JOHN BOESPFLUG,

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THURSTON COUNTY

Plaintiff,	Case No. 17-2-05558-54
v.	
STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIES,	VERDICT FORM
Defendant.	
WE THE JURY submit the following answers to the q	uestions provided by the Court:
QUESTION NO. 1: Did Mr. Boespflug prove	by a preponderance of the evidence that
he was a whistleblower?	

QUESTION NO. 2: Did Mr. Boespflug prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he was subjected to a reprisal or retaliatory actions involving the reassignment of his vehicle in January of 2017 or in failing to provide an ergonomic evaluation associated with the reassignment?

ANSWER: ______ YES _____ NO

ANSWER: X YES NO

Linda Myhre Enlow
Thurston County Clerk

7000

14 APR 10 PM 1:57

15 Aucher

16 APR 10 PM 1:57

17 Apr 10 PM 1:57

18 Apr 10 PM 1:57

18 Apr 10 PM 1:57

19 Apr 10 PM 1:57

19 Apr 10 PM 1:57

19 Apr 10 PM 1:57

10 Apr 10 PM 1:57

10 Apr 10 PM 1:57

11 Apr 10 PM 1:57

11 Apr 10 PM 1:57

12 Apr 10 PM 1:57

13 Apr 10 PM 1:57

14 Apr 10 PM 1:57

15 Apr 10 PM 1:57

16 Apr 10 PM 1:57

17 Apr 10 PM 1:57

17 Apr 10 PM 1:57

18 Apr 10 PM 1:57

19 Apr 10 PM 1:57

19 Apr 10 PM 1:57

10 Apr 10 PM 1:57

10 Apr 10 PM 1:57

10 Apr 10 PM 1:57

11 Apr 10 PM 1:57

12 Apr 10 PM 1:57

13 Apr 10 PM 1:57

14 Apr 10 PM 1:57

15 Apr 10 PM 1:57

16 Apr 10 PM 1:57

17 Apr 10 PM 1:57

17 Apr 10 PM 1:57

18 Apr 10 PM 1:57

18 Apr 10 PM 1:57

19 Apr 10 PM 1:57

19 Apr 10 PM 1:57

10 Apr 10 PM

If you answered "NO" to Questions, #1 or #2, do not answer the remaining questions, and please sign and date the verdict form and notify the bailiff. If you answered "YES" to Questions #1 and #2, then answer Question #3.

QUESTION NO. 3: Did the Department of Labor and Industries prove by a preponderance of the evidence:

nderance of the evidence:
(a) the actions of the Department of Labor and Industries were taken for reasons
unrelated to Mr. Boespflug's status as a whistleblower? AND
ANSWER:YESNO
(b) That an improper retaliatory motive was not a substantial factor in the reprisals or retaliatory actions.
ANSWER:YESNO

If you answered "YES" to Question 3(a) AND 3(b), please sign and date the verdict form and notify the bailiff. If you answered "NO" to either Question 3(a) or 3(b), then proceed to the next section.

QUESTION NO. 4: Did Mr. Boespflug suffer damages proximately caused by any of the wrongful acts of the defendant?

	V		
ANSWER:	N	YES	NC

QUESTION NO. 5: What do you find to be the amount of Mr. Boespflug's damages for fear, stress, anxiety, and loss of enjoyment of life?

\$	262	000.	00	
-				

Jann & Hetcher