24 23rd 136:22 137:5 **2018** 115:24 175:4 183:20 **4--** 199:17 **24** 24:17 **2019** 53:1 116:17,18 117:20 175:5 **404(b)** 128:12 135:8 **240,000** 176:25 180:25 204:5 205:5, 183:20 **40s** 151:25 **2020** 3:1 117:18 118:4 120:8 175:5 **240,000--** 159:18 182:11 **425** 150:7 165:21 203:24 **240,290--** 182:11 4:00 41:25 **2020--** 136:19 **245** 18:22 **4:30** 75:11 **2021** 192:5 **246--** 18:23 4th 54:18 137:8 157:24 **2031** 164:6 203:25 24th 26:22 91:3 **2031--** 153:18 5 **25** 112:21 149:9 151:12 153:17 **2032** 149:9,10 151:14 153:16 154:6 161:18 156:3,22 157:13 158:10 160:5,18 **50** 161:20 163:1,3 166:18 189:4 169:18.20 171:14 173:11 192:5 **26** 202:10 194:12 194:6 202:3 **264** 206:4 **50th** 202:25 **2036** 202:19 26th 88:3 97:20 **51** 112:21.23 173:10 194:12 203:18 2040 179:20 205:4 **29,000** 136:17 **2046** 153:21 157:13 202:3 **53,800** 163:8 **2:47** 186:17 **2059** 161:7 **5318** 22:13 **2nd** 208:1 209:5 210:11 206 54:1 **5324** 24:14 **207** 18:16,24 19:11,14 20:17,18 64:1 **5332** 19:24 20:3 **20th** 59:3,4 80:24 89:18 90:8,10 **5433--** 209:13 **3** 15:14 153:3.4.6.14 157:5 158:13.18 **213** 39:13,14,16 40:2,20,21 50:15 159:11 160:15 163:5,9 173:5,7 **5434** 209:10,13 176:14 179:5 181:10,12 185:8 **213--** 140:15 **5438** 212:23,25 195:24 196:5.12 **215** 122:19 123:3,8 126:23 134:1 **5:25** 211:1 **30** 158:1 187:22 190:19 137:23 138:9 139:8,19,20 140:3,10, **5:30** 211:23 15 **30th** 60:14 108:13 **215,000** 164:6 **32** 152:3 6 **215--** 126:5 **332** 19:25 **217** 207:19,22 208:9,12,14 210:8 **60--** 18:19 3:00 142:3 218 210:13 211:3,7,10 **607** 4:7 3:02 186:17



611 186:4.8

161:4

6:15 35:3

6:30 32:1 35:4

16 212:4,14

7 18:15 22:3.9

65 149:11,12 152:7 153:20 160:7

6th 29:19 38:8 41:11 153:17 211:1,

7

Page 226Index: 611..admitted acknowledge 12:4 **98** 77:22.24 81:9 acknowledgment-- 10:9 **9:00** 15:1 219:3 **9:00--** 219:10 **across--** 15:13 9:04 15:1 act 47:24 87:25 128:14 130:2 132:9, 16 184:17 187:6,9 Α acted 131:6,8 207:16 **acting** 131:8 **a--** 50:13 183:1,21 184:3 action 129:13,18 **a.m.** 13:17 15:1 31:24 63:2 66:15 acts 48:1,23 68:9 77:8 92:9 214:20 actual 7:25 40:9 142:24 152:10,11, abbreviation 73:7 16,21 155:22 162:25 163:6 168:9 abilities 54:3 169:21 171:12,21 179:4 180:15,17 199:5 201:22 **ability** 58:6 74:6 76:8 actually-- 14:21 151:19 about-- 25:14 94:11,14 117:2 160:1 add 4:3 204:2 **Absolutely** 81:22 120:15 added 37:8 **abuse** 167:9 addition 75:16 accelerate 171:18 additional 63:7 134:20 164:5 177:4 acceleration 171:22 190:17 191:14 accept 92:11 address 3:18 92:20 109:7 111:3 **accepted** 70:16 142:6,14,20 210:3 126:19 accepted-- 142:16 addressed 79:13 83:14,16 105:16 access 29:22 86:16,20 154:25 addressing 95:4 195:9,11 adds 180:22 accommodate 72:21 adequate 43:21 accomplished 208:21 adequately 44:9 account 56:16 171:8 172:19 194:17 adjust 35:2 72:17,20 196:23 adjustments 162:19 182:3 198:11, accountable 100:11 accumulated 175:6 administrative 78:11 82:17 accumulating 176:17 admissibility 126:23 128:15 134:1 accurate 29:12 37:15 41:20 60:18 admissible 44:16,19 93:13 125:24 61:19 62:5,6 71:4,19 72:7,23 93:10 126:17 133:5 135:8 137:13,16 115:23 admission 122:18 140:10,15 216:9 accurate-- 123:4

1 18:15 22:3,9
7,000 163:4
74,150 158:13
747 178:2 194:8 195:20
78.4 161:6
7th 215:18 216:18
8
8 31:24 66:15
8,000 163:4
8,070 163:10
8.45 163:25 164:4,7
83 27:20 28:1,7,11,13
89 59:18,20,21,25
8:25 209:5
8:35 214:20
8:57 13:17
8:58 13:17
8th 25:12 215:25 216:1,22
9
9 213:24
9/15 213:24
90 190:11
90,000 152:15 161:25 179:8 199:25
91 68:15,20,25 69:9

911 183:21 184:4.14 185:25 189:12



accusation 29:7,9

accusations 7:9,11

accuse 7:14

accused 139:6

accuses 6:18

admit 37:18 122:1 140:25

admitted 20:17,18 28:12,13 40:20,

208:13,14 211:8,10 213:18,19

21 60:2,3 77:25 81:1 91:14,15 130:4

admits 131:25

216:12,13

197:14,17

admitting 13:10 admonish 44:25

advance 36:6 193:18 218:3

advance-- 218:2 advancement 200:24

advantage 170:2

advised 39:10 75:18 81:15 83:12 143:8

advised"-- 39:8

advises 87:11,16 88:10

advising 133:11 advisor 207:11 advocacy 8:17

affairs 58:22 59:6,14 65:24 67:25 69:5 78:16,25 79:13,19 80:18 85:24 87:17 88:12 107:18

affairs-- 65:23

affect 52:24 57:18 109:7 154:5 181:12 182:4 200:3,4 202:18 204:12

affidavit 10:9 afforded 171:6 after-- 102:17

afternoon 29:1 36:16 41:25 122:20 144:8 146:13,14 164:24 185:7,9 206:23,24 219:5

again-- 122:19 129:12

age 112:20 149:11,12 152:7 153:15, 20 160:7 161:4,6 173:10 194:11 203:18 205:3

agencies' 217:23

agency 47:25 83:18 84:1 105:11 111:4 156:25

agency's 83:24

agree 131:12 137:18 138:9 140:14 189:19 196:9

agreed 8:25 37:9 90:16 106:9 143:1 215:11 217:25

agreement 110:8,9 111:2

ahead 19:17 30:15 36:9 40:6 59:4 74:17 79:5 91:18 96:17 126:18 153:5 193:16 207:23

ahold 9:25

air 18:9,11 19:3 29:17 31:20 49:10, 13 53:14 54:2 58:1 62:2 72:10 112:1,3 184:13 188:4,19,20,22 189:1,13,24 190:13 192:8 197:9

aircraft 21:11 50:17,20 62:1,3 112:16,17

aircrafts 178:14

airline 21:19,22,23 152:6 159:5 183:23,25 187:3 188:9 193:24 197:20

airlines 23:1 152:6 182:24 184:5,18, 19,23 187:5,16,24 189:4 190:4,16 193:12,21 194:8

airlines-- 193:12 **airplane** 38:16 54:4

airplanes 178:6 184:6

airport 34:18,20 35:24 36:17 212:8 214:24

alarm 78:22

Albers 148:24

Alexander 3:20,21 4:5,12 5:3,23 7:21 8:14 47:12,13 60:11,12,17 61:9,14 62:8,13 64:11 67:22 73:25 74:5,19 75:9,15 76:6,10,19,20 77:16 83:6 84:20 85:3,10 86:11 87:7,10 88:4,10 89:2,10 107:4

Alexander's 84:14 85:4 88:8

Alexander-- 77:19 **all--** 6:10 66:22

all-else-equal 189:25 all-section 87:10

all-sections 70:6

allegation 83:2 85:10,24

allegations 60:13 65:23 77:1 80:17

alleged 48:14 60:14 61:15 62:1 151:6

allegedly 60:15

alleging 109:5

allowed 72:17,20 142:9 185:22

allowing 132:9 also-- 94:2 altitude 23:4

Amazon 148:20

amend 81:25

American 152:6 182:21 187:22

194:7 202:15

Americans 155:1

amount 110:21 163:6 170:11 180:8 203:6,8,10 204:16

analysis 81:21 165:17 189:25

and-- 29:19,25 41:8 111:2 117:21 130:23 212:3

and/or 166:8

Andrew 146:16

angle 10:25 17:3 42:13

angled 42:12

angry 100:9 109:14

anguish 17:12 18:1 67:14 103:8 113:16 115:15,17,18 116:13 117:12 118:23

announcing 187:16

Anson 65:3,7,16,20 87:19

answers 202:16 205:10

anticipate 7:5 120:13 144:6

anticipated 31:17

anticipating 12:15 134:4

anxiety 17:11,24 67:12 103:6 113:14 115:13 116:11 117:10 118:19

anymore 33:22 87:13 188:5

Anyway-- 88:2

APA 104:7

apologies 142:18 173:5 190:25

apologize 120:2 185:2 198:13

apparent 70:14

apparently 55:21 122:19

appearing 144:24

appears 22:12 76:17

applied 160:6 170:9

applies 195:24 200:6

Page 228Index: apply..balance

apply 3:6,7 144:25 163:20 172:15, 16

applying 146:25 153:23

appointing 76:6 110:5

appraisal 108:24

approach 71:16

approaches 71:8

appropriately 79:13

approval 25:18

approved 27:1

approximately 39:12 96:19 152:15 166:3

April 70:22 71:7

are-- 95:11 111:15

area 31:19 104:8 106:5,6 113:24 156:19 159:1,17,22 160:2 177:15 181:2 182:14,15,16,18 196:24 197:2

areas 110:13 argue 132:3

argument 46:16 92:18 134:11 178:22

arrange 207:13,15 217:18

arranged-- 107:9

arrival 35:23

art 168:15

article 183:14,16,19

articles 148:16 192:14

asking-- 130:24

asks 50:10 65:13 128:9 166:10

aspect 111:19 135:6

assembled 132:24

assess 74:2,3,6

assessment 43:21

asset 54:5

assigned 29:3,5,10 33:10 36:2,3,5 39:10 41:10,13,15 43:10,12,16,24 53:22,23 56:19 103:24 109:4 113:23 210:6,9 214:24

assigning 215:3

assignment 210:3

assist 53:23 54:11

assistant 28:20 59:13 84:14,22 85:8,21 86:3 107:12,16 108:12 171:4 209:21

association 6:20

assume 99:2 111:25 122:13 170:10 171:17 178:10,24 181:7 205:7 212:19

assumed 143:4 168:23

assumes 204:4

assuming 60:12 153:18 154:6 162:3 163:1 171:14 176:16,22 178:18 179:14,19 180:21,24 181:1 194:14

assumption 156:21 159:19 172:5 175:22,23 178:15 180:2 181:21 194:21 199:15.21

assumption-- 181:23

assumptions 151:16 168:21 174:1 176:20 180:3,7,9 181:4,5,9 182:5 190:3,6,7 198:5,9,12,13,18,21,23

assumptions-- 180:2

at"-- 31:24

ATP 21:25 25:6

attaches 214:13

attachment 212:19,21,22 213:2

attachments 134:23

attempt 133:19

attend 72:16 88:4

attend-- 67:19

attended 23:21 87:5

attorney 14:19

attorneys 14:6 95:19 165:4 166:22 167:7.11

attrition 64:25

August 18:8 21:5 23:15 24:24 25:10,12 27:15 67:4

authority 76:7 93:20 110:5

authorized 25:23

average 22:24 64:25 114:23 115:16, 23 116:3,21 153:23 154:21 155:3

156:13,20 159:1,17,22 161:20,21,22 162:11,12 163:1,7 179:9,18 181:1 182:13 197:1 202:12,23,24 204:3, 10.23 205:5,7

average-- 179:17

averaged 155:15

averages 202:11,13,20 203:2

aviation 19:9 28:18 30:24 31:6 32:16 33:20 34:3 47:3 48:9 49:15,18 52:3,19 53:22 54:13,25 57:23 68:14 76:15 83:7 84:1 86:13 87:6 88:18 90:3,12,22 91:21 97:19 99:9 100:10 103:3,12,15 104:4,9,22 105:6 106:13 107:3,19 114:1,20 115:2 117:6,8 118:25 119:10,13,16 138:16 151:17 160:24 168:25 169:7 170:3, 14 171:2,7,10 174:6 175:10 178:17 181:20 182:20 200:18,21,22 201:1

avoid 68:12 81:13 82:22

awards 21:16

aware 3:6 8:11 30:22 63:8 71:25 76:16 87:11 98:15 111:1 143:2 170:13 174:15 183:21 184:16,21,23 185:21 187:4 193:24 197:14 200:9 217:18

В

bachelor's 147:20

back 7:10,22 8:3,6 12:24 14:16 20:21 23:10 31:15,16,17,20 36:18 44:5 47:25 49:8 52:20 53:15,19 57:25 58:5 63:6 80:19 89:20 101:8 102:9 106:8 107:10 119:16 120:4 125:2 130:17 143:10 149:18 155:14 165:10 166:6 173:16 184:5 186:16 189:12 190:5,9 192:19 204:11,19 208:23

background 147:7,14,15 181:22

backlogged 133:13

bad 128:14 174:18 178:3

Bailiff 14:24 15:17 16:3 62:23 63:20 92:21 96:11 120:24 125:3,5 140:24 141:3 144:12,15 185:10 201:12 219:4

bailout 187:18 190:17

balance 13:3



banging 15:20bankrupt 187:25

bar 6:20

bargaining 110:8 111:2

base 192:10

based 3:18 48:25 82:18 99:5 121:24 154:21 158:15 159:11,13 162:25 164:3 167:12 172:9 173:16,21 180:1 181:21 182:5,13 183:10 185:1 194:21 196:17 197:2 199:13,16,21, 23 203:1,2,18

basic 151:2

basically 5:20 9:10 27:7 43:18 51:19 87:3 90:13 101:13 107:15 129:25 198:25 204:2 211:22

basis 3:15 7:12,16 45:8 63:18 145:8 150:1 155:19 161:24 162:8 165:20, 24 173:20

batch 12:10

Bates 19:22 22:12 24:13 75:6 209:9 212:23

Batiste 31:10,13 105:8,15,22,25 108:4

be-- 50:18 59:13 108:7 204:13

beat 85:4

because-- 122:14 134:6 185:25

been-- 68:21 119:12 160:23

began 5:13

begin 65:4 175:11

beginning 73:15 81:10 114:9 136:6 143:21 149:8,10 154:11 162:15

begins 70:5 211:18

begun 112:20

behalf 58:9,14 142:14

behavior 3:24 7:11,15 82:22 83:16 127:8,11 129:8 130:25 131:21

behind-- 213:4

belief 36:12 41:14,20 47:1 52:9 56:15,19,22 74:6 188:25

believed 71:2 72:3,22 79:12,14 81:12 85:2 99:5 110:9

believes 22:23

Bellevue 156:19 159:17 182:15.16

Bellingham 28:25

benefit 161:8,15,20 200:11

benefits 161:2

Berkeley 147:18 148:1

big 27:9 33:21 53:23 79:17 80:8 87:17 105:15,18,19 112:10 178:6

bigger 5:7 46:22

Biggs 6:15,16 11:23 12:8 14:12 19:15,18,24 20:3,5,10,14 25:24 28:9 40:4,7,12,14,17 44:13,18 45:22 46:10,12,17 49:4 56:21 74:22 79:1 91:11 92:13 93:10,19 94:25 95:5,12, 14 119:21 122:15 123:6,11,20 124:1,11,24 125:1 142:15,20 143:19,23 144:3,5 219:13,14,21

billionaire 111:24

birthday 26:4,16,21

Biscay 4:11 5:16 30:5 32:17 47:18 54:21 60:24 65:10 86:15 98:23 99:20 127:8 131:7,9,21,23,24

Biscay's 4:1

bit 47:19 74:7 85:4 95:6 148:21 153:9 156:7 160:2 167:25 175:1 176:15 177:4 189:22 192:17 194:2 198:16 203:12 204:11,22

bit-- 204:8

black 17:11

blown 51:25

blue 17:11,13

blue-- 17:10

blurry 153:9

board 14:16

boards 14:7

body 88:16

bolster 198:23

bonds 158:1

bonus 163:2 164:3 169:8 172:3,4,10

176:9,21 199:14

book 27:21 39:15 59:19 148:17

192:20 206:6 207:22

books 199:1

born 147:16 153:22

boss 51:6 107:14

bottom 19:20 20:11 39:1,2 75:5 142:3 159:3 163:14 164:13 181:16 182:2,4 193:13 196:19 198:6 208:19

bounce 190:5,9 192:19

bounds 125:22

box 55:18

break 11:11 12:9 62:19 185:7.9

breakdown 100:1

breast 99:21

breast-rubbing 47:8 99:8

Brenda 30:5 32:17 35:18 37:23,24 40:11 41:1,18 42:10 43:7,13 44:2 47:18 49:17,19 50:1,11 54:21 65:10 86:14 97:22 109:10 136:8

briefed 75:9

briefing 122:10

briefings 64:22

briefly 123:2

bring 63:5 77:3,11 96:9,23 197:13

broach 138:6 **brother** 135:11

brought 8:8 77:1 98:10 128:13

Bruce 59:7 67:25 69:24 76:13,25 77:20 78:7,22 79:6,22 80:24 86:11 87:9

budget 51:21 201:24 202:7,18

build 13:23 building 97:8 bullet 212:6

bump 169:3 176:6 200:16,21

bumped 162:20

bunch 55:24 117:7 154:13

burden 93:15

bureau 91:22 107:18 156:6,10 158:25 159:13,15 197:2 205:1

burned 129:3 130:8,21 131:14 132:9,14 135:16,17

business 69:4 148:23,24 188:13

193:10,13,17,20 208:6

businesses 188:11

busy 26:6 29:20

but-- 14:7 31:8 144:8 151:20 177:5 190:2

but-for 151:4,5,8,11,22 152:9,19,21 153:19 159:21 160:4,18 162:3,11 164:10 168:23 169:20 171:17 175:3 180:14 194:21 196:2,3 197:24

buy 193:18,19

by"-- 84:2

C

cabinets 86:15 87:2

calculate 149:5 157:4,16 158:17 200:12

calculated 149:7,15 164:2 202:14

calculating 147:3

calculation 151:1,20 158:21 161:1, 8 163:16,20 166:8 169:12 172:9,12 176:8 177:3 180:25

calculations 156:8 169:9,18 171:8 172:19 173:19 176:6 178:21,24 190:8

calendar 29:22,25 30:7,17,22 32:5 33:14,17,21,24,25 34:5,10 35:6,8, 11,12,18 37:13 38:5,10,16 39:11,21, 23 40:16 41:2,7 42:9 43:21 50:4,7, 12,15,16,24 51:11,13

calendar-- 29:22

calendars 86:18

California 72:11 147:18

call 21:18 33:20 35:2 67:23 77:10 84:23 138:5,9,11,19 139:25 140:24 145:12 195:8

call-- 35:1 141:6

called 11:4 30:24 36:22 49:15 53:5 57:4 78:5 156:16 161:15

calling 12:3 78:18 80:11 138:4,21 141:7 144:6

calls 107:10

camera 54:2

campus 54:10

can't-- 119:13 135:20

can-- 18:16

cancelled 72:11

candid 107:8

capabilities 54:2

capitol 53:24 54:10

captain 3:19,21 4:5,8,12 7:21 8:12, 14 47:12,13 59:15 60:12,17 61:14 62:12 73:13,25 74:5,19 75:9,15 76:6,10,18,20 77:16,19 80:25 81:6 82:11 83:6,12 84:14,20 85:2,23 86:11 88:8 89:2,3,10 92:2 97:3,7 101:22 106:3,4,8,10,11,14 107:11, 13 110:5,7 171:3,23 194:10 196:11 199:7 200:14

Captain"-- 75:18

Captain-- 108:12

card 22:16,18 23:10,12,14 24:19,23 25:4,5 64:2,6,7

cards 23:19 25:1

care 127:15,16 182:24

career 49:14,18 57:19 58:6 80:16 154:16 160:12 161:23 162:6,13 205:3

career-oriented 155:17

CARES 184:17 187:6,9

carry 113:20 115:7 116:2 117:19

case 5:9 6:13 9:19,21,22 12:2 17:2 33:3 69:1 73:5,21 84:24 85:7 86:1,3, 6 101:13 102:1,3 132:7 139:7,9,18 149:2,17,21 154:13 158:23 166:7,16 167:6,9,14 182:6 189:3 192:12 198:18 204:13,15 205:1 217:6

case-- 216:4

cases 10:12 79:8 150:11 165:17,21 166:16,18,22 167:5,6

cash 168:17

casual 66:18

categories 177:22

caused 15:6 114:19

CC'D 31:3,4 32:13 33:1

ceiling 15:6

ceilings 34:24

cell 42:2

center 54:6

certainly-- 7:22

certainty 150:2

certificate 21:20,24

certification 203:18,19

certified 22:22

Cessna 29:17 34:15 41:5 54:1 174:8,20 175:16 178:3 195:20 209:18 212:7 214:23

cetera 81:19 151:10 178:12 182:25 187:10 202:6,8

chain 43:5 46:5 58:22 74:12 84:13 104:2 105:2,6,24 106:7,9,10 107:3 110:5

challenged 74:7

challenges 104:18

chance 122:14 166:12 200:23,24

change 53:3 181:11 190:22 217:6, 19 218:8

changed 34:1 100:14,17 103:4 108:21 109:21 114:6 117:4,5 178:19

changed-- 38:9

changing 29:20

character 126:11 131:5,11,13 133:19,20

charge 107:17 193:22

chart 14:4 17:1,16 67:3 70:19

chart-- 96:4

check 10:23 29:21 34:6,7,13 55:18 71:24 72:1

checked 33:14 34:10,22 92:5

checking 43:20

chief 31:10,12,15 41:16 43:15 74:5 84:14,22 85:8,20,21 86:4 105:8,15, 22,25 107:12,17 108:4,12 139:7,9, 18 171:4,5

Chief-- 73:13

child 167:9



childcare 219:22 choice 139:23

choose 93:21

Chris 26:7 53:21 54:11,16,18 55:5, 14 56:18 104:3,15,24 105:3 219:17

Christ 55:10 church 167:10 circle 66:21

circumstance 38:21 171:21

civilian 9:3 civilian-- 9:2 claim 127:13 claiming 5:6 claims 127:12 clarify 60:21

clean 133:12,15

cleaned 135:2

cleaning 134:20

clear 12:8 89:4 204:8

clerk 45:4 63:14 145:4

client 13:21 134:5 141:10

climate 204:12 clogging 134:24

close 95:24 104:16 114:14 120:19

closed 185:17

closing 127:24 132:3

co-authors 148:16

co-pilot 193:25 194:10 196:25

co-pilots 159:6 177:14 182:19

coached 6:22

coffee 66:23 129:11

cognizant 96:7 colleague 215:7

colleagues 210:17,20

collect 195:8,14

collective 110:8 111:2

college 9:3 147:17,24 148:1 207:3,4

Colorado 167:6

column 153:19 155:22 157:5,6,8 158:6,13 160:8,9,15,16,19 162:15, 25 163:5,9,11 179:5,10,15 180:15, 17 181:4,5,10,12,14 182:3 196:5,6, 9,12,16,18,20 198:23,24 199:6,16, 17,23,24 201:22 202:2

columns 153:15 181:11 198:4,6,20

command 43:5 54:6 58:22 74:12 84:13 104:2 105:2,6,24 106:7,9,11 110:6 112:2 151:17,22 168:25 169:7,16 171:18 172:5,6,17 175:18, 23 176:3,5,10,12,21 180:22 194:10 199:22 200:6,16,22

commander 54:7 59:13

commenced 88:5

comment 5:1,3 8:13 44:22 49:7 100:12

comments 3:25 128:17

commercial 21:21 178:6 188:15

commercial-rated 23:9

commissioner 28:19,23 29:18 42:25 207:12 209:1,2 210:19 211:21

commissioner's 209:20

common 217:17

communicate 25:14 46:19 48:4

communicated 48:2 49:12 53:11

communication 37:25 66:21 72:1 210:10,25

communications 25:15 207:11 208:22

companies 193:9

company 112:15 165:12,13 166:4

compared 82:17 **comparison** 161:24 **compel** 142:12,13

compensation 162:12

competing 192:3

complainant's 56:22

complaint 3:19 7:25 8:5 47:13 58:9, 14 60:10 61:7,11 69:2,6 84:5,20,21 85:1,6,11,14 149:17 175:12 197:16

complaints 8:1 69:23 78:4 101:21

complete 19:7

completed 75:16 85:3

completely 41:19 57:24 61:20 76:10 107:22

completes 85:9

complicate 205:8

comply 44:25

comprehend 204:7

comprise 177:20

computer 42:10 54:19 55:5 98:18

computers 54:20

concern 46:25 60:18,20,22 61:6 75:24 78:17 104:14,15 106:1

concerned 48:19 52:22 58:21 78:20 80:10 104:17 129:12

concerns 43:4 46:9,19 76:18 80:8

103:18

concluded 77:18

concrete 152:25 158:9

conditions 34:17,24 36:15

conducted 74:8 82:10

conducting 70:7

conducts 74:4

confirmation 9:1 210:6 **confirmed** 30:19 134:15

confirming 38:18

conflict 151:9

confront 131:16,19

confronted 131:20

confronting 131:1

confronts 129:8

confused 175:2 214:7

confusing 12:23

connected 130:23 139:21

connection 132:11,13

connects 129:5 130:20 132:1

conscience 84:1

Verbatim Record of Proceedings, Vol VI - September 15, 2020

consideration 145:17 169:25

considered 76:6 149:23

constant 162:23

constantly 29:20

constructive 43:20

consultant 146:21

consulting 146:18 165:18 167:23

contact 9:19 83:1 140:20 142:23,25

contacted 143:2

contained 58:10 86:18 131:21

contemplating 52:19

contempt 3:16 45:9 63:19 145:9

context 209:19

contingency 165:24,25

continue 80:5 114:22 119:10 144:9 145:22 151:11 152:7 153:13,20 160:13 161:13 175:17 193:2

continued 16:15 117:21 175:4 176:16 178:11 187:19 194:22

continuing 152:21 156:23 160:12 161:25

contrast 152:19,20 162:3,9

contribute 163:24 164:9

contributed 163:22

contributing 183:3

contributions 164:13

control 54:5 124:5

conversation 34:12 41:18 45:12 50:9 51:1 58:18 65:15 66:18 79:15 81:6 103:20 107:8

conversation-- 50:25

conversations 107:4

convey 46:8,24

cooking 199:1

coordinate 143:13,16 144:2 145:19

coordinating 143:21

copied 42:21,22

copy 37:20,22 43:2

corner 73:3 209:9.10 212:24

corporate 111:13,21 112:11

corporate-- 111:12

correct 19:13 22:17 93:20 109:24 125:10 133:16,17 138:17 139:13 141:8 143:23 149:3 165:14 168:16 169:1,5,11,16,23 171:19,20 172:6 173:8,9 178:7,20 179:2,15 180:3 181:2,10,15 182:2 184:8,9,22 187:7 191:1,16,20 192:4,14 196:6,13 198:7,24 200:2,8,18 201:1 210:11

correction 191:2 196:4

correctly 29:2 128:15 176:24 180:13

cost-of-living 162:19

could-- 54:7

couldn't-- 41:17

counsel 6:17 61:17

counseling 28:16 60:16

counseling-type 78:14

country 189:13

counts 94:22

County 106:5

couple 14:1 26:14,23 28:24 31:10 33:23 52:15 64:25 78:21 83:22 96:5 148:16 149:5 153:14 155:23 166:6 175:13

courses 168:14

court 3:5,7,11,15 4:22,25 5:4,19 6:15 7:8 9:24 10:3,6,11,19,22 11:1, 2,7,21 12:5,14,19 13:3,7,9,13,16 14:5,10,14,17,24,25 15:4,8,17,18,23 16:3,5,13 19:17 20:1,4,16,23 26:1 27:24 28:8,11 30:11 40:3,6,19 44:20 45:8 46:2,6,11,15,18 49:6 56:25 60:1,4 62:18,21,23,25 63:3,18,20,22 68:21,24 69:14 73:14 75:1 79:4 91:6,8,13 92:17,21,23 93:5,22 94:7, 13,18,23 95:3,8,16,20,23 96:2,5,9, 11,13 119:19,23 120:19,21,24 121:1,9,11,20 122:5,8,17,23 123:2, 9,12,18,25 124:4,8,12,16,22,25 125:2,3,5,7,11,14 126:2,6,15 127:1, 5,9,18 128:1,12 129:22 131:3,18 132:12,18 133:1 134:3 135:21,24 136:14,17,24 137:2,9,18,22 138:2,7, 17,22,25 139:13,17,22,25 140:4,11, 18,22,24 141:1,3,6,9,20,23 142:17 143:17,20,24 144:7,12,14,15,17,20

145:18 146:6 164:19 174:24 185:6. 10.12.16 186:1.14.18.22 201:6.9.14. 20 202:22 203:4,9,17,23 205:11,15, 18,22 206:2,5,9,16,20 207:20 208:10,12,17 211:4,7 213:14,17 216:8,11 217:11 218:15,18,21

Page 232Index: consideration..cuts

court's 13:19 94:15 139:14

courthouse 193:8

219:1,4,7,9,13,20

courtroom 3:14 45:1,7 63:12,17 145:1,7

cover 153:16 154:14 177:21 213:1 215:17

cover-- 215:17

coverage 72:12

covered 61:9 68:2,5,7 108:2

covering 146:8

COVID-19 184:10 187:3 188:9

196:21 197:22

create 52:22,24 83:25

created 60:9 64:11 208:5

credibility 8:18 74:2,3,7

criminal 10:12 15:19 82:22 83:16

criticism 43:20

cross 135:18 164:19 186:9

cross-- 3:22

cross-examination 7:17 164:22 185:22 217:11,13 219:23

cross-examine 8:6,10 185:22

crosses 14:18 crossing 186:9

crosstalk 13:8 14:23 15:10 21:1 22:10 24:18 30:14 39:3 68:23 91:17 94:14 122:25 126:9 136:18 140:8,23 141:2,5,25 144:19 145:25 172:24 179:3 182:8 186:20 198:15 201:13 205:25 206:10 219:6

current 13:21 69:5 97:12 106:4 152:17 155:24 156:18 157:17

curriculum 21:4,10,13

cut 183:6 184:5 202:18

cuts 187:5,17 201:24 202:8



D

daily 34:6 64:21 86:16,17 168:6

damage 54:9 83:25

damaging 83:24

Dan 59:7,17 108:3

dares 131:16

Darrington 29:1 36:17 209:18

Darrington-- 36:17

data 156:18 159:14,15 189:24 195:3, 8,9,12,15 197:3 203:3,5,9 205:2

date 23:11 24:9 40:9 50:11,22,25 51:2,7 64:13,15 130:24 132:22 210:24 211:25 212:1,3 213:9 214:5 215:18,23 216:18

dated 69:21 192:16 207:25 209:5 213:22 214:13

dates 50:19 143:12 166:6

dating 131:23 155:14

daughters 4:1 5:1,3 8:13

day 11:12 12:17 16:19 26:19 27:2 29:5 31:9,21 34:9,10,25 35:22 41:2, 15 42:3,17 49:24 50:1,24 53:23 59:2,3 64:16 65:24 71:14 99:6 100:2,8 103:12 107:5,8 125:25 130:14,15,16 137:1,6,7 141:14 177:2 193:19 211:13,23 218:11

davcare 219:18

days 52:13 85:15,17,21 89:20 96:21 108:15 110:22 183:2 184:6 214:16

daytime 26:11

DC 167:7,8,12

dead 12:18 13:1,2

deal 27:9 53:24 112:10 180:3 197:23

dealing 51:21 53:1

deals 3:20

Debb 101:7,19

debriefing 71:9

decade 170:8 175:5 188:22 190:14

192:7 194:23

decade-over-decade 189:5

decades 202:15

December 113:8,9 114:4 115:7,22 116:20 153:17 161:7 167:8

decide 6:21 73:15

decision 76:9 84:17 139:16 197:19

decline 191:5,6,9 **declines** 191:8

decrease 180:11 193:10

decreases 189:18 defendant 165:5

defense 4:2 8:24 9:17 94:3 122:13 123:3,19 124:23 125:15 127:23 133:3 134:5 141:14 142:5,8

Defense-- 122:10

defined 161:8,15,16 200:11

definition 161:22 203:1

degree 23:6,7 147:20 148:6,7 150:2

degree- 23:5 **degrees** 150:14

delay 31:12

delete 48:9 55:1,11,13,20 56:8,12, 15,18 87:12,13,15 127:21,25 128:4 133:11 134:6 135:25 137:15

deleted 55:9,10,12,20,24,25 56:2 133:4 134:9

deleting 56:14 106:19

deletion 58:23 59:9 68:11 81:13 82:22 99:13 134:18

Delta 152:6 178:2 182:20

demand 182:23,24 190:24,25 191:3,4,7

demonstrated 98:4

demotion 151:10

denial 111:4

denial-- 110:19

denied 72:14 110:15 124:2

deny 8:19 134:19

denying 129:6

department 28:19,21 34:20 37:25 38:14 41:22,24 42:24 43:14 147:23

207:8 210:22 215:22

departure 35:23

depending 9:12 138:10

deplete 198:24

deposed 4:13 6:8

deposition 130:4 131:25

depositions 117:20

depressed 190:13

deputy 215:21

derived 176:9

deserve 44:10

desk 33:21,24,25 35:21 38:4 42:9 50:3,5 79:25 98:17,18

desktop 54:20

destroy 128:8,10 130:11 131:15 132:3 137:6

destroyed 130:15,19

destroyed-the-e-mails 136:23

destruction 53:16,20 58:2 62:10

81:21

detachment 104:9,10,11,21

detail 47:11 48:16 71:20 97:25 98:1 99:19 106:15 217:4

detailed 75:13

details 106:12 109:12

detective 3:24 8:2 16:9 19:18 20:6 39:5,15 40:8 69:3 79:2 93:23 117:6 129:7 130:13 131:18 144:10 145:21 152:13,22 155:23 156:23 160:12 169:22,23 171:1 173:14 179:5,6 180:10,18 192:4 194:6 199:6

detectives 69:5 156:20

determine 41:14,19 194:24

deviation 23:3

did-- 11:4 109:23

didn't-- 82:16 108:1 169:17

differ 159:23

difference 24:23,25 25:3 162:10 195:19.25

differences 200:9

difficult 26:13 95:19 135:1 154:3 202:17

difficulties 173:1 digging 159:13 diligence 43:9

diminishment 190:24,25

dire 19:15

direct 3:22 16:15 25:15 84:15 104:12 146:11 198:6 206:21 209:21 215:8

directed 27:4 direction 76:9

directly 25:15 59:14 105:8 106:1 217:7

director 207:11,16

dirt-throwing 125:18 126:14

disagree 37:16 disallowed 126:12

discipline 47:22,24 48:1 104:19

disclosed 4:12,20

disclosure 3:19 48:10 81:14 82:23 84:8 127:20 132:10,15 135:22

discount 157:15,23 158:15 160:21 163:12

discounted 157:9,10,16 158:7,14, 19 160:19,22 163:11,18 164:14 168:7,8

discovery 4:6

discretion 121:14

discuss 70:18 71:12 74:19 156:6

discussed 82:21 83:13 108:23 110:7 181:19 198:5,21 200:23

discussing 58:15 198:19

dishonest 83:15

dismissed 73:21 85:21,24

disperse 66:22

disregard 44:22 49:7 119:25

DNR 39:11 41:5,12

do-- 10:12

docked 109:3

Doctor 164:24 187:2 189:15 191:2 201:3

document 20:7 21:8 28:3 35:19 60:9 74:16,25 76:17 81:1

document-- 19:21 documented 6:3 documented-- 6:2

documents 5:20,21 86:2,5 95:1 128:8,10 149:16 183:12

does-- 90:1 **don** 200:8

don't-- 108:4 190:22

done-- 148:23

door 36:24 51:5 65:21 105:17 185:17

dots 129:5 130:20 132:1

double 147:21 downlink 54:3,7

downstairs 37:22 49:16

downturn 188:18 **downward** 191:11

draft 92:1,7 211:18,24 212:13 214:6 216:23 217:1,3,5

draft-- 216:4

Drake 84:14,22 85:8,21 86:4 107:17 108:13

Drake's 135:11 **Drake--** 85:20

dramatic 182:2 183:22 199:7,10

dramatically 182:1 199:4

drawer 86:21 drawing 184:14 dream 52:21 dreams 197:15

Dreck 4:8

Dreck's 8:12

driven 188:24

driver's 25:13,17,19,23 26:5,24

27:6,7,12

driving 107:10

drop-off 28:25

dropped 197:25

due 43:9 74:13 155:7

dug 89:21 158:23

duly 146:2 206:12

dumped 5:20

dynamic 217:22,23

Ε

e-mail 5:18 30:25 32:21 33:8 37:24 41:21,23,24 42:15,19,21,22 43:1,2, 14 53:16,20 55:5,6,7,9 56:16,20 58:1 62:10 77:20 80:13,14,22,23 81:21 82:22 83:20 84:13 90:20,21 91:2 96:21 110:19 127:12,14 133:11,13 134:17,18,24 139:19 141:17 207:25 208:3,5,21 209:4 210:16,17 212:3 213:1,9,21 214:13 215:17

e-mail-- 126:4

E-MAILED 75:18

e-mails 11:16,25 32:9 41:22 42:12 48:9 54:14 55:1,24 56:4,8,12,14,15, 18 58:24 59:10 68:11 81:13 87:7,12, 13 99:13 106:19 126:2 127:6,18,19, 20,25 128:3,25 129:3 130:11,21 131:15 132:4,23 133:4,14,15 134:6, 9,20,21,23,25 135:2 136:1,8 137:6, 7,15 208:18

e-mails-- 127:22 131:19

earlier 50:5,15 66:14 128:6 129:4 192:13

early 47:20 49:14,18,22 57:15 132:17,24,25 138:15 184:12

earn 154:9,16earned 163:22earning 154:7

earnings 149:8,14 150:25 151:1,21 152:14,17,18,19,21,25 153:15,19,23 154:2,18 155:1,2,3,10,11,15,22,24 156:2,3,14,20 157:3,5,12,14 158:6, 18 159:1 160:4,7,15,18,20,21,23 161:22 162:5,9 163:25 164:2,5



Page 235Index: earnings--..exercise

166:7 169:14,15,18 170:4,5 173:7 176:14 179:4,8,18 180:14,17,19 181:10,12 183:3 191:11 192:6 196:1 197:5,12 199:6,24 200:3 201:22,23 202:2,12,19 203:15,24 204:3,5,10, 12 205:10

earnings-- 157:11

ease 154:4

easily 34:1 203:16

easy 204:7

economic 146:21 147:3 150:2 188:24 202:7 204:12

economic-- 167:17

economics 146:25 147:7,9,21,22, 24 148:4,6,7,10,12,17 150:18,20 157:21 168:14 170:23 191:1 192:20

economist 146:22,24 147:5,10 148:14 150:21 154:25 167:18 177:23 178:9 179:24

economists 149:23 150:16 202:21

edition 148:18

educational 147:14

effect 51:9

effects 113:25 115:24

electrical 21:12 electronic 101:15 electronic-- 101:14 elements 208:25

elicited 132:17

eliminate 15:25

elite 24:3,25 25:4 64:3,7

Elliott 65:2,7 87:19

embarrassed 127:4 134:10

embarrassing 11:16,25 127:16 128:11 130:21 136:7

emotional 100:1

emotional-harm 17:1 96:4

employed 142:11 146:17

employee 28:16 47:24 89:4 97:12, 16 101:3,9 141:15 142:10 143:18

employees 25:22 34:17,21 79:8

83:18 87:6 88:19,24 89:8 111:14 191:5.7

employment 156:9,13,16 158:25 159:8,16 177:10,12 191:15,19,22

end 9:8 11:12 22:20 26:14 42:16 88:3 112:14 115:11 136:5 141:13 161:22 162:13,23 187:10 190:16 200:17

ends 30:18

enforcement 111:18 156:25

engaged 3:23
engaging 7:15

engine 112:6,7 203:19 **engineer** 193:25 196:25

engineers 159:6 177:15 182:19

Enjoy 219:7

enjoyment 17:12 18:3 67:16 103:10 113:18 115:19 116:15 117:14 120:8

enlightening 108:9

enter 35:12 entering 148:4

enters 16:4 63:21 96:12 144:16

186:21

entire 64:23 130:10

entitled 134:8 148:11

entry 41:4 69:21

environment 47:2,7 48:22,23 53:2 57:23 59:9 68:10 77:13 78:5 84:6 89:13 90:1,7 99:9,24 100:13,23 101:10,22 106:16

equal 170:22

equals 183:6

equivalent 158:4 168:19

erased 41:7 **erased--** 41:6

eras 202:15

Ericson 137:20

error 64:2

escalate 109:23

essays 148:11

essentially 56:3 133:18 151:25 153:23 162:19 169:11 175:7 177:2 187:5 188:21 195:24,25 197:8,18 199:18

estate 188:16

estimate 154:8,15 159:11 166:18 204:22

ethical 6:18,23

evaluation 70:22 108:16

evening 219:7 evenings 34:7

event 31:11 38:19 48:16 53:23 54:12,14,15 55:2 56:7 58:1,2 66:16 98:3 99:8 183:22 210:23

events 29:14 48:25 99:11 106:24 118:1

eventually 68:7

Evergreen 141:16 207:4

every-- 100:22

everything-- 55:23

evidence 5:24 6:7 7:1,2 20:19 28:14 40:22 91:16 93:3 128:13 131:5,11 133:20 185:4 186:8 208:15 211:11 213:20 216:14

evidentiary 185:19

evidently 209:23 210:18

exact 129:18 130:25

exact-- 175:12

EXAMINATION 16:15 146:11 206:21

examples 68:10 99:10 106:17

except-for 152:9 exception 83:5 excluded 66:4 exclusion 66:10

excuse 92:19

excused 205:19,23 218:18,22 219:2

executive 62:4 209:20 **executives** 188:12

exercise 127:4

exhibit 4:7 6:1 13:10 18:15 20:16,18 27:20,24 28:7,11,13 39:14 40:2,20, 21 50:15 59:18,20,21,25 68:15,25 69:9 77:22 81:8 90:24 91:2,5,13,15, 24 92:12,13,16 96:15 102:1 121:3,4, 12 122:18,19 123:23 124:9,17,19 125:8,17 126:23 127:3,19 129:17 130:1,2 132:19 136:5,7 137:4,23 138:8 139:4 145:16 205:24 207:19, 22 208:12,14 211:3,7,10,17 213:17, 19 214:1 215:14 216:11,13

exhibits 93:12 124:6

exist 6:6 171:9

existed 55:16 60:24

existence 57:3

exit 90:22 91:23 92:3 96:18,22,23 97:2,6,12,15 102:13 103:14

exit-- 102:12

exits 47:8 62:24 92:22 120:25 185:11 219:8

expand 81:25

expect 6:18

expectancy 161:5,6 162:24 163:18

expected 22:25 82:2 144:25 155:6 189:4

expenses 119:12,14

expensive 193:18

experience 104:23 111:16,17 151:24,25 176:17 177:23 188:2 194:12,14,18 205:4

experienced 47:3 99:11 151:6

experiences 105:11 107:6

expert 11:11 12:11,21 123:17 147:10 186:9,10

expertise 170:23 175:19

expires 187:10 expiring 26:5

explain 30:1 37:14 42:5 82:25 90:5 107:20 146:23 153:8 163:5 173:24

explained 47:6,10,11 48:6,15,21,24 49:14 50:5 57:13 82:24 89:11,17,24 97:17,21,23 98:7 99:9 100:24 106:15,21 109:4 110:13 176:8

explaining 35:5 43:17,23 108:3

explains 127:10 156:21

explanation 160:4

extended 31:13 41:16 70:13

extension 70:18

extra 120:22 163:21 164:2 176:6

extremely 26:6 98:9

eyes 50:22

F

FAA 195:18,19

face 146:7 206:18

facilitate-- 144:1

fact 4:5 8:7 74:1 98:10 127:11,15 133:1,24 182:19

factoring 194:20

factors 169:9 188:24

facts 6:19

failed 70:23 71:23 85:10

failing 115:5

fair 12:22 96:16 114:18 124:20 165:15 170:16 180:6 184:1 191:10 212:13 215:9

fairly 62:6 65:6

familiar 189:6

family 58:7

fashion 56:9

favor 37:24

favorable 194:11

fear 17:9,10,18 67:5 102:23 113:7 114:4 115:25 116:18 117:18 118:6,7

feasible 195:7

February 24:10,11,17 97:20 108:14 136:2,22 137:5 149:19 173:17

February-- 23:22 24:10

federal 95:20 155:7 167:6 184:16, 17,18 187:6,9,17,18

fee 165:24

feed 54:4

feedback 54:8 102:17

feel 51:23 88:20,25 89:8 102:18 105:16 108:8 120:14

Page 236Index: exhibit..firm

feeling 120:16

fees 165:25

feet 33:23

felt 44:7 52:21 53:6 61:24 79:14 80:3,19 88:24 89:19 104:23 105:18 108:1 110:13 115:5 204:7

field 91:21 104:1 148:11 168:16 180:2

figure 5:14 41:17 43:9 113:2 173:13 180:16

figured 5:15 12:17 13:24

figures 154:2 158:6 160:2,3 187:21

file 7:25 19:10,12 22:5 23:24 55:12 57:4 110:20

filed 8:5 10:9 58:9,14 81:16,23 110:3 125:24 126:21 185:1

files 57:3 134:23 149:17,21 154:13 158:23 182:6 205:1

fill 12:16 69:4,6 142:2

filled 60:12 69:1 104:10

filling 26:12

final 38:18 161:20,21 162:11,12 163:1,7 199:8 200:13,14

finally 26:18 79:16 108:10

financial 59:11 119:11 176:7 197:19

find 148:20 183:11 197:10 207:18 219:16

finding 3:16 209:11

findings 74:1

fine 80:5 94:7 122:3,6 123:17 124:16,17 125:1 138:25 139:19 140:4 181:24

finish 84:18 92:7 113:5

finished 16:19 88:11 147:19,22

finishes 89:2

fire 184:19

fired 129:19

firm 146:18 149:1 165:18 167:17,21,



Page 237Index: first--..good

22

first-- 99:7

first-line 156:19

flag 79:17 Flank 208:1

flawed 176:7 **fleet** 54:2

flew 31:10 36:17 71:15 104:16 112:2

flight 21:12,14 27:8 28:23 29:3,6,10, 11 30:4,18,23,24 31:4,7,8,9,21,23, 24 32:7,9,10,13,14,23 33:7,11 34:11,12 35:2,7,14,17,19,20,22,25 36:1,2,4,6,11,15 37:5,6,7,12 38:1, 11,14,17 39:11,21 40:14 41:10,13, 15 43:10,12,16,21,24 49:16 51:7 53:14 56:19 59:11 61:18 70:22 71:7, 22,24 72:1 84:7 86:16 100:20 106:22 109:5,10 111:15 159:6 175:6 177:15 182:19 193:25 196:25 204:16,18 216:1,22 217:18

flight"-- 38:6 flight-- 30:4

flights 29:17,25 30:1,16,22 33:25 34:15 35:10 51:24 125:25 207:13,15

Flightsafety 19:2,7 23:21 112:14

flirtacious 127:7 flirtatious 129:5 flirting 128:17 flood 15:2

flipped 181:9

flourished 179:1

flown 29:18 104:3 119:9

fluctuations 202:7

fly 23:7 32:23 49:23,24 52:13 54:17 111:10 112:17 130:14 174:19,20 178:2,3 209:18 217:25

flying 22:25 52:12 111:9,16 112:10, 11 119:2,10 174:14 177:16 184:4 188:12 195:20 197:15 212:12

FMLA 26:7 72:12,21

FOB"-- 91:21

focus 20:25 147:8 167:21

focused 165:13 167:17

fog 34:18,24

folder 55:13,15,20,21,24 56:1,10

folks 170:18 **follow** 144:25

follow-up 101:18 201:17 203:4

205:11

following-- 36:19 192:12

for-- 26:21

Forbes 183:14,16,19

forecast 201:22

forecasted 34:23 36:15

forensic 146:22,24 147:10 148:14 149:23 150:16,20 157:21 167:18 179:23 202:21

foreshadowing 133:9

forever 193:2

forget 141:18 175:12

form 186:3

formed 165:12

formula 161:16,17 172:15,16,20 200:11

formulate 131:10

formulation 131:12

forward 14:3 17:17 77:1 152:17 154:18 155:7,25 157:2 160:6 179:7

forwarded 84:13

forwarding 136:7

found 72:19

foundation 95:14 148:4 169:12

founding 166:3

frankly 12:23 125:21 174:2

friend 104:20

friends 104:15,19

from-- 179:7

front 9:2 15:13 46:16 92:18 95:17

122:2 150:25 204:20

frustrated 88:14,17 109:13

full 82:17 146:15 148:3 177:19 197:4

203:15 206:25

full-blown 73:19

fully 79:14 99:5

fund 68:13

funding 187:6,9,10,17

funds 164:1

future 154:2 157:11,12 168:1 192:7

202:11

G

gained 151:24

gap 9:6 12:17,22

gather 8:15 128:16

gave 68:9 142:13 197:14

gear 103:15

Gene 208:1

general 21:11 188:3 189:11 192:12 193:21 201:25

generally 181:22

generic 7:3

geographic 156:14 182:18

get-- 83:1 112:16

give 10:25 19:22 21:18 22:19 23:5 87:4 97:25 106:17 149:25 154:23 171:21 201:17 208:20 209:19 210:5 217:25

giving 129:3

globalization 148:17 188:25 192:21 193:1

GLOVER 39:2 69:10 75:21

glut 184:3

go-- 111:25

goal 9:5 111:22

God 44:8

God"-- 44:4

Goldmark 28:20,23 29:18 42:25 209:3

good 16:8,17,18 62:19 75:2 146:13, 14 164:24 165:1 176:1 180:3 181:22 183:14 184:11 185:7 194:19 195:2

206:23,24 211:15 217:16 218:11 219:5

goodness 213:6

gosh 189:2

got-- 24:6

Gotcha 209:15

government 184:16,18 187:17,18

governmental 129:13 governments 202:6

governor 59:11 68:13 81:19 84:7 99:16 106:22 210:4 217:21

governor's 49:15 50:10 51:3,6 52:4 57:14 58:23 77:7 87:15

governor's-- 77:6

grab 35:22

grabs 32:8 98:18

graduate 148:2

graduating 148:1

granted 37:13

granular 175:21

great 20:10,14 60:7 68:19 69:12

111:16 173:3

greater 159:22 160:17 177:24

200:15,23,24

green 17:12

Greg 20:25 22:8 24:16 38:25 63:25 75:20 153:5,12 163:13 211:14 214:2,19 216:20

Greg-- 213:12

grievance 110:2,4,6,12,14

grieve 109:17

ground 21:10 54:4 62:2 184:6

grounds 110:14

group 3:23 52:23 127:25 130:10 142:9 170:20 172:18 195:5 213:23

grouping 159:5,7

grow 204:5

growing 205:9

growth 152:18 153:24 154:19 155:2,3,10,11,15 156:2 157:3 160:7

162:1 170:4,5,9 171:22 179:9,18 197:5 202:12 204:2,9,24

guess 30:9 31:23 70:4 80:4 97:20 98:5 103:4 132:24 137:7 140:20 180:5,21 212:6

guess-- 52:20

guilt 37:18

gut 80:7

guy 11:12,13 128:9 129:25 130:1,3, 8,11 135:16 140:21

guys 55:1

Н

had-- 16:25 29:24 40:25 140:22

half 12:12 106:14 155:16 158:2 162:13 163:8

halfway-- 139:3

Hall 106:3,8,10,11,14 108:3 110:7

Hall's 107:13

hallway 15:6,13

hamper 192:25

hand 92:4 142:18

handle 93:20 110:6,25

handled 47:13 76:14 84:5 85:2 107:23

handled-- 123:24

handling 76:10 110:25 143:14

Hang 97:24

hangar 31:19,20,22,25 49:20,25 66:11,14,18

happen 48:11 61:5 66:24 71:17 80:2 97:13 98:12,19 102:10 130:9

happened 6:21 7:2 9:6 26:2 27:12 31:8 33:5 34:9 37:15 48:7 52:5,10 53:15,19 61:24 65:9,25 67:24 74:15 87:19 88:7,21 90:12 97:9,14 98:6 99:8 101:17 105:14 106:13 107:1 109:5 110:1,18 114:1,7,19 115:3,4 117:6,8 124:18 134:9 151:9 153:17 197:17

happened-- 66:25

happening 47:16 55:4 136:10

happens-- 127:23

happy 11:5 35:4 36:12 88:13 113:21 143:12

harassment 16:21 47:13 53:8 59:8 61:7,11 68:8 70:7 76:11,14 84:5,21, 25 85:11 97:22 98:11,15,21 102:5 106:15 107:23

hard 13:20 94:9 98:5 111:25 145:19 182:12 202:9

Harvard 148:5,7 150:15,20

has-- 11:15

Hatteberg 26:24 27:4,18 28:5 33:6 34:13 35:1,5 45:13 56:13,14 58:19 61:3,17,23 65:2,13 74:19 75:14 88:4,10 89:6,7 91:3,20 100:1 108:20

Hatteberg's 36:23

Hatteberg-- 36:14

have-- 5:25 94:16 132:22 141:17 167:13 174:5

having-- 12:22

he'll 166:7

he-- 74:3 135:25

head 51:17 181:9

heading 23:6 55:19 60:10

headings 23:4

headquarters 97:8

Health 142:9

hear 26:21,22 46:15 50:25 65:15 92:17 106:8 128:15 143:10 165:10 187:14

heard 11:18 55:16 73:12 78:3 82:11 89:7 99:4 100:25 101:2,23 129:9 168:17 173:22 186:7 187:16 206:8

hearing 10:15 15:20 143:13

hearsay 25:25 45:24,25 46:13 92:14,25 94:2

heavy 15:16,23

heck 51:18

hedge 157:18

held 45:9 63:19 86:17 100:11 145:9

helpful 152:24 203:14

helping 49:20,25 50:1 110:25

helps 129:20

Hendricks 125:13,14,21 126:21 135:17 136:21 137:21,22 138:4,14

140:20

Hendrickson 11:14

her-- 10:9 141:19

hey 5:15 26:16 36:23 37:23 38:18 51:6 54:24 65:14,15,19 117:7

hide 127:15 129:2

high 183:3

high-level 141:15

high-ranking 179:20

higher 22:2,24 23:2,8 152:20 160:3, 17 162:6 180:14,15,17 187:23 199:18.21

higher-paying 171:16

highest 200:15 highways 113:24

him-- 49:14 97:23

hire 64:25

hired 65:7 167:4

historical 154:21 155:13 201:23

histories 155:1 history 202:16

hit 51:23 **hits** 71:5

hold 30:16 38:11,12,15,20,22,23 41:7 51:3 75:1 100:10 185:16 187:6

hold-- 30:8 holding 106:21 holds 87:10

hole 5:13 15:5 89:20

holes 8:18

home 34:7 58:18 188:16

honestly 44:9,10

honor 6:16,24 7:7 8:21 11:9,23 12:8 13:18 14:13 16:11,14 19:16 20:3,15, 22 25:24 28:1,9 40:5,18 44:14,19

45:22 46:3,10,12,17 49:4 56:21 74:22 79:1 91:12 92:13,15 93:11,19 94:25 119:21 120:3 121:19 122:16 123:7,20 125:16 126:11 133:19 139:5 140:17 144:4,11 145:15,16 146:10 164:21 174:25 184:25 187:1 201:8 205:14,16,20 206:4 207:18 208:11 211:5 213:16 216:10 217:12 218:14.20

Honorable 146:3 206:13

honored 210:22 hood 13:10.71:8

hope 9:11 44:4,8 111:11 215:14

hoping 8:23 15:25

hostile 47:2,7 48:21 59:9 68:10 77:13 78:4 84:5 90:6 101:10,21 106:16

hostile-type 48:23

hour 12:12 108:23 122:12 142:3

hourly 150:5 165:20,23

hours 21:14 26:15 31:13 41:16 106:14 129:10

how-- 124:2 HR's 101:2 hubs 182:20

human 90:22 91:23 92:2 96:22 97:7 101:20 103:14

humiliation 17:11,22 67:10 103:2,3 113:12 114:24 115:10 116:7,25 118:15

hundreds 93:12

hurt 184:12

hydraulics 21:12

hypothetical 151:4 175:2 196:15 201:2

I

I'M-- 55:2

I-- 49:3 51:10 80:13 87:16,20 90:17 95:7,12 105:15,20 135:23 188:25

Idaho 167:5,12

idea 32:4 163:21 172:13 173:18,20 174:1 175:2 195:14,18 199:23

ideal 176:23,24

identified 50:25 51:1 55:6,9

identifies 50:11 identify 210:1

if-- 10:4 155:24

iffy 8:25 195:15

IFR 34:23 36:15 174:7,10,11 175:16

ignore 142:15 ignores 90:9

ignoring 153:24 162:17,22

IIR 59:22 62:12 64:10,13,15 67:22 81:16,23 82:21 85:3,6,9

ILS 71:8

image 54:7

immaterial 56:22

immediately 9:20 12:11 37:19 43:7

impact 52:3 176:2 182:2 183:22 187:3 188:9 190:18,20 196:11,12 198:6 199:8,10 200:2 201:23

impacted 53:7 169:15 179:15 182:19 196:20 199:4

impacted-- 179:14 impacts 181:10 193:3

impeached 7:20

impeachment 7:18

implied-- 87:1

improper 128:14 129:13 131:4 186:13

improved 115:1 178:11

in-- 135:16 138:6 in-between 42:9

in-person 74:9

inadequately 29:9

inappropriate 128:17

inaudible 13:8 14:23 15:10,14 21:1 22:9 24:18,21 30:14 39:3 50:14 60:10 68:23 84:2 91:17 93:11 94:14 112:21 119:18 122:25 125:5 126:9, 18 136:18 139:3 140:8,23 141:2,5, 25 142:19 144:19 145:25 172:24 179:3 182:8 186:10,13,20 189:21,23



198:15 200:7 201:13 202:13 205:25 206:10 209:6 219:6

inbox 55:6,25

incentive 134:7 162:5 163:2 164:3 172:3,4 176:9 199:14

incident 47:9 49:10 53:16,20 59:8, 21 72:4 82:24 97:22 98:8,21 135:24

inclement 111:17 inclined 218:23

include 64:22 81:21,24 155:9

included 154:14 194:2

including 3:24 25:22 76:16 89:18 154:3 155:5 158:3

income 161:3 162:20 163:9,17 164:11 200:12

inconsistencies 7:19 inconvenience 80:4 incorporate 170:6

incorrect 7:6 24:9 81:15

increase 180:9 189:5 196:17 199:25

increased 103:3 increases 189:18 increases-- 189:17

increasing 180:7 188:25 189:13

indicating 81:17 184:24 187:25 210:19

indicating-- 187:24

individual 152:5 155:18 203:1

individual's 202:19

individuals 163:24 177:21

industries 187:3

industry 157:21 183:23,25 184:12 188:9 193:24 197:20

inflation 153:25 154:4 155:5,6,9 157:17,18 158:3 162:17,19,22 202:13 204:6

influenced 196:6

inform 144:23

information 4:5,12 11:1 57:21 58:10,15 76:24 89:5 108:7 149:22 156:5 194:2 195:3

informed 44:23 86:14

informing 209:23

ingredients 151:2

initial 38:17 110:3

initially 64:3 68:4 81:23

initiate 59:22 initiated 84:22 initiating 100:4

inside 34:13 170:2,14

instance-- 94:8 instant 54:8

instructed 55:11,14 87:14

instructing 133:14 instruction 21:11

instructor 22:22 70:23

instructor-- 22:22 49:22 instrument 34:17 174:14

instruments 174:14,19,20

integrity 94:10

intention 83:25 152:2

intentional 59:9 68:11 99:13 106:21

intentionally 58:22 59:10 68:12 77:7 81:18 84:6 99:15 106:19

interactions 41:1 66:10

interest 157:15,22,25 158:5,8,12

interesting 61:8 197:13 203:14

internal 58:22 59:6,14,21 65:23,24 67:25 69:5 78:16,24 79:13,19 80:18 85:24 87:17 88:12 107:18 129:16

internationally 189:14

interpret 154:3

interpretation 154:4 204:21

interrogating 88:19,24 89:7

interrogatories 4:15

interrogatory 4:17,21 8:1

interview 68:5 73:11 76:4 77:10 78:6,18,22,23 79:22 80:9 82:6,12 90:22 92:3 96:18,22,24 97:2,6,12 102:13,16 103:15 135:11,13

interview/meeting 91:23

interviewed 67:19 73:9 74:18,21 76:22,24 79:7 85:18 135:10

interviews 74:4,8 75:14 79:20 97:15

intro 148:23

invested 158:12

investigate 47:25 69:6 80:21 81:25 84:4.21 85:11

investigating 5:17

investigation 4:8 5:12 8:12 47:15, 23 59:23 69:3,18 73:8,10,15,19,22 74:13 75:10,16 76:5,7,9,21,22 77:15,16,17 78:11 79:18 81:20,24 82:1,4,18 84:10,12,19,23 85:12,13, 22 86:8,13 87:17,24 88:5,11 127:14 128:25

investigation-- 67:23

investigations 76:3 78:10,16,24 79:19 82:9

investigations-- 128:23

investigative 84:24 85:7 86:3

investigator 5:17,23 67:20,25

77:20

investigator's 69:1

investigators 76:4

investment 157:20

involve 87:20 112:5

involved 9:16 34:3 44:16 45:14 76:10 77:5,6 79:3 107:16,22

involves 53:24 147:2

involving 8:1 138:16

is-- 9:5 11:13,14 37:2 46:1 64:13 87:19 92:16 130:6 137:10 142:5 173:4 216:21

issue 3:17 4:11 5:18 7:23 10:12 11:21 12:20,21 14:10 16:20 27:13 81:7 85:18 105:16,18 123:5,9 134:3 138:1 188:7 191:13 195:4,17 198:9 219:15

issue-- 134:18

issued 62:12,15

issues 32:1 51:21 64:17 71:12



81:16 89:16 105:19,20 128:19 185:19,23 192:24 219:18,22

issuing 47:21

it's-- 27:8 28:16 61:9 109:4 158:14

it-- 115:7 185:23

items 55:20 items-- 81:24

itineraries 217:2

itinerary 30:24 31:4 32:9,11,13,14 33:7 34:13 35:14 36:4,7 37:7,12 216:22

J

January 105:5 108:13 114:3 115:7, 22 116:20 153:16 173:16 207:6

Jared 65:2,7,16,19 87:19

Jeff 37:2,9,11 43:13,23 44:4,8 45:12 91:2 108:20 110:20

Jeff's 43:8

jet 111:24 112:5,12 203:19

jet-fuel-powered 112:7

jets 112:11 Jim 97:22

job 101:2 108:24 113:21 171:22 176:19,23 177:2 191:24,25

job-- 52:21

jobs 86:16 195:1

John 97:3 Johnny 60:11

joined 44:24 63:7

joint 93:17 121:6,15

JPA 108:24 109:3,7

Judge 13:5 131:11 132:1 218:25

judge's 93:2 judges 12:25 judicial 186:13

July 16:20,22 17:5,17 26:4,22 153:20,22 157:13

June 16:20

juries 193:7

Juror 95:25

jurors 95:25 165:9

jury 6:11 12:23 13:25 16:3,4,8 44:22 46:16 49:7 61:1 62:22,24 63:5,21 91:18 92:18,19,22 95:18 96:10,12 119:25 120:22,25 122:2 124:13,18 129:9,20 132:2 138:21 141:4 144:13,15,16 145:10,19 146:8,23 185:11 186:21 193:4 201:9 206:18 214:22 218:16,23 219:2,8

jury's 33:16

jury-- 42:5 124:14

just-- 44:4 108:1 154:13 202:12

justify 204:16

K

Kaiser 9:4,13 29:18 42:25 142:1 206:7,12 207:1 217:15 218:11,18

Kaiser-- 28:20 Katrina 208:2 keeping 162:22

Kelly 208:1 209:6 215:7

Kenyon 46:4,13,25 47:10 48:2 53:5, 13 58:18 59:5 65:24 68:8

kidding 88:22

kind 3:23 30:17 34:2 50:5 51:17 66:20 80:3 85:4 97:25 103:4 121:15 125:21 175:21 210:5 219:17,21

King 18:8,11 19:2 29:17 31:20 49:10,12 53:14 58:1 62:2 72:10 112:1,3

knew 8:16 53:2 80:11,12 81:11 106:12 107:23,25 117:6 130:4 215:10

know"-- 80:3

know-- 11:17 25:2 98:22

knowing 188:8

knowledge 19:11 29:4,5,8 33:16

74:1,23

known-- 185:25

L

L.A. 147:25

labor 147:4,8 148:12 150:14,16 156:6,10 158:25 159:13,15 170:23 181:22 197:2 205:1

lack 3:19

laid-off 191:17,19,22

landed 52:20

lands 207:12 211:22

language 88:16

large 33:24,25 53:25 119:12 134:23 182:20 195:6

largely 155:7

larger 46:23 57:3 170:20 178:13 196:19

largest 159:7

Las 167:14

last-- 39:6

last-minute 51:24 193:17

late 71:10 132:25 211:13 217:19

latest 209:17 212:18 213:1

law 6:14 111:18 147:1 154:14

156:25

lawsuits 147:1

lawyer 186:6

lawyers 201:17

lay 95:14

laying 190:17

layoff 184:19

layoffs 187:5

lays 190:19

leadership 104:23

leads 156:3 163:16

leak 15:9

leaks 15:11

learn 84:16 85:20 112:16 159:2

learned 58:8,14

learning 104:24

leave 72:13,17 129:10 217:24

leave-- 89:25

leaves 41:25 194:6

leaving 66:1 97:16 103:20 152:3 156:24 159:20 174:5 175:10 193:19

led 29:14

left 27:11 42:4,11 50:6 89:6,17 102:12 103:3,25 104:4,9 107:9 111:8,15 119:9,13 147:9 194:25 202:3

left-hand 73:3

legal 165:17

length 8:7

Leslie 147:23

lesser 170:19 185:24

lesser-- 170:18

letter 85:23 142:22,23 143:8 154:14

letters 143:15 211:18

level 17:18 22:23,24 47:22 67:5 102:23 110:16 113:7 114:4 155:25 160:18 175:19 176:2 178:5

levels 109:23 110:1 190:11 204:19

liaison 209:21 215:8

license 21:22 25:17,19 26:5,15,17, 25 27:3,6,7,12,13

license-- 25:14,23

lie 5:25 6:22

lieutenant 11:16 12:1 18:6 20:12 25:9,13 27:4 30:6 31:3 44:11 47:18 54:21,24 55:6 59:7,13,17 60:15,17, 24 61:15 62:1 65:10,12,14 77:14 81:18 89:13 92:3 97:3 99:25 100:7, 18 105:1,20,21 106:12 126:14 128:4,16 133:3,7,10,16,17,20 134:13,15 135:4,25 137:11 138:11 171:3,23 172:14,16 179:13 181:25 196:11 199:7 200:14

Lieutenant's 65:20 66:1

lieutenant-- 200:7

life 17:12 18:3 67:16 103:10 113:18 114:20 115:19 116:15 117:14 120:9 148:13 161:4,6 162:24 163:18

like-- 33:11 37:14 43:23 54:18 65:22 180:12 185:23

Likewise 3:12 45:5 63:15 145:5

limine 122:11,18 185:1,14

limitations 34:15 52:12

lined 143:6

lines 85:25 196:11

list 8:22 69:22 209:17

listed 21:12 35:24 61:8 99:10

listen 7:23 44:6 89:2

listened 8:6

listening 43:25

lists 21:10

literally 176:9

litigation 5:13 8:15 147:1 167:18

litigation-- 117:23

live 107:10

livelihood 58:6

living 146:20

local 159:1 187:23,24 197:2 202:6

203:15 204:9

locally 204:3

location 156:14

locations 28:24

lock 86:15 87:2

locked 86:21,23,24

log 35:23 69:1 84:24 85:7 86:3

101:13

logical 130:20 188:1,3

long 32:3 53:2 55:22 84:16 94:7

120:16 175:9 207:5

long-term 158:1 188:8,20

longer 47:25 188:12 191:24

looked 4:7 5:12 24:2 43:8 56:1 109:12 123:3 125:7 154:22 205:1

lose 53:9

loss 17:12 18:3 67:16 103:10 113:18 115:19 116:15 117:14 120:8 147:3 157:7 160:17 163:5 164:12,13 169:12 172:9 180:15 196:19 199:13

loss-- 153:15

lost 149:8,14,15 150:25 151:1,21 152:25 153:15 157:5,11,12,14 158:6,17,18 160:15,20,21,23 161:2, 3,7 163:9,17 164:11 166:7,8 169:14, 15,17 173:7 176:14 180:19 181:10, 12 192:6

lot 7:9,20 26:12 29:16 31:17 33:22 71:20 79:14 104:16 106:12 111:14, 15,16,20 119:12,14 134:22 192:23

loud 165:8

love 83:25

low 34:24 47:22 181:6

lower 180:18 201:24 209:8,10

212:23

lucrative 111:21

lunch 11:19 120:22 122:12 125:4

М

Madam 201:11

made 3:19,25 4:19,22,25 5:2,8,23 6:10 29:7 36:4,7 37:4 38:9 64:2 88:25 99:21 100:12 105:20 133:23 135:22 154:10 168:22 172:14 179:19 182:5 186:14 198:22 212:13

made-- 112:1,2

Mafe 146:3 206:13

Maier 59:7 67:25 68:6 69:24 73:18 74:1 76:13,25 77:21 78:7,22 79:6,22 80:24 82:5 83:19 86:11 87:10

Maier's 74:18 75:8

maintained 33:25 114:14

maintains 33:16

maintenance 50:17,18,19,20,21 51:8,12,14 62:2

major 78:17,21 105:19 106:1

majored 147:21

majority 166:15 167:20

make 3:5 27:9 34:8 35:15 37:5,20,22 38:19 41:8 63:8 74:1,16 76:8 84:16 93:9,11 94:19 108:8 109:14 113:1 122:1 124:18 138:13 142:25 144:21 151:16 157:20 159:22 165:9 171:3,4 172:5,6 173:19 174:21 175:17,22



176:3,5 180:3,7,8,10 181:21 182:3 187:13 193:13 198:11,19 204:13,15 205:4

makes 130:19 169:19 180:22 192:22 203:6,8,10

making 7:11,14 13:13 30:19 62:3 88:20 89:8 101:21 139:7,9 169:16 176:20 179:6 180:10 198:17 205:9

malign 133:20

man 43:24 58:25 90:4 113:2 117:7

man-- 52:21

manager 208:24

mandatory 149:12

manipulated 62:1

manual 78:11

manufactured 77:16

March 4:12 60:14 72:5 136:19 157:24 192:16

margins 193:20,22

mark 10:24 41:6

market 150:13,14,16 188:16 191:16, 19,23

marking 121:23

Marlow 9:12,21 125:16 126:4,7,10, 16 132:12 133:6,18 134:12 137:13 139:5,16 140:16 142:18 164:20,23 165:4 172:22,25 175:8 179:4 182:9 185:2 187:1,2 201:3 205:15,16 208:11 211:5 213:15 216:9 217:12, 14 218:10,20

Martin 105:1,20,21 106:12

Mary 16:5

Masigi 208:1 209:6 215:7

mask 206:17

master 33:20 35:18 37:13 38:5 39:11,21 42:9 50:4,6 61:18 86:18

master's 148:6 master-- 33:20 Matagi 92:3 97:3 material 186:10

math 113:1

mathematical 200:20

mathematically 180:14 181:13

198:8

mathematics 147:21

Mathesen 92:2 97:3,11

Mathesen's 97:7

Matheson 101:22

matter 46:22,24 138:6 139:20 149:23 165:5 182:22

me-- 102:4

meaning 150:16 153:16 165:8

193:22

means 3:8 21:19 36:2 37:19 41:2 45:2 119:11 140:7 145:2 151:5

212:11 215:2

meant 82:7 198:13

mechanics 49:21 66:11,15,19

median 202:23,25

meet 21:17 22:1,19,21 25:5,6 64:21 105:5,8,12 107:16 108:4 165:6

meeting 64:17,20 65:3 66:1,4,9 70:6 71:10 74:11 78:7 81:11,12,15 83:6 87:6,11 88:4,7 90:10 92:4,8 94:21,24 99:25 100:4,7,12 102:4,18 105:17,21 107:9 108:12 109:9 121:8 188:11

meeting-- 66:3

meetings 89:18 188:13 193:9

melding 52:23 member 210:21

Members 16:8 62:21 92:19 120:21 145:10.18 201:9 219:1

mens 130:6

mention 98:23 153:24 159:23

mentioned 8:4,15 38:13 62:8

Merrill 9:25 10:1 110:19,20

messier 14:22

met 21:19 43:7 59:6 74:4,19 76:25 86:11 87:9 89:15 92:2 101:22 103:14 106:10,11,13 108:23 110:7

methodology 179:23

metropolitan 182:14

microphone 187:13

middle 209:16

millions 154:25

mind 44:5 98:14 130:23 202:4

mine 119:8

mini 148:20

minimum 101:12

minimum-- 101:11

minor 71:12 78:13

minus 64:24 157:6 160:16 164:11

minute 30:1 38:13 43:22 156:7

217:7 218:1,5

minutes 14:1 63:1 79:21 92:20 120:22 123:15 186:16 196:16

misconduct 77:2,4 79:8 99:13

106:18 114:8

mishandling 107:22

misinformed 89:11

missed 35:16 66:3 110:22 111:6

missing 62:7 172:10

missions 26:12 62:4

mistakes 37:4

mistreatment 77:13

Mm-hm 170:7 211:19

model 197:4 205:9

modelling 190:1

modest 162:9

moment 140:3 173:6 211:23

Monday 54:18 90:17 91:3

Monday-- 36:20

money 158:11 164:9 180:8 187:18 190:17

monitor 38:5 42:11

month 52:8 101:12 103:5 187:10 190:16

months 52:10 57:16 89:12 97:20 114:3 177:5 193:18

more-- 188:21

Page 244Index: Mormon..occupation

Mormon 167:9

morning 8:22 11:17 16:8,17,18 28:25 31:23 32:1 34:14 35:4 36:10, 14 42:2 59:4 62:19 64:17,20,21 65:3 66:3,4,9,14,17 90:18,19 92:9 93:23,

morning-- 64:21

motion 3:18 7:1 122:11,17 185:1, 14,20

motions 8:19

motive 128:8,22 129:2 132:3,5,6 135:12

move 14:3 30:10 57:6 95:18,20 110:24 111:6 151:14 194:12

moved 70:16 96:6 160:24 219:18,22

moving 151:7 **muddy** 37:3

multi-page 20:7 multiple 171:15

muted 144:21

my-- 27:1 42:18 52:21

Ν

nail 216:18 **naive** 78:9

naiveness 82:8

named 101:7

narcotics 152:13

narrative 109:15

narrowed 23:7

narrower 23:4

National 148:3

Natural 28:19,21 34:21 37:25 38:14 41:22,24 42:24 43:14 207:8 208:6

215:22

nature 83:23

navigated 69:3

Navy-- 151:17

necessarily 193:2 215:13

needed 53:3 54:12 59:3 77:10 86:20

143:9 217:6

negative 27:18 108:16

negatively 61:17

net 164:12 net-- 168:10

Nevada 167:14

news 187:22 192:17

nice 156:12

night 31:18 35:3 106:14

no-- 41:12 127:14

Nobach 25:9,13 27:4,9 30:6 31:4 44:11 45:14 47:18 51:19 54:21,24 55:6,11 60:24 61:22 65:10,12 70:7, 8,13,14,23 71:1,7,9,11,15,18,22,23 72:2 77:14 81:17,18 89:14 98:23 99:20,25 100:4,7,9,18 126:14 127:4 128:4,16 130:4 133:3,7,10,17 134:13,15 135:4,25 137:11 138:11

Nobach's 20:13 51:4 72:4 133:20

Nobach-- 49:1

Noll 26:7 53:21 54:11,16,18 55:5,10 56:18 104:3,12,15,24 219:17

Noll's 104:9 105:3

NoII-- 55:14

nonemployees 142:21

nonmilitary 75:11

nonstandard 100:20

noon 120:19

normal 66:16

northwest 111:16 174:18

not-- 6:6 8:8,13 93:15 100:3 122:23

170:9 179:17

note 211:24 217:8 219:16

noted 27:10 110:12

notepad 98:19

notes 38:7 98:20 99:2

notice 46:4,13 77:17 93:5 94:3 153:14 162:16 186:13 217:19

notice-- 211:24

noticed 15:13 34:10

notified 78:8 219:17

notion 189:4

notional 211:21

now-- 69:7 143:25 190:15

number 24:13 27:24 42:2 73:5 99:10 102:1 114:23 115:23 116:3 142:21 154:21 158:16 159:25 162:16 183:12 195:8 196:1,16 197:6 205:6 215:21 217:8

numbers 117:19 159:23 168:22 181:4,6,11 196:5,18 198:12,23,24 203:2

numerous 68:9 89:15 90:6

nuts 129:6

0

oath 16:10

object 44:13 93:7 123:3 125:17 185:1,25

objected 93:3,6 94:3

objecting 93:16 121:22 123:4

objection 20:15 25:24 28:8,10 30:11 40:3,17 44:21 45:22 46:10 49:4 56:21 74:22 79:1 91:11 93:11 94:17 119:24 122:1 123:8 125:15 185:3 186:1,5,15,25 208:10,11 211:4,5 213:14,15 216:8,9 218:20

objectionable 186:8

objections 121:18 126:19

objections-- 93:9

observations 48:25

observe 50:22

observed 48:22,23 77:6 189:20

obtain 27:11 195:3

obtained 26:24 130:1

obvious 99:20 186:12 197:9

occasion 45:18 207:13

occasional 170:6

occasions 148:25

Occidental 147:24

occupation 156:15

occupational 156:9,12,16 158:24 159:5.8.16 177:10.12

occur 97:6

occurred 11:17 29:14 82:8

occurred-- 74:6 occurring 47:23

October 23:22 24:6 67:19 69:21 74:15 78:7 80:10,24 81:11 86:10,11 88:3,8 90:10 91:3 102:21,23

OES 156:12,18 177:8 181:2 182:13, 18 196:23 202:22 203:2,12

of-- 137:19 171:8 199:5 offense 165:10 174:2

offer 11:19 12:5 91:4 92:12 122:24 123:13 129:22 134:14 137:12 213:13 216:5.7

offered 46:4 133:24

offering 93:4 125:8

offers 19:14 28:7 40:2 59:24 69:8 122:22 208:9 211:3

office 27:11 31:19 36:23,24 43:8 49:15 50:10 51:3,4,6,19 52:4 56:11 64:22 65:16,20 66:1,2 70:5 72:4 84:3 87:3 88:9 89:18 97:7 98:17 142:23,24 143:15 154:14

officer 113:24 officers 54:5 offices 33:22

official 3:10 45:3 63:13 145:3 208:25 209:22 215:22

offset 163:20 164:8.12 176:7

offsets 169:11

oftentimes 34:19 49:19 53:24 166:14

Olympia 31:16 34:18,20 36:18 104:1,6,7 107:10 113:24 207:4,9 212:8 214:23

on"-- 51:7

on-- 77:19 199:23

one-- 72:6 132:19 144:10 185:15 online 136:21 137:5 203:13,16

only-- 124:12

open 39:16 51:5 86:21 102:2 105:17 207:23

opened 50:24

operations 91:22 104:1 107:18

opinion 168:18 173:16 190:4 192:18

opinions 149:25

opportunities 111:20 170:17,19 171:6 181:19

opportunity 111:6 145:24 170:1 201:17

opportunity-- 171:1

opposed 82:4 117:25

OPS 65:23 73:5 80:14 85:23 88:5

or-- 13:6

order 8:23 25:4,5 63:18 145:8,11,21

ordered 5:19 48:9 61:23 76:15 86:15 87:12

ordering 61:16

orders 3:15 45:1,8 76:20,22

Oregon 150:19 167:5

organization 32:15 52:6

organizer 35:21 36:1

originally 11:3

originates 32:14

Osborn 208:2

outcome 109:1

outline 21:7 92:1 121:8

outlined 16:25 19:8 131:22

outlines-- 28:15

Outlook 55:7

overruled 26:1 46:11,18 79:4

174:24 186:25

overruling 186:15

oversees 106:4 107:18

oversight 93:12 121:25

overview 208:20 own-- 158:24

own-contributions 164:8

Ρ

p.m. 125:4 186:17 211:1

Pacific 174:18

packet 19:8 22:20

page-- 22:9

pages 19:11 213:7

paid 165:20 178:3 179:14 191:8

pandemic 184:12 192:25 193:3

197:7

papers 148:10

paragraph 39:1,7 81:10 82:19 83:4,

parallel 184:14

parameter 155:19

parentheses 8:24

part 4:6 8:12 11:17 19:4 23:16 47:1 108:1 137:19 142:16 169:3 170:23 178:15 185:20 197:19,21 214:8

partially 164:1

participated 207:15

parties 121:21 145:19

parts 110:7

party 12:22

pass 57:20

passed 85:15 143:1

passenger 30:19

passengers 21:23 31:1,5,11 35:24 36:16 189:5

passion 119:8

past 25:21 79:7 87:12,14 88:21 90:13 131:8 134:10 167:5,23 168:3

past-- 48:7

path 194:1

patrol 19:5,9 28:18 32:16 34:16,20 38:15 52:3 54:13 55:15 59:23 76:3 78:24 79:12 80:18 97:16 104:1,8 105:11,19 106:5 107:6,19 111:14 112:22 113:24 149:10 151:13.23 152:4,14,22 156:24 159:21 161:9,19 162:6 169:7 173:10,12 176:18 177:2



194:23,25 195:5 202:4 209:22 210:3 212:12 215:2,10 217:17,25

patrol's 134:2 168:24

Patrol-- 151:8 **pattern** 189:16

Paul 56:11 146:2,16

pay 162:5 164:4 169:8

paying 170:11 184:18

payout 161:15 pays 179:21

PDV 157:8 168:6,18

pencil 34:1

pending 81:13 82:23

pension 149:15 151:20 161:3,7,8,9, 15,20 162:18,20,25 163:6,9,17,23, 24 164:11,12,13 166:8 169:10,12 172:9,12 176:7,8 180:23 199:3,11, 13 200:2,4,5,11,12,17,25 201:24

pension-- 161:7

people 44:23 52:23 54:4 57:18,22 58:7 63:7 64:24 65:1 79:9 82:12 88:20 89:8 117:2,5,7 127:20 128:4, 18 129:11 131:15 133:11 135:25 140:25 141:1 142:6 143:5,6,10 144:23 170:20,21 173:21 174:1 184:4,20 188:4 192:3 195:6,8 197:8 213:23

people-- 74:21

percent 154:18,20 155:3,6,9,10,11, 16,18 156:2 157:2 158:2,4,8,12 160:6,20 161:20 162:1,4,6,20,21 163:1,2,3,12,21,25 164:3,4,7 167:16 169:3,8,9 170:5,9 172:3,4,10 176:6, 9 179:7,8 181:8 187:22 189:5 190:10,11,19 199:13,18,20,25 200:16,21,25 202:13 203:7

percent-- 156:1 170:4 190:19

percentage 165:21 203:5,10

percentile 202:25

perform 169:17 190:1 197:4

performance 108:16,24

performing 147:2

period 41:11 134:25 164:6 175:15 178:17 184:4 197:15

permanent 19:10 28:16 80:13,15

permission 13:19 94:16 207:18

permitted 105:12 138:14

persist 190:13

person 30:19 59:14 78:23,25 79:6,

10 101:7 135:10

personal 14:20 19:11 188:25 190:4

192:18

personally 10:6 193:5

personnel 187:16

persons 65:5

perspective 7:24 14:18

pertain 86:5

pertained 185:20

pertaining 54:14 55:1

Peter 209:3

Ph.d. 147:7,12 148:4,7,8 150:20

154:24

Ph.d.s 150:18

phase 73:22

phenomenon 189:20

phone 29:23,25 32:8,9 33:6,8 35:5 39:20 40:9 42:2 50:10 51:6,15 65:11,12,19 74:8 107:20 108:3

149:18 217:8

phonetic 4:9 11:14 104:8 208:2

photo 27:8

photograph 40:8

photos 3:13 45:7 63:17 145:7

pick 201:11

picked 36:16 217:3

pickup 29:1

picture 64:6

pictures 39:20

piece 35:16 156:5

pieces 12:10

Pierce 106:5

pilot 21:20,22,24 22:2,25 23:9 26:11 32:2,22,24 35:19 36:2,3,5 41:9 42:1, 18 49:23 70:13,19,24 72:12,15 90:4

104:3 111:13,20 112:2 115:5 149:8 151:7,12,15,17,23 152:4,20 153:18, 20 154:7,15 159:1,12 162:4,7 163:2, 7 168:25 169:4,7,16 171:18 172:2,5, 6,10 173:8 175:4,18,23 176:1,3,5, 10,12,17,21 178:5,6,11,13 180:8,22 183:2,9 191:24,25 192:4 193:25 194:7,8,18,22 195:5 196:24 197:5, 11,12,25 199:22 200:16,22 203:17, 24 204:3,5,12,13 205:9 210:1,5,9 212:9 214:24 215:1,5,12,15 216:24 217:2,6,7,19,25

pilot's 21:21 31:2

pilots 22:1 31:1 34:6 56:17 65:6 72:19 77:12 111:14 149:12 158:21 159:5,17,22,25 174:3 177:14 181:2 182:18,24 184:3 190:20,21 191:4,7, 9,12,14,17,19,22 194:3,19,25 197:2, 8 203:15 204:9,16 205:5 217:18

pilots' 57:4 64:22 66:2

pilots-- 77:11 183:3

place 54:9 73:11 78:10 98:21 120:5 188:13 210:7

plaintiff 3:20,24 13:2 19:14 28:7 40:1 59:24 69:8 155:17 157:20 158:10 167:11 195:11,14 205:13 208:9 211:2

plaintiff's 3:18 8:19 12:9 28:11 40:19 44:15 122:11,17

Plaintiff-- 219:24

Plaintiffs 124:9

plan 12:16 111:8,9,23 112:18,19 161:10 200:5

plane 30:18 38:20 41:10 51:23 71:15 112:11 174:14 191:4,8 193:18 209:24

planes 177:15 203:19

planned 193:16

planning 13:9 54:15 125:8 126:24 210:19

plant 21:11

plateau 178:16

plateaued 176:2 178:22 194:18

play 131:13 151:19

pleasant 107:7



Pleasure 165:6 proceeding 63:9 144:24 145:2 prefer 106:2 124:1 preferable 205:6 **proceedings** 3:9 45:2 **point** 7:18 8:17 14:12 16:25 49:14 55:17 60:24 71:5 74:16 101:14 prejudice 121:11,19,24 proceeds 88:14 117:4 127:4 134:22 141:12 174:6 **PRELIM** 73:6,7 180:1 188:14 197:13 210:1 216:25 process 30:2 154:10 pointed 7:20 preliminary 73:7,8,10,22 75:16 process-- 110:2 82:4,6,13,17 85:12 88:5 153:7 police 156:20 processes 111:1 129:16 preliminary-- 78:8 **policy** 76:12 84:5 101:11 107:24 produce 5:19 8:25 156:10 148:12 155:8 167:23 **prep** 5:14 produced 11:15 67:22 86:3 136:5, **polite** 37:23 **prepare** 117:20 11 142:7 political 62:3 84:7 prepared 121:23 producing 136:8 141:19 population 203:6,8,10 preparing 165:13 production 6:4 138:15 portion 36:14,16 preplanned 29:9 professional 84:4 position 52:18 104:11 170:11 **present** 97:1 157:9,10,16 158:7,14, professor 147:24 179:20 180:18 194:11 19 160:19,22 163:11,18 164:14 proficiency 21:8,18 24:25 25:3 168:6,7,8,9,15,17,19 positions 117:4 170:13 171:16 profitable 193:23 192:4 present-- 168:6 program 52:11 55:8 148:4 **positive** 194:15 present-day 197:1 progress 70:13 92:12 171:18 possibilities 171:9 197:6 presentation 64:2 175:17,18 178:17 possibility 197:7 200:19 218:8 presented 76:18 137:12 progressed 178:19 179:7 possibly 192:6 president 110:19 141:13,16 142:2 progression 70:19 207:3 **post** 154:15 161:3 163:17 184:10 **prohibited** 3:8 45:5 63:9,15 145:6 pressure 191:11 197:12 **posted** 127:17 project 152:16 202:9,17 pretend 95:20 potential 172:13 176:3 projected 154:18 pretrial 3:19 potentially 82:21 83:16 104:19 projecting 197:4 108:14 152:5 156:24 169:17 171:2 pretty 78:9 80:17 89:4 114:14 193:6 190:16 193:10 198:11,19 projection 150:24 192:6 prevent 48:10 52:12 power 21:11 130:10 projections 152:25 previous 160:9,19 209:7 213:10 PRA 5:18 11:15 12:3 132:25 promise 150:1 previously 198:21 practice 167:13 promoted 104:4 171:2,3,23 179:12 primarily 148:14 165:18 181:7,18 practicing 71:8 prior 39:12 86:22 169:18 174:5 promotes 181:25 pre-911 189:8 175:10 **promotion** 170:6,11 171:9 172:1 pre-covid-19 192:14 198:22,25 private 151:14 152:1,4 154:7 156:25 196:10,17 199:5 200:1,3 204:19 176:19 202:5 promotional 170:1,17,19 pre-pandemic 190:10 problem 5:7 108:2 131:17 promotional-- 170:16 pre-pandemic-- 189:7 **Procard** 21:19 22:6,19 23:23 25:2,6 promotions 171:15 precedence 210:4 217:22 Procards 22:1 proof 11:19 12:6 80:15 122:24 precedent 201:23 **Procedurally** 111:6



procedurally-- 111:5

procedure 31:6 79:19

precise 164:5

precluded 3:12 145:5

123:13 129:23 134:14 137:12

proof-- 122:22

prop 13:12

propeller 112:8 203:19

proper 119:22

properly 126:12 154:3

property 54:9

protect 80:16,20 101:2,4

protected 47:20 157:18

protection 119:18

protections 119:16

protest 53:25 54:10

provide 19:8,9 28:22 48:17 58:6

68:13 81:19

provided 6:12 22:20 71:20 77:8

98:1

providing 22:22 28:19

provisions 172:13

public 11:24 48:10 54:13,25 59:10 62:8 68:12 69:19 77:3,4 81:14 82:23 84:7 86:1 99:12,14 106:18,19 114:8 125:25 126:21 127:19 129:11 130:2, 5 132:9,15,16 133:12,22 134:19 135:15,22 148:12 167:23 207:12 210:21 211:22

publications 185:23

publish 20:22 30:10,12 40:24 60:6 69:13 185:23 208:16 211:6 213:13, 18 216:12

published 69:14 78:1 136:21 137:3, 5 148:16 216:10

pull 33:7 37:24 56:3 74:24 136:16 152:24 153:1

pulled 41:21,23 42:5,14 156:5,8

pulling 42:12

pulls 38:3,6

purple 17:11

purports 207:25

purpose 7:17 105:9

purposefully 62:2

purposes 59:11 167:19 177:3

180:23

push 68:13 181:4

put 6:19 8:23 12:18 13:6,20,24,25 17:2 24:12 30:7,8,16,17,21 31:20 38:15,20 51:3 58:4 63:25 64:4 76:24 90:13 101:13 115:11 128:7,10 129:17 130:3 132:2 149:9 157:24 161:11 172:22 188:10 191:11,14 197:12 217:3,4

put-- 30:14 217:4

puts 35:19 85:9 153:16

putting 64:14 98:6 100:8 149:19 151:12 156:8 159:9 161:18 183:12 192:15.25 208:24 211:25

Q

qualification 70:23

qualifications 174:7,9

qualified 174:20

qualify 22:23

Quantitative 146:18

quarantine 11:6

quarter 114:12 185:8

question 7:3 39:24 44:19 45:15 46:2,6 53:17 56:24 58:11 84:18 98:14 119:18,25 127:23 128:2 184:11 186:3 195:2 202:16 205:10

questioning 87:22 121:8

questions 38:1 68:2 145:24 164:18 165:11 201:4,7,10,15,16 217:10 218:15

quick 34:22 81:8 94:20 216:16

quickly 92:1 147:15 194:13

R

rain 15:2,16,24

rain-- 15:6

raise 43:4 103:18 137:25 199:5,6

200:24

raised 68:3 69:23 78:21 147:16

188:15

Rajul 146:3 206:13

ran 155:25

Randy 84:14,22 85:8 86:4 107:17

108:13 135:11

rank 169:7 172:14,16,17 200:6

ranking 215:22

ranks 194:12

rare 177:24

rarity 183:6

rate 114:4 117:18 150:6 152:18 154:19 155:3,11 156:2 157:3,15,22, 23,25 158:5,8,12,15 160:7,21 162:1 163:12 170:4,5,9 179:9,18 202:12 204:2,9

rate-- 153:24

rated 112:13,15

rates 155:2,15 157:17

rather-- 124:15

ratings 174:14

rationale 155:23

re-ask 56:23

re-calling 141:10

rea 130:6

reach 101:9 144:1 217:7

reached 16:6

reaching 53:6

reaction 99:5

read 39:4 91:18 92:5 95:11 214:22

reading 37:1 70:8 155:13 192:11,13 208:18

200.10

ready 44:14 45:23 141:3 144:12

real 7:15 34:22 82:4 154:18 155:4, 10,15 156:2 157:2,25 158:7 160:7, 20 163:12 170:4 179:8 188:15 216:16

reality 178:16

realize 31:20

realized 5:13

reason 14:6 31:12,14 35:1 57:13 70:14 87:4 89:24 97:18 127:14,15 130:18 131:3 133:4 134:5,8,9,17 135:2 137:15 138:5

reasonable 150:2 182:5 189:10 198:18,22 205:2



Page 249Index: reasons..request

reasons 62:3 84:7 121:21 126:17 131:4 195:8 197:9

rebuttal 138:4,12 139:6 140:1

recall 25:11 28:21 29:2 50:2 51:22 52:7,8 53:21 54:17 56:11 73:16 101:11 102:19

recalled 48:16

receive 21:16 23:19 25:4,5 112:12 134:20,25 158:11 161:19

received 4:2 9:1 23:23 27:18 28:4 37:19 47:12,19 54:13 60:11,17 66:6 69:2,19 81:2,3 83:21 84:25 85:1,23 86:2,6 90:6 101:18 122:9,10 148:6 154:13 178:12

receives 162:18

receiving 41:11 133:14

recently 15:19

recess 13:17 15:1 62:22 63:1,2 120:23 125:4 186:17 219:10

recipient 162:18

recognize 18:24 28:2 39:16,23 208:3

recollection 95:1,9,15 reconvene 123:14

record 3:10,11 6:17,19 13:16 19:4 23:17 37:15 45:2,3 63:6,13,14 76:24 79:20,23 80:6,13,15 93:20 109:6,16 122:2 124:10 145:2,3 146:15 206:25

recorded 79:18 recorder 79:25 recording 3:8 63:9

records 11:24 19:2 48:10 54:14,25 59:10 62:8 68:12 69:19 77:4 86:1,19 99:12,14 106:18,20 114:8 125:25 126:21 130:2,5 132:9,16 133:12,22 134:19 135:15

recover 184:1 189:2 192:8 197:10

recovery 55:13,15,19,21,25 56:10

red 17:10 79:17 211:18

reduce 158:6 reducing 157:14

reduction 157:10 158:15 163:12,20

reenacted 98:3

refer 36:21 95:6 134:18 167:18

reference 43:1 94:20

referenced 92:5

referencing 42:14

referred 21:24 59:22 108:24 112:9

185:5

referring 20:2 70:20 94:8 95:1

185:14

refers 94:6

reforms 201:24

refresh 33:16 95:8

refreshed 95:2,15

refused 45:15 109:3,7,14

refusing 58:23 59:11 68:12 77:7 81:18 84:6 99:15 106:22

regard 26:3 29:7 133:22 152:23 169:20 172:12,21 179:4 180:7 181:5 195:23 199:3

regard-- 74:12

region 208:24 213:24

regional 187:24 212:8 214:23

regulations 70:8 rejected 85:16

rejects 85:14

related 128:3,5 130:16

relations 207:3

relationship 57:18 100:18 129:6

relationships 52:25 53:7 57:22 58:7

release 130:5

released 130:1 138:15 154:24

relevance 127:6 128:19 135:6

relevancy 135:7 relevant 127:9

relied 121:5 197:1

relief 3:18 79:14,16

relies 6:19

rely 121:4 202:20

remains 169:22

remember 4:13 5:19 8:3 52:1,2 55:2 56:13 86:7,20 127:12 128:23 176:24 192:20 215:6

remembered 77:5

remind 61:1

reminders 35:13

remove 109:2,15 146:7 175:16

206:17

removed 55:7 rendered 191:15

renew 27:6 126:19

renewal 25:16

renewing 25:13

repeat 201:21

repeating 103:21

replies 79:24

report 47:12 52:16 58:1 59:4,12,21 61:6 62:8 68:9 75:8 84:15,25 85:1 90:8 97:21 98:11,15 99:21 102:5 104:12 107:23 149:7,14,15,19 157:24 166:10,16 183:13 192:15 194:2 198:25

report-- 46:5

reported 46:25 47:10,11 52:17 58:20 59:3,8 65:22,24 68:8,11 69:25 70:10,17 74:20 80:14,16,18 97:19 99:12 101:10

reporter 3:11

reporting 47:8 53:8 58:21 72:4

reports 76:18 77:1 90:6 107:25 149:6 156:8

represent 21:3

representations 121:25

representative 45:19 57:21 83:11

representing 165:5

represents 21:4 211:20,21

reproach 94:10

reputation 83:17,24

request 6:4 11:15,25 30:4,20 38:8, 13,17 41:11 48:10 49:16 54:14,25 55:4 59:10 68:12 69:19 82:23 84:3



Page 250Index: request--..Santhuff's

86:1 91:20,23 99:14 105:9,21 106:20 125:25 127:20 129:4 130:15 132:15,17,20,22,25 133:12,22 134:2,19 135:15,16,22 136:4 137:5

request-- 77:4

requested 8:1 71:9 75:15 78:3

requests 126:22 130:12

required 21:23 31:2,25 33:2 56:12 70:25 76:3,4 78:19 85:5 101:12 203:19 209:22

requirement 34:6 101:6 184:19

requirements 21:20 23:3 195:18,

requires 78:25 166:7

research 148:15 149:20 158:20,23 159:13 182:6 189:3 192:11,13 194:24

research-- 182:10

reserve 30:17 38:16 94:1 137:10 155:8

reserved 41:10 124:3,4

reserving 94:17 96:15

resolve 89:12,15

resources 28:19,22 34:21 38:1,14 41:23,24 42:24 43:14 90:23 91:23 92:2 96:22 97:7 101:20 103:14 207:8 208:7 215:22

respect 9:24 121:3 186:24 188:15

respectfully 84:3 responded 11:3

respondents 203:3

responding 9:7 10:16

response 4:2,4,21 6:1 7:6 80:22 83:21 120:1 127:13 129:1 132:13 133:23 134:2,19

responsibility 101:6,8

responsive 44:18 responsive-- 44:17 rest 83:17 190:14 restricted 34:16

restrictions 175:16

result 47:4 158:17

resulted 84:9

results 154:5

results-- 136:18

retain 191:8

retained 55:22 149:1 166:15 167:5

retained-- 150:5 retake 145:21 retaliate 60:16

retaliated 47:7 61:16 81:17 131:2

retaliates 131:17

retaliation 47:2 48:21 59:8 60:22 61:6 68:9 69:23 72:4 77:12 78:5 84:6 90:6 99:9 101:10,21 106:16 151:6

retaliation-- 129:21

retaliatory 49:1 77:8 99:11 129:7

retired 73:13 80:25 82:11 101:8

retirement 111:10 149:11,12 160:7 161:2,4,10 163:17 173:11

retires 173:10 returned 72:15

revealed 11:25

revenue 193:13

review 67:24 78:12 86:5 149:16

reviewed 81:2,4 86:4 reviewing 61:18

right-- 137:25

right-hand 209:8,10 212:24

right-seat 70:23 71:1

rings 50:10 65:11

riot 54:5 riots 54:8

rise 16:3 62:23 63:20 92:21 96:11 120:24 125:3 144:15 185:10 219:4

risk-free 157:19,22

road 117:5

role 28:21 69:5 104:24 202:7

roof 15:20

room 79:6,9,10 140:25

routinely 33:14 37:13 86:16 101:11

rubbing 99:21

ruin 57:17

rule 194:3

rules 3:6,7 63:10,11 125:22 144:25 167:10

ruling 94:1 96:15 124:2 137:10 139:15

rumors 115:4

run 118:5

runway 54:20

Ryan 35:6 36:23 42:1 60:15 90:3 115:4 149:7 156:21 161:25 162:4 204:21 216:25

Ryan's 158:16

S

safe 120:5

safest 157:19

said-- 102:5 143:11

salaries 158:20

salary 161:21 162:12 163:1,7 169:11 181:8 182:1,11 191:8 199:8 203:18

same-- 114:14

sample 177:21

sanctions 3:16 45:9 63:19 145:9

sandbagging 139:6

Sandra 28:20 42:25 206:12 207:1

Santhuff 3:24 8:2 16:9 19:18 42:1 60:15 61:16,17 70:8,14,15 71:2,8, 11,14,15,17,25 72:3,10,14,16,19,22 75:14 79:2 93:23 129:7 130:13 131:1,19 144:10 145:21 149:18 151:6,11 153:16,22 154:6 159:20 168:24 169:22 171:1 173:13,14 174:7 175:3,9 178:10 192:4 194:6, 22 196:22 197:14 205:3 216:25

Santhuff's 149:7 150:25 154:8,15 159:11 202:2 204:21



Verbatim Record of Proceedings, Vol VI - September 15, 2020

Santhuff-- 174:6 194:6

Sass 107:12.15

Sass-- 107:11

Saunders 59:15 73:13,14 75:20 81:1,6 82:11 83:12 85:23

say-- 115:9 196:18 213:10

says-- 61:25 65:17 75:8 127:13

Sborov 56:13 scale 113:7

scale-- 113:6

scare 197:8

scenario 151:4,5,8,11,22 152:9,10, 16 159:21 162:4,11 163:6 164:10 169:21,22 171:12,17 175:3 194:21 196:2,3 197:24

scenario-- 169:21

scenarios 151:3 168:23

schedule 29:21 32:4 33:24 36:13 37:12 38:21 61:18 62:2 86:17 87:15 142:24,25 210:18 211:21 212:18 213:2,3 214:9,13

scheduled 30:2 31:7,21 32:7 34:4 35:7,10 36:3 37:6,10 50:17,19 72:10

scheduling 31:9 32:2 35:17 37:4 38:10 39:21 109:10 145:19

scholarship 148:3

school 18:8,9,12 25:10 147:8,9 148:2,25 168:12

schools 112:1

Science 146:19 148:4

scope 81:25 **score** 109:3 **Scott** 165:4

screen 13:21,24 17:2 20:21 22:15 24:12 42:7 95:22 211:14

screenshots 3:12 45:6 63:16 145:6

Screw 51:20 Seahawks 66:17

seat 146:7 206:16 219:11

Seatac 182:17,21,25

Seatac-- 182:17

seated 16:7 63:4.23 92:24 96:14 121:2 125:6 144:18 185:13 186:19,

seating 13:25

Seattle 3:1 53:25 147:16 148:23,24 156:18 159:16,22 160:2 182:14,15, 16

second-- 39:5 209:8

secondary 143:7

section 57:16,18 68:14 79:13 84:1 86:13 88:1 91:21 100:10 151:7 168:25 169:7 170:3,14 171:7 172:2 178:11

sections 110:11

sector 151:14 152:1,5 154:7 176:19 202:5

securities 157:18

security 111:19 154:25

see-- 42:15 136:15 seek 105:5 108:21

select 193:7

selected 193:4

self-serving 92:25 94:2

send 32:17 79:20

senior 49:23

sense 35:15 37:5 99:4 128:6 130:19 169:19

sensitive 83:23 198:16

sent-- 90:19

sentence 39:4 186:6

separate 5:12

September 3:1 28:18 29:19 40:12, 16 45:17 52:14,18 53:1 57:20 58:13, 17 61:15 64:15,20 67:1,2,4,18 104:5 183:22 208:1 210:11 211:1,16,25 213:11,22 215:18,25 216:18

sergeant 26:23,25 27:1 28:4 31:10, 22 32:12 35:3 36:10.22 37:11 39:10 49:23 59:7 61:3,16,23 65:2,13 73:18 75:14,17 83:19 88:9 89:5,6 91:20 100:1 104:4,5,9,12,24 108:20 170:13 171:3,15,23,25 179:13,20

180:18 181:18,25 196:10,18 199:7 200:13

Page 251Index: Santhuff--..Sheridan

sergeants 25:16,18,22 30:6 33:1 86:12 90:7 104:7

series 189:24

served 10:6,18,20 142:5

server 56:6

service 10:10 58:23 68:13 77:7 99:16 112:22 161:19

session 63:7

set 3:11 9:10 10:14 56:16 121:17 141:13 178:1 181:1 195:3 196:21 197:4

settle 166:16 seventh 22:5,9

severely 53:7

sex 167:9

sexual 16:21 47:13 53:8 59:8 61:7, 11 68:8 70:7 76:11,14 84:4,21,25 85:11 97:21 98:11,15,20 102:5 106:15 107:23

shaking 51:17

share 108:7

shared 107:5

sharp 59:7,17 188:18

sharpen 153:11

she-- 6:7 41:21 51:10

sheet 35:19,20,22,25 36:1

sheets 86:17

Sheridan 4:4,10,24 5:2,5 7:13,19 8:20 9:5,15,22 10:2,5,8,17,20,24 11:4,10 12:7,16,25 13:5,11,15,18 14:8,15,21 15:2,5,11,15,22 16:2,13, 14,16 19:14 20:20,24 21:2 22:8,14 24:15,19 26:2 28:1,2,6,15 30:9,13, 15 38:25 39:3,4 40:1,23,25 44:17 45:10,11,12 46:1,3,7,8,19 49:8 56:23 57:2 59:24 60:3,5,7 62:18,20 63:24,25 64:5 69:7,8,11,15,16 74:24 75:3,19,22,24 79:5 91:4,7,9,18 92:11,15 93:2,7,14 94:5,12,15,19 95:3,7,13,17,21 96:1,3,8,16,17 120:2,4,20 121:4,7,10,13 122:3,7,21 123:1,16 124:5,15,20 125:10,13 127:1,2,7,10,22 128:1,5,21 129:24



Page 252Index: sheriff..standby

131:10,20 132:16,21 134:4 135:9,23 136:4,16,20,25 137:4,17,21,24 138:3,13,18,24 139:1,11,14,20,24 140:2,7,9,14,19 141:8,11,22 142:1, 22 144:4,11 145:12,14 146:9,10,12 153:5,11 154:1 163:13,15 164:17 166:2 174:22,25 184:25 185:4,15,18 186:4 201:6,7,19 205:12,13,20,24 206:1,3,6,22 207:17,21,22 208:9,16, 18 211:2,6,12,16 213:12,21 214:2,4, 18,20 216:5,15,16,19,21 217:10 218:13,19,25 219:12,25

sheriff 10:18,21

shift 26:14

shock-- 106:24

shocked 98:9 106:23,25

shocking 106:24

short 9:9 142:4

shortage 183:2,9 197:11 204:14

shortfall 169:10 **shortly** 70:5 173:2

show 41:13,19 128:16 131:6 215:14

show-cause 10:15

showed 4:17 64:3 81:1 101:25

showing 150:24 **shown** 56:9 69:16

shows 50:12,14 60:10 64:3 128:21 130:6,8 160:19

shut 36:24

sic 53:1

side 38:4 42:8,11 50:4,6,7 51:1 111:18 121:16 141:17 142:13

sides 102:6 **sign** 33:15

signature 20:11

signed 37:18 108:19

significant 71:12

similar 188:14 **simple** 161:17

simply 30:25 126:10 133:19 184:5

187:25

simulator 21:13.14

since-- 28:17 56:17

singled 71:2 72:3,22

singling 88:1

sir 16:24 17:8,15 18:10,14,18,25 19:6,13,23 20:8 21:9 22:7,17 23:13, 18,20,25 24:4,20 25:11,20 27:16,19, 22 28:4 29:13 32:25 33:2,4,18 39:9, 18,25 40:13 43:3 45:20 46:21,23 48:3,5,15,20 49:11 50:23 53:14 58:16 62:11,14,16 64:8,15 66:5,8,12 67:21 70:11 73:17,20,23 75:12 76:1, 2 77:23 81:5 85:19 86:9 87:8 96:25 97:5 98:24 99:18,22 100:5,15 105:7 109:20,22,25 112:19 118:18,22 119:3,15,20 120:7,12,15 146:17

sits 33:17 50:6

sitting 42:6,10 43:15 54:19,22 65:13,22,25 89:6

situation 32:19 53:8 76:11,14 85:2 106:16 152:11 209:24

Skagit 212:8 214:23

skill 178:1,5 181:1 196:21

skills 178:12sleep 58:25slept 58:25slide 23:11

slides 37:1

slight 42:13 142:16

small 52:23 170:17 171:7

smaller 196:19 **smoke** 15:25 **smudge** 41:6

so-- 57:19 86:23 93:24 103:4 124:10

131:24 209:12

Social 146:18 154:25

solely 165:20 167:17,20

some-- 120:18 127:6

someone-- 210:21

sorry-- 24:7

sort 73:14 80:15 98:3 170:1 171:22

177:15 189:25

sound 16:23 189:6

sounds 139:11 170:22 184:22 188:3 195:15 201:2

speak 65:13 165:8 187:13 199:2

SPEAKER 9:4 11:8 15:12

speaking 187:2,22 special 21:16 107:17

specialty 169:8

specific 46:2 50:11 112:12 176:20 200:9 202:18

specifically 3:25 56:13 101:7 109:2 146:21 151:20 158:24 159:15 161:3 170:10 171:11,14 179:19 194:20 202:10

specifically-- 159:4

specifics 178:10 194:4 195:22

203:22

Speckmaier 56:11,17 speculating 171:25 speculation 172:6

spent 21:14 192:23

split 66:22

Spokane 31:11 41:16 43:15

spoke 83:12 97:10 149:18

staff 9:15,19 49:15 64:23 70:6 140:19 141:18 142:7

stage 205:3 stages 38:18 stairs 52:1

stamp 19:22 24:13 212:23

stamped 22:13 75:6

stamps 209:9

stand 6:23,25 7:6 13:6 129:25 130:13 145:21 186:24

standard 21:18 22:2,20,21 23:8 25:7 31:6 79:18 149:17,21 157:21 172:20 179:23

standard- 23:2 standards 84:4 standby 130:14 **standing** 38:4 42:6,8,11 50:3,8 66:16.19.23

stands 91:21 157:8

stared 70:9

start 43:20 60:7 66:15,17 151:2 153:3 180:24 185:24 190:17 194:9 208:19

start-- 115:10 156:22

start-off 196:1

started 41:1 52:9 57:23 67:22,24 78:19 147:17 219:23

starting 151:13 152:2 167:6 173:11 182:11 196:1 203:17 209:16

starts 32:8 94:9 98:20 173:7 186:6 196:8

state 13:21 19:5,9 28:18 32:16 34:16,20 38:15 52:3 53:24 54:13 55:15 59:23 76:3 78:24 79:11 80:18 97:16 105:11,19 107:6,19 110:9 111:14 112:22 134:1 141:15 142:10 146:15 149:10 150:17 151:8,13,23 152:3,14,22 156:24 159:20,24 160:1 161:9,19 162:5 166:23,25 167:3,4, 13,16 168:24 169:6 173:10,12 176:17 177:1 194:23,25 195:5 201:24 202:4,18 206:25 207:4 209:21 212:12 217:17,24

state-wide 160:3

stated 71:17

Statema 65:3,8 87:19

statement 5:16,22 60:18 62:5 93:3, 17 121:15

statement"-- 5:16

statement-- 121:6

statements 8:11 94:8

stating 154:14

statistical 146:21 179:9,18 190:1

statistics 146:25 148:24 154:22 155:14 156:6,9,10,13,17 158:25 159:8,14,15,16 177:10,12,13 197:3 203:13 205:2

203.13 203.2

status 28:16 65:5 75:9

stay 52:19 88:18 90:3,11 199:24

stayed 168:24 178:19 179:1

staying 189:20 200:18

stays 200:20

stemmed 60:22 84:19

step 110:2,3,17,20,24 111:4,7

163:19

steps 110:2

stick 125:23

sticking 121:15

still-- 119:9

stipulate 126:21,22 133:24 137:19

138:10

stipulation 133:24 138:23 139:9,18

140:10

stop 38:24 47:15 76:22 86:8,12

88:19

stopped 47:22 71:1 76:21

stored 35:21

story 11:18 102:6,7 115:6

straight 148:2

straightforward 157:5 200:12

strange 55:3

strategic 207:11

stress 17:10,20 67:5,8 102:25 113:10 114:19,20 116:5,23 117:22,

25 118:11

strike 100:3

strongly 37:16

stuck 80:7

student 147:22,23

studies 183:15,16,19 184:10

study 154:24

stuff 80:12 87:21 90:8 106:22 126:11 128:10 130:6 185:24,25

subject 46:22,24 128:6 138:6

149:23

submit 166:15

submitted 149:5,15

subordinates 131:16

subpoena 10:7 142:5,6,13,22

subpoenas 142:20

subsidies 184:17

substantial 157:7 187:16

success 25:10

sufficient 182:23

suggests 106:8 107:16

suit 27:8

summarize 70:4

summarizes 69:25

summarizing 84:24

summary 60:13 62:7 69:24 71:4,19

72:7,23 75:13 80:14 85:10

summer 72:11

superior 151:9

supervise 104:19

supervisor 69:2 84:15 85:6 104:13,

18 105:3 107:13 215:21

supervisors 25:16 31:3 156:19

supply 182:23 190:20,22

suppose 8:16 176:4 200:19

supposed 31:3 34:4 69:1 78:10,15 82:9 87:18 101:9 143:14 213:7

surprise 187:15,20

surprised 7:4

surveyed 203:3

sustain 44:20 119:23 122:1

Sustained 49:6

Sweeney 27:1 31:10,23 35:3 36:10, 14 37:9 39:8,10 43:11 71:14,15

75:17 103:16

Sweeney's 27:7

sworn 146:2 206:12

system 134:24 161:8,9,10,18

162:18 163:23,24

systems 112:16

Т

table 98:17 100:8 153:1,3,4,6,7,14 157:11 158:18 159:9,11,12,19 160:10,17,19,21 161:11 169:10,13



172:22 173:4,6,7 176:14,24 179:5 180:23 182:9,10 195:23,24 199:3,17 205:6

tables 173:1 202:23

Tacoma 31:16 106:4 156:19 159:17 182:15,16

taking 3:12 38:7 45:5 63:15 98:20 99:2 145:5,6,10,20 194:17

talk 25:9,13 41:1 44:14 45:18,23 62:13,15 65:14 66:17 76:15 77:3,12 83:19 87:21 96:17 99:19 100:3,4,13 102:21 103:16 106:3 132:23 141:16 142:10 168:21

talked 9:13 16:21 33:19 43:13 78:4 83:20 99:12,15,24,25 100:17,20,22 101:18,20 107:11 141:14 143:5,20

talked-- 100:9

talking 9:17 35:5 40:11 49:5,16 50:3 54:23 64:10 66:16,20 87:7,23 94:14 99:3 117:2 125:18 129:10 131:22,24 141:20,23 143:4 189:17

task 50:9

tasked 28:18 54:11

tasks 49:20

taught 148:22,23

TBD 212:9

teaching 148:21 168:3

technical 173:1

teenage 4:1

telling 43:24 90:2,11 97:11 131:15 134:14

tells 41:9 88:18 107:11,15 130:10

temporarily 184:8

temporary 184:15 188:19 191:13

ten 23:5,6 64:24 65:1 67:7,9,13 79:21 85:17,21 102:24 103:1,7 113:7 123:15 166:21 167:16 170:18 185:8 189:2 195:6 197:11 202:14

tend 168:11 202:11 208:18

tense 100:19 tenure 52:6 term 168:15 term-- 177:9

terminologies 168:10

terminology 198:16

terms 69:23 115:25 155:4 168:17 198:17 204:10 214:25

testified 3:21,22 4:6 90:1 136:2 146:4 167:8 195:17 206:14

testifies 46:13 137:11 138:20

testify 10:16 11:5 95:10 101:2 130:13 137:12 146:8 166:13,17 206:18

testify-- 100:25

testifying 94:11 133:7,10 147:10

testimonies 166:20

testimony 7:23 11:22 12:9 18:5 47:20 62:9 73:13 80:25 85:5 98:1 100:24 101:25 121:19 125:23,24 127:3 137:14,19 138:10,11 142:24 144:2 165:13,14 174:23

textbook 148:20

thanked 97:17

that's-- 8:16 27:14 61:12 156:21 184:13 206:3

that-- 8:1,25 25:5 34:8 47:12 88:14 94:8 107:20 128:14 129:1,9 131:7 133:1 149:21 174:17 184:24 187:15 219:16

the-- 34:2 48:22 50:14 74:3 76:13 79:20 82:18 85:1 103:4 136:13 177:8 212:6 214:4 216:23

these-- 132:19 156:7

thesis 148:9

they-- 21:17 56:16 87:2,10

thing 10:12,14 11:12 13:20 14:20 16:21 19:19 30:23 57:8,14,25 58:3,5 70:15 90:19 116:17 156:12 168:8 204:20

thing-- 35:16 136:23

things 7:14,21 14:7,19 29:20 48:7, 19 53:2 58:20 70:10,17 71:23 76:16 78:14,15,21 79:12 80:2 88:12 93:20 95:10 114:7 120:14,16 122:13 130:16 133:23 134:24 135:1,21 149:5 173:22 180:8,23 185:23 186:11 189:20 192:19 193:10 194:3

196:21,22 205:8

things-- 37:3 129:5

think-- 8:22 10:11 31:24 132:21 133:9 183:1

thinking 52:2 55:3 59:2 82:3 90:4 117:25 123:22 126:20 138:3 145:23 192:23 202:10,12

Page 254Index: tables..timeline

thinking-- 158:3

thinks 7:13 154:8

this"-- 127:25

this-- 44:10 47:10 59:2 182:14

thought 7:24 9:7 12:3 56:5 82:18 89:21 107:21 111:12 182:5 185:17 192:10 204:25

thought-- 141:12 200:20

thoughts 44:15

thousand 170:21

threatened 100:9

through-- 114:3

throwing 185:24

Thursday 41:4 219:19,22

Thurston 106:5

ticket 193:18

time 6:18 8:14 12:18,21 13:1,2 17:18 18:11,14 21:13,15 23:21,23 25:18 27:5,17 28:22 29:20 32:2,12 33:11 34:2 41:11 48:15,19 51:21 56:5 58:8,13 62:19 64:16 66:9,15 70:2 71:9 72:17,18,20 73:25 74:5,11 75:11,15 78:8,12 79:3,11 80:9 81:24 82:14,16 85:15 87:5 88:9 89:17 97:14 98:5 99:3 111:16 114:5 115:1 122:12 128:9,10 130:11,17 134:22 135:1,12 143:13 147:19 158:11 161:11 164:16 167:20 174:6 175:6, 15 178:18 184:4 185:7 189:20 192:23 193:16 197:15 201:18 207:14 209:2 210:9 215:4

time-- 21:13 67:5

timeframe 16:20 21:5 26:10 29:17 67:3,4 69:17 74:21 76:20 82:7 86:10,14 87:9 104:5 110:21,22 111:2 112:24 214:20

timeline 70:13,15 74:18 111:1 137:1



times 35:23 49:2 89:15 96:5 132:7 150:10 166:3.6

timesheet 27:11 timesheets 27:10

Tindall 101:7,19 TIPS 157:17,25 158:1

to-- 5:14 13:19 52:24 57:17 70:18 76:4 86:10 89:25 92:10 93:22 130:23 151:7 172:21 194:9 195:19 199:24 217:17

today 9:1 11:1 119:4 120:22 143:25 149:25 164:25 204:5 217:15 219:2

together-- 159:9

told 4:16,18 9:14 27:2 31:22 37:16 45:25 53:11 60:15,25 61:1 70:14,24 71:4,11,14,15,18,24 76:13 77:2,4,10 81:12 82:5 86:8,10 87:2 88:12 100:7 102:4,18 104:22,25 105:20,25 107:2,5 127:20 128:4 135:25 143:7, 11 173:13,14 192:13 212:11 215:4 218:7

told-- 90:18

tolerances 23:3

Tom 105:1

tomorrow 9:10 31:23 42:2 119:6 219:3,10,18

tone 88:16 took-- 5:11

top 60:8 147:22 155:9 208:19 210:16

topics 68:2 83:13,23

Torelli 141:7 145:17 146:2.16 201:10 205:22

total 158:18 164:12

totally 121:13 touch 77:9

touched 47:19

tough 168:2 190:12

track 49:8 tracked 155:1 traffic 197:9 train 49:24

trained 21:4 111:15

training 16:22 19:2,3,4,7,8,10 21:10,13,17 22:21,23 23:17 49:19 52:11 70:7 71:1,7,22 72:10,12,15, 16,21 86:18 100:17,21 112:12 175:6 177:4,23 178:12 188:1

transcription 79:21

transfer 90:21 176:23

transferred 91:20 104:22 107:2

transition 176:18

transport 21:20,23,24 31:15 34:15 39:11 81:19

transportation 28:23 29:10,16

transporting 34:16

travel 38:19 184:13 188:19,20,22 189:1.13.24 190:13 192:8 193:8.10. 13,16,17,20 208:25 209:22 212:1 213:23 214:16,21 215:23

travel-- 189:1

traveling 188:4

Travis 92:2 97:3

treasury 157:17

treated 49:1 99:20

trees 71:16

tremendous 54:2,5

trend 189:11,12,15,19,21 203:24

trends 188:20

trial 4:19,23 5:6,14 7:4 8:17 15:19 98:2 120:13 125:19 128:20 165:13, 14 166:17,19

trip 207:16 210:7 214:9,13 215:11 217:5,6

trooper 60:15 61:16,17 78:9,14 104:3 117:5 174:6,7 175:9 194:6 196:22 197:14 216:25

trouble 78:13

troubled 102:13,16,19

true 4:19 5:25 41:20 83:15 102:7

true-- 4:18 trust 79:11 trusted 80:1,2 trying-- 143:10

tuck 9:8

Tuesday 3:1 90:17

turbine 112:6,7

turbo 112:4 203:18

turn 14:3 22:6 24:1 54:23 89:5 128:22 150:24 157:16,23 202:11 213:4.7

turn-- 22:3

turned 103:15 158:24

turns 54:16 112:7 141:15 142:4

twin-engine 112:2 178:13

two-- 110:24

type 112:13,15 149:22 185:21 209:23

typical 32:19,24 148:10 208:23 210:1

typically 30:5 32:14,17,22 33:1 54:1 64:23 82:12 97:15 98:5 110:4 112:14,15 147:2 157:22 160:2 189:23 202:20 217:1

U

Uh-huh 210:14

ultimately 188:19 200:5

ultra-wealthy 152:5

unable 175:15

unavailable 62:3

unaware 98:12,13 102:5

uncomfortable 88:20,25 89:8,13 99:24 100:19

uncommon 218:4.7.9

uncontributions 164:11

underlining 44:6

underlying 188:7

underneath 59:15 107:3

understand 7:13 82:7 83:22 98:14 118:2 129:20 134:4 137:25 139:8 166:11 168:5 178:23 179:25 182:7 185:3 188:14 199:16,20 210:7

Verbatim Record of Proceedings, Vol VI - September 15, 2020 Page 256Index: understand--..weekend understand-- 118:3 **UW** 148:1 W understanding 9:17 57:2 61:5 V 87:14 102:2 139:10 152:2,12 169:6 wages 158:17 180:12 187:11 197:18 vacancies 104:10 wait 138:20,23 understanding-- 73:12 vacation 18:13.14 26:8 27:5 72:18. waiting 31:15 43:15 65:3,10 140:25 understood 117:24 170:12 175:8, 20 193:15 20 **walk** 31:19 37:22 65:21 66:14,19,20 validity 186:11 121:17 177:1 unemployed 192:1 valuable 111:17 152:1 walked 31:22 34:13 37:22 43:7 unemployed-- 191:15,18 78:23 98:18 194:25 valuations 147:2 unethical 7:11,15 82:21 83:15 walking 52:1 variation 23:6,7 unfounded 85:25 wall 51:5 **variety** 177:17 **UNIDENTIFIED** 9:4 11:8 15:12 wanted 42:20 55:7,9,23 56:2 64:4 vast 166:15 union 45:18 57:21 83:11 109:19,23 90:21 105:10 111:12 121:19 130:19 110:9,20,24 111:3 144:23 Vegas 167:14 union-- 76:16 verbally 37:16 wanting 52:24 union-protected 47:23 warrants 10:13 verified 14:16 unit 57:19,22 151:17 170:17 was-- 29:16 34:2 38:4 54:17 56:20 verify 14:1 70:1 61:23 64:11 80:9 82:3 84:22 87:3 United 178:2 182:21 184:23 187:22 version 156:11 106:10,25 113:4 157:24 190:19 versus 14:19 142:9 193:16 200:22 **Washington** 3:1 19:5 149:9 150:17, universe 177:19 VFR-- 34:23 19 151:7,13,23 152:3,14,22 156:24 University 147:17 159:20,24,25 161:9,19 162:5 via-- 32:20 166:22,25 167:3,7,8,12,13,16 169:6 Unreal 52:1 173:12 176:17 194:23 195:5 202:4, vice 141:13,16 142:2 207:3 until-- 117:20 18 207:4 212:11 vicious 129:20 unusual 55:3 97:11 wasn't-- 88:15 viciously 131:17 up-- 41:21 64:14 167:6 waste 121:18 video 54:3 134:23 upcoming 36:20 watch 54:8 146:8 violated 110:10 update 17:4 watching 63:10 144:24 violation 3:15 6:23 45:8 63:18 84:8 **updated** 156:11 waves 52:22,24 129:16 145:8 updating 210:18 **way--** 4:13 violations 6:18 **uphold** 83:17 ways 154:2 Virginia 167:10,11 **upper** 73:3 we-- 31:11 52:2 54:17 80:25 vocation 26:9 upstairs 34:22 43:8 54:21 56:12 wearing 27:8 voice 88:16 65:11 weather 34:14,22 111:17 174:18 voir 19:15 upstairs-- 54:19 178:4 volatile 197:21 **upward** 197:12 **web** 127:17 128:7,11 129:4 130:3,5, volatility 197:23 22 136:12 138:16 us-- 9:14 48:18 53:15 64:5 67:4 volume 188:21 189:1,24 190:13 Wednesday 90:18 96:20 103:13 usual 208:6 192:8 204:16.18



volunteer 210:20,22

volunteered 72:16

weekend 90:15

week 26:16 29:24 30:21 35:10 96:20

scheduling@byersanderson.com

utilized 172:20

utilizing 179:17

Page 257Index: weeks..Zooms

weeks 26:23 29:11 35:6.7.9 36:13 37:6.10 39:12 43:12

weigh 178:9 weighed 84:2

weighting 178:21 weird 13:25 32:10

Well"-- 97:17 108:10

were-- 200:15 West 167:10.11

wet 15:6 what"-- 51:20

What's-- 120:8

what-- 5:18 14:23 46:14 68:1 69:24 137:12.18

Wheels 212:7 214:23

when-- 137:2

where-- 87:6 175:17

whether-- 63:10

Which-- 19:23

whispers 51:17

whole-- 55:23

why-- 56:17 131:4

wife 130:22

Wiley 46:4,13,25 53:5,11,13 57:21 58:18 68:8

will-- 123:13 willfully 6:13 wind 54:24

window 26:18 wiping 56:5

with-- 88:9

withdraw 122:4,5 123:23 124:7,9,

withdrawing 123:22 145:16

withhold-- 58:1 witness's 12:11 witnessed 80:11

witnesses 7:17 8:9,18,24 9:7 12:17 76:5,21,23 77:2 78:3,18 132:4

142:21 143:2,7,15,22 145:20

woke 59:2

word 4:14 41:7 101:23 219:16

words 51:9,20 98:6

work 15:21 25:18 26:25 42:4 47:2.7 48:21,23 54:6 58:19 59:9 68:10 71:12 72:15 77:13 78:4,16 79:16 84:6 89:1,13 90:6 92:12 100:13 101:10,21 106:16 121:17 123:18 124:23 140:12,16 143:21 144:9 148:13 149:2 151:14 152:4 154:10 156:23 166:18,22 167:20 172:25 192:1 207:2,7 215:7

work-- 33:15

worked 89:16 115:25 142:6 150:8 166:2 193:5

worker 94:9

working 10:25 27:2 50:9 52:19 141:18 147:10 149:8 151:12 152:7, 12 153:20 154:15 156:24 160:12 162:7 175:4 188:16 202:5,6 207:8 210:21

workplace 88:20 89:9

works 150:20

world 111:21 127:24

worried 95:24

worse 18:6.7 197:11 204:14

would-- 56:15 wouldn't-- 43:25

wound 129:4 wow 182:11

wraps 50:5

Wright 142:9

write 6:2 78:2 81:10 82:20 83:11 84:3 166:10 198:25

writes 61:14

writing 14:7,19 37:3 38:7 99:17

written 34:1,4 38:11 44:11 58:19

61:13

wrong 7:5 58:3 89:3 129:15 198:6,

10,14

wrote 44:8 83:22 84:24 91:19 98:25

192:20

WSP 143:17 154:16 200:5 212:7 214:23

Υ

Yeah-- 114:16 118:22

year 48:11 52:5 53:22 54:17 97:19 113:5 114:9,11,12,17,22 115:12,14, 16 116:2,4,9,10,12,14,16,21 117:1, 13,16,17 118:9,13,17,21,24 120:11 149:8 151:14 152:3,15,17 153:15 155:4,7,16,18,25 156:3,11,22 157:7, 13,25 158:10 159:4,18 160:5 161:25 162:23 163:4,9 166:7,19 167:9 170:8,11 171:13,14 173:17 174:21 179:8,20 181:8 192:5,16 202:3,19

vear-to-vear 189:18

years 48:7,24 52:15 86:22 112:21, 23 147:19 149:9 150:11 151:12 153:17 154:24 156:1 158:1,11 161:18,23 167:14 169:18 175:13 189:2 197:5,11 199:8 200:13,14,15 202:10,14,15 204:19

years"-- 49:5

yell 165:9

yelled 51:4

yelling 88:15

yellow 98:19

yesterday 7:22 9:13 83:11

you-- 18:12 21:18 22:8 48:13 80:23 93:1 158:10 192:22 194:5

young 78:14 197:8

Ζ

zoom 3:6 11:13 12:20 44:24 54:2 63:7,11 133:25 140:5 144:20,24 188:11 193:4.9

Zooms 12:11