

RECEIVED

Honorable James E. Rogers
Trial Date: June 4, 2018

26 JUN 2018 15 35

DEPARTMENT OF
JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
FOR KING COUNTY

STACY TRUSSLER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Defendant.

Case No.: 16-2-30183-5 SEA

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT ON JURY
VERDICT AGAINST THE STATE OF
WASHINGTON

Clerk's Action Required

JUDGMENT SUMMARY

Judgment Creditor: Stacy Trussler

Judgment Creditor's Attorney: The Sheridan Law Firm, P.S.

Judgment Debtor: State of Washington

Judgment Amount: \$ 1,200,000.00 JB


Prejudgment Interest: To be determined at a later date, if applicable and appropriate.

Attorney Fees and Costs: To be determined upon the filing of a fee petition on a date which will be set by Court order. The ten-day time limit for filing an attorney fee petition under CR 54(d)(2) shall not apply to this case.

1 THIS MATTER came on regularly before this Court for a trial with a jury held on
2 June 4 through 26 2018. Plaintiff Stacy Trussler was represented by John P.
3 Sheridan and Mark W. Rose of the Sheridan Law Firm, P.S., and Defendant the State of
4 Washington, was by Assistant Attorneys General Scott Barbara and Alexander Foster-
5 Brown.


6 Consistent with the Verdict Form, which is attached, the Court enters judgment in
7 the amount of \$ 1,200,000.00 ¹⁵. Pre-judgment interest, if applicable and
8 appropriate, will be determined at a later date. Attorney fees and costs shall be addressed
9 separately upon the filing of a fee petition, which will be filed in accordance with a briefing
10 schedule to be proposed by the parties and set by the Court, or through the submission of a
11 stipulated order and judgment. The ten-day time limit for filing an attorney fee petition
12 under CR 54(d)(2) shall not apply to this case.

13 DONE IN OPEN COURT this 26 day of June, 2018.


14
15 
16 Honorable James E. Rogers

17 Presented By:

18 THE SHERIDAN LAW FIRM, P.S.

19 By: 
20 John P. Sheridan, WSBA #21473
Attorneys for Plaintiff Stacy Trussler

21 Approved as to Form:

22
23 By: 
24 Scott M. Barbara, WSBA # 20885
Attorney for the State of Washington

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
FOR KING COUNTY

STACY TRUSSLER, an individual

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Defendant.

Case No.: 16-2-30183-5 SEA

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

We, the jury in the above captioned case, submit the following answers to the questions provided by the Court as to the plaintiff:

QUESTION NO. 1: Has Ms. Trussler proven her claim for wrongful discharge in violation of public policy by a preponderance of the evidence?

ANSWER: _____ YES NO NO

QUESTION NO. 2: Has Ms. Trussler proven her retaliation claim by a preponderance of the evidence?

ANSWER: YES YES _____ NO

QUESTION NO. 3: Has Ms. Trussler proven her failure to accommodate claim against the State by a preponderance of the evidence?

ANSWER: _____ YES NO NO

ORIGINAL

QUESTION NO. 4: Has Ms. Trussler proven her disability discrimination claim against the State by a preponderance of the evidence?

ANSWER: _____ YES NO NO

QUESTION NO. 5: Has Ms. Trussler proven her harassment claim by a preponderance of the evidence?

ANSWER: _____ YES NO NO

If you answered "NO" to every one of the previous questions, do not answer the remaining questions, and please sign and date the verdict form and notify the bailiff. If you answered "YES" to any of the previous questions, then please answer Question 6.

QUESTION NO. 6: Did Ms. Trussler suffer damages proximately caused by the actions of the defendant?

ANSWER: YES YES _____ NO

If you answered yes, please complete the next section. If you answered no, please go on to question #7, then skip #8 and #9, sign and date the verdict form, and notify the bailiff.

A: Back Pay:	\$ <u>100,000</u>
B: Front Pay:	\$ <u>400,000</u>
C. Lost retirement:	\$ <u>100,000</u>
D: Lost Sick Leave:	\$ _____
E: Fear:	\$ <u>120,000</u>
F: Stress:	\$ <u>120,000</u>
G: Humiliation:	\$ <u>120,000</u>
H: Embarrassment:	\$ <u>120,000</u>

H. Grief:

\$ 120,000

QUESTION NO. 7: Do you recommend that the Judge should order Ms. Trussler be reinstated to a WMS 4 job with the State of Washington, with protections against further retaliation and discrimination, as an appropriate remedy, in lieu of the front pay award?

ANSWER: YES YES _____ NO

QUESTION NO. 8: Did the State of Washington prove its failure to mitigate claim by a preponderance of the evidence?

ANSWER: _____ YES NO NO

If you answered yes to question #8, please complete the next section. Otherwise, please sign and date the verdict form and notify the bailiff.


QUESTION NO. 9: After allocating the amount by which economic damages would be reduced, what are Ms. Trussler's economic damages?

A: Back Pay: \$ _____

B: Front Pay: \$ _____

C. Lost retirement: \$ _____

Dated this 26 day of JUNE, 2018.


Presiding Juror